

For office use only	
Batch number: _____	Received: 19/1/18
Representor ID # _____	Ack: _____
Representation # _____	



**NORTH DORSET LOCAL PLAN REVIEW**  
**Issues and Options Consultation**  
**27 November 2017 to 22 January 2018**

**Response Form**

As part of the Local Plan Review (LPR), North Dorset District Council has prepared an Issues and Options Document for consultation. The Issues and Options Document, the Sustainability Appraisal and associated documents can be viewed online via:

<https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/planning/north-dorset/planning-policy>

**Please return completed forms to:**

Email: [planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk](mailto:planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk)

Post: Planning Policy (North Dorset), South Walks House, South Walks Road, Dorchester, DT1 1UZ

**Deadline: 5pm on 22 January 2018. Representations received after this time may not be accepted.**

**Part A – Personal details**

This part of the form must be completed by all people making representations as **anonymous comments cannot be accepted**. By submitting this response form you consent to your information being disclosed to third parties for this purpose. Personal details will not be visible on our website, although they will be shown on paper copies that will be available for inspection by members of the public and other interested parties.

\*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name, Job Title and Organisation boxes in the personal details but complete the full contact details of the agent including email address. All correspondence will be sent to the agent.

Personal Details*		Agent's Details (if applicable)*
Title	MRS	
First Name	LYNNE	
Last Name	FISH	
Job Title(when relevant)	/	
Organisation (when relevant)	/	
Address	[REDACTED]	
Postcode	[REDACTED]	
Tel. No.	[REDACTED]	
Email Address	[REDACTED]	

## Part B – Representations

Please answer as many questions or as few questions as you wish. There is a box at the end of the form where you can provide any comments that you may have.

### Housing

1. Do you consider that a housing need figure of 366 dwellings a year is an appropriate figure on which to plan for housing growth in North Dorset? If not, please set out what you consider to be an appropriate figure and provide reasons for this.

Yes

No

*If you have answered 'No' please set out an alternative housing figure and provide reasoning to support your answer.*

300 Please see attached sheet.

### Employment

2. Do you consider that additional employment land should be allocated for development at Blandford as part of the Local Plan Review?

Yes

No

3. Do you consider that there is a need to allocate additional employment land in any other part(s) of the District?

Yes

Shaftsbury

No

Persimmon plan houses on site S of A30.

### Spatial Strategy

4. Do you consider that the existing spatial strategy, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to allow for some limited growth at Stalbridge, beyond just meeting local needs?

Yes

No

5. Do you think that the Council should consider implementing any other alternative spatial strategy through the LPR? If so, please explain your reasons why.

Yes

No

## NDDC Local Plan Review. Issues and Options

My comments would be that your exhibition in Shaftesbury did not have enough information for me to make an informed decision. In particular the maps were rather small. Due to poor internet connection I do not find it any easier to see them online as suggested by the staff present and I do not have sufficient access to the library or Town Hall during office hours.

General comments are that the housing figures are set by Central Government are they not? Why then would my comments make any difference? For what it is worth I feel the figure is much too high following on after the huge numbers already built in North Dorset. There is no housing crisis in my opinion. There are empty houses and other town centre premises in Shaftesbury that could be utilized. After that there are brown field sites which could be made better use of. Green field sites must come last and truly affordable housing is needed not 'executive' homes such as the recent Langdale Farm application.

In Shaftesbury the land South of the A30 which Persimmon wish to build houses on (I was not impressed by their exhibition either) MUST be kept for employment land. I accept that part of this site may be required for a primary school or hospital (both employers) but the excuse from Persimmon that no 1 company wished to develop it is just that, an excuse. Even on Persimmons popular Option 1 the school is in the worst location on the site; their record of providing any facilities in North Dorset is abysmal. Other employment sites in Shaftesbury are either being turned into flats, are full or almost full (Longmead and Wncombe). They have developed over time as anyone would expect in a relatively small town. Persimmon even admit that some small businesses have been interested but it suits the company better to land bank than to assist small enterprises who employ local people and invest in the local economy to locate here.

Building anything like this number of new houses will put huge pressures on all local facilities/infrastructure such as schools, health centres and hospitals. The cumulative effect for Stalbridge and Henstridge of proposed developments there are well documented in the local press for instance. Local people are of course concerned re lack of services already due to lack of funding and large numbers of new houses will only exacerbate the situation. Social care is struggling, bus services have been cut, a GP appointment might take a week and so on.

I am particularly concerned about the further reduction in air quality and the consequent effects on health due to the proposed 365 houses per year. Developers make no effort to build high density housing where there are public transport options and neither do they include direct, safer cycling and walking routes to discourage the use of the private car. Therefore, the local population is relying on NDDC to make sure good choice of location and layout are developed. Simply things like putting a roundabout as the access to Tesco in Shaftesbury has led to traffic moving much less quickly along Christies Lane and queuing traffic is throwing out toxic fumes at the highest levels when children are walking to school here. The traffic lights on the A30 at Shaftesbury East are set to favour the residents of The Maltings and traffic stops and starts much more often than is necessary on the A30 thus increasing CO2, NO2 and particulates for the residents. The footpaths at Shaftesbury East are far from direct and not sign posted. The main through road is still not complete and so still no bus service.

## Fiona Ajram

---

**From:** Lynne Fish [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 14 January 2018 14:56  
**To:** PlanningPolicy NDDC  
**Subject:** North Dorset Local Plan Review - options

Dear NDDC

My comments would be that your exhibition in Shaftesbury did not have enough information for me to make an informed decision. In particular the maps were rather small. Due to poor internet connection I do not find it any easier to see them online as suggested by the staff present and I do not have sufficient access to the library or Town Hall during office hours.

General comments are that the housing figures are set by Central Government are they not? Why then would my comments make any difference? For what it is worth I feel the figure is much too high following on after the huge numbers already built in North Dorset. There is no housing crisis in my opinion. There are empty houses and other town centre premises in Shaftesbury that could be utilized. After that there are brown field sites which could be made better use of. Green field sites must come last and truly affordable housing is needed not 'executive' homes such as the recent Langdale Farm application.

In Shaftesbury the land South of the A30 which Persimmon wish to build houses on (I was not impressed by their exhibition either) MUST be kept for employment land. I accept that part of this site may be required for a primary school or hospital (both employers) but the excuse from Persimmon that no 1 company wished to develop it is just that, an excuse. Even on Persimmons popular Option 1 the school is in the worst location on the site; their record of providing any facilities in North Dorset is abysmal. Other employment sites in Shaftesbury are either being turned into flats, are full or almost full (Longmead and Wncombe). They have developed over time as anyone would expect in a relatively small town. Persimmon even admit that some small businesses have been interested but it suits the company better to land bank than to assist small enterprises who employ local people and invest in the local economy to locate here.

Building anything like this number of new houses will put huge pressures on all local facilities/infrastructure such as schools, health centres and hospitals. The cumulative effect for Stalbridge and Henstridge of proposed developments there are well documented in the local press for instance. Local people are of course concerned re lack of services already due to lack of funding and large numbers of new houses will only exacerbate the situation. Social care is struggling, bus services have been cut, a GP appointment might take a week and so on.

I am particularly concerned about the further reduction in air quality and the consequent effects on health due to the proposed 366 houses per year. Developers make no effort to build high density housing where there are public transport options and neither do they include direct, safer cycling and walking routes to discourage the use of the private car. Therefore, the local population is relying on NDDC to make sure good choice of location and layout are developed. Simply things like putting a roundabout as the access to Tesco in Shaftesbury has led to traffic moving much less quickly along Christies Lane and queuing traffic is throwing out toxic fumes at the highest levels when children are walking to school here. The traffic lights on the A30 at Shaftesbury East are set to favour the residents of The Maltings and traffic stops and starts much more often than is necessary on the A30 thus increasing CO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub> and particulates for the residents. The footpaths at Shaftesbury East are far from direct and not sign posted. The main through road is still not complete and so still no bus service.

Yours  
with little hope

Lynne Fish

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]