For office use only	
Batch number:	Received:
Representor ID #	Ack:
Representation #	



NORTH DORSET LOCAL PLAN REVIEW Issues and Options Consultation 27 November 2017 to 22 January 2018

Response Form

As part of the Local Plan Review (LPR), North Dorset District Council has prepared an Issues and Options Document for consultation. The Issues and Options Document, the Sustainability Appraisal and associated documents can be viewed online via:

https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/planning/north-dorset/planning-policy

Please return completed forms to:

Email: planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk

Post: Planning Policy (North Dorset), South Walks House, South Walks Road, Dorchester, DT1 1UZ

Deadline: 5pm on 22 January 2018. Representations received after this time may not be accepted.

Part A - Personal details

This part of the form must be completed by all people making representations as **anonymous comments cannot be accepted.** By submitting this response form you consent to your information being disclosed to third parties for this purpose. Personal details will not be visible on our website, although they will be shown on paper copies that will be available for inspection by members of the public and other interested parties.

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name, Job Title and Organisation boxes in the personal details but complete the full contact details of the agent including email address. All correspondence will be sent to the agent.

Personal Details*		Agent's Details (if applicable)*
Title	MR	
First Name	JOHN	
Last Name	LEWER	
Job Title(<i>where</i>		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address		
Postcode		
Tel. No.		
Email Address		



Part B - Representations

No 🗆

Yes

No 🗆

Please answer as many questions or as few questions as you wish. There is a box at the end of the form where you can provide any comments that you may have.

	Housing		
1.	Do you consider that a housing need figure of 366 dwellings a year is an appropriate figure on which to plan for housing growth in North Dorset? If not, please set out what you consider to be an appropriate figure and provide reasons for this.		
	Yes		
	No 🛱		
	If you have answered 'No' please set out an alternative housing figure and provide reasoning to support your answer. IT SCEMS TO BE AN ARBITMARY INCREASE ONER PREVIOUS ESTIMATES — AND IN ANY ENENT SHOULD NOT BE SPREAD EVENLY HE ROSS TOWNS AS THOUGHTHEE ARE NO OTHER CONSERAINT.		
	Employment		
2.	Do you consider that additional employment land should be allocated for development at Blandford as part of the Local Plan Review?		
	Yes		
	No 🗆		
3.	Do you consider that there is a need to allocate additional employment land in any other part(s) of the District?		
	Yes		
	No 🗆		
	Spatial Strategy		
4.	Do you consider that the existing spatial strategy, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to allow for some limited growth at Stalbridge, beyond just meeting local needs?		
	Yes		

5. Do you think that the Council should consider implementing any other alternative spatial strategy

through the LPR? If so, please explain your reasons why.

Viyo	ou have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
	t are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future elopment at Gillingham?
Plea	ase set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
Shaf	<u>tesbury</u>
2. Do y	ou agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Shaftesbury?
Yes	
No	×
	there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been idered as part of the assessment process?
Yes	
No	
	ou have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
	MOST OF THE ARGAS OF SCARCE ARE SIMPLY
	NOT ACCEPTABLE, AS THE SOUTHERN SLOPES
4	NEED TO BE PROTECTED
	t are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future elopment at Shaftesbury?
Ple	ase set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
a	THE OUTER BYPHES.
(MPROVED HEALTH PROVISION (BICGGE HEALTH CENTRE) IMPROVED PARKING PROVISION IN TOWN CENTRE
(3	MPROVED PALKING PROVISION IN TOWN CONTRE
(MORE EMPLOYMENT LAND FOR A SUSTAINABLE TOWN (5) THE ENMORE GREEN LINK

Important Open or Wooded Areas (IOWAs)

28	. Do you agree that those IOWAs, which are protected from development by other planning policies
	or legislation, should be deleted?
	Yes

No 💢

The A350 Corridor

29. Do you consider that the land which is identified and safeguarded for the Shaftesbury Outer Bypass and the Charlton Marshall and Spetisbury Bypass should continue to be identified and safeguarded for such purposes?

Yes ⋈ No □

Comments

If you have any comments about the Issues and Options Document or the Sustainability Appraisal please set them out in the box below. If your comments are in relation to a specific question or chapter of the Issues and Options Document then please state which question or chapter your comments relate to.

THE HOUSING TARGET OF 366 DWELLINGS P. A.

WOULD BE MORE CRESIBLE IF PERSIMMON

HAD NOT RELOCATED SO MANY PEOPLE TO SHAPPING

FROM FAR ONTSIDE NORTH DONSET. (QI)

IN ANY EVENT THE CXTRA HOUSING SHOULD

BE "AFFORDABLE" IN THE SENSE THAT

THEY HAVE SMALL STARTED HOMES (WHENGER

OFL NOT THEY HAVE SUBSIDISED) TO GNABLE

YOUNG PEOPLE TO GET ON THE HOUSING LABER,

THE SHAFTESBURY OUTER BYPKS RESULUB

CORRIDOR (Q29) MUST CONTINUE TO BE

RESERVED, BECAUSE NO OTHER ROUTE WOULD

BE POSSIBLE, DUE TO THE LOCAL GEOGRAPHY.

	Continue on a separate sheet if necessary
	continue on a separate sneet if necessary
Do you wish to be contacted about future consultations relating	to the Local Plan Review?
	,
Yes 🛱	
No 🗆	
Cignoturo	Date: 12/1/2018
Signature:	Date:
If submitting the form elegation is required.	

When completed please send form to planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk