

Fiona Ajram

From: Miriam Longfoot [REDACTED]
Sent: 19 January 2018 15:59
To: PlanningPolicy NDDC
Subject: Local Plan Review Consultation [Scanned]
Attachments: Local Plan Review.pdf

Dear Sirs

Please find attached my response to your consultation. This consultation appears to be an attempt to avoid consultation. By making it difficult, secret and opaque it can be claimed that people don't care because so few responses have been received.

I have lived in and around Shaftesbury for most of my life and am appalled by what is happening to this picturesque historic town. There has been a complete failure to provide infrastructure (schools, health provision, etc) to match the huge number of houses that have already been built. There is yet more building in the pipeline on land that has additionally been given the go-ahead for development - but I note that there still will be no proper infrastructure and no jobs for all these additional people; I assume therefore that creating unemployment ghettos is an accepted principle here. Given the current situation, the idea that anyone is seriously considering granting permission for even more building around Shaftesbury beggars belief.

I could say a great deal more regarding housing nationally, use of brownfield sites, the boarded up houses index, lack of affordability and the mass "dumping" of unemployed people in rural communities where they have no prospect of ever becoming employed people. I have the impression, however, that no one with the power to do anything actually wants to listen.

Yours faithfully

Miriam Longfoot

For office use only

Batch number: _____

Received: _____

Representor ID # _____

Ack: _____

Representation # _____

NORTH DORSET LOCAL PLAN REVIEW

Issues and Options Consultation

27 November 2017 to 22 January 2018

Response Form

As part of the Local Plan Review (LPR), North Dorset District Council has prepared an Issues and Options Document for consultation. The Issues and Options Document, the Sustainability Appraisal and associated documents can be viewed online via:

<https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/planning/north-dorset/planning-policy>

Please return completed forms to:

Email: planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk

Post: Planning Policy (North Dorset), South Walks House, South Walks Road, Dorchester, DT1 1UZ

Deadline: 5pm on 22 January 2018. Representations received after this time may not be accepted.

Part A – Personal details

This part of the form must be completed by all people making representations as **anonymous comments cannot be accepted**. By submitting this response form you consent to your information being disclosed to third parties for this purpose. Personal details will not be visible on our website, although they will be shown on paper copies that will be available for inspection by members of the public and other interested parties.

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name, Job Title and Organisation boxes in the personal details but complete the full contact details of the agent including email address. All correspondence will be sent to the agent.

Personal Details*		Agent's Details (if applicable)*
Title	MRS	
First Name	MIRIAM	
Last Name	LONGFOOT	
Job Title(where		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address		
Postcode		
Tel. No.		
Email Address		

Part B – Representations

Please answer as many questions or as few questions as you wish. There is a box at the end of the form where you can provide any comments that you may have.

Housing

1. Do you consider that a housing need figure of 366 dwellings a year is an appropriate figure on which to plan for housing growth in North Dorset? If not, please set out what you consider to be an appropriate figure and provide reasons for this.

Yes

No

If you have answered 'No' please set out an alternative housing figure and provide reasoning to support your answer.

IT IS IMPOSSIBLE FOR AN ORDINARY CITIZEN TO GIVE FIGURES.
IN SHAFTESBURY LAND ACQUIRED BY DEVELOPERS & NOT YET
BUILT ON HAS NOT BEEN TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT.
IN SHAFTESBURY BROWN FIELD SITES HAVE NOT BEEN TAKEN
INTO ACCOUNT.

Employment

2. Do you consider that additional employment land should be allocated for development at Blandford as part of the Local Plan Review?

Yes

No

3. Do you consider that there is a need to allocate additional employment land in any other part(s) of the District?

Yes

No

Spatial Strategy

4. Do you consider that the existing spatial strategy, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to allow for some limited growth at Stalbridge, beyond just meeting local needs?

Yes

No

5. Do you think that the Council should consider implementing any other alternative spatial strategy through the LPR? If so, please explain your reasons why.

Yes

No

If you have answered 'Yes' please set out your alternative spatial strategy and provide reasoning to support it.

Blandford (Forum and St Mary)

6. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Blandford?
- Yes
- No
7. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
- Yes
- No

If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.

8. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Blandford?

Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.

Gillingham

9. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Gillingham?
- Yes
- No
10. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
- Yes
- No

If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.

11. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Gillingham?

Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.

Shaftesbury

12. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Shaftesbury?

Yes

No

13. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?

Yes

No

If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.

SHAFTESBURY IS A UNIQUE HILLTOP TOWN WITH UNPARALLELED VIEWS OF COUNTRYSIDE MERGING INTO TOWN & BEYOND. THIS IS THREATENED BY ANY DEVELOPMENT GOING BEYOND THE EXISTING TOWN BOUNDARIES, & WILL ADVERSELY IMPACT THE ECONOMY, TOURISM & THE WELL-BEING OF INHABITANTS. THERE NEEDS TO BE A GREEN BELT TO PREVENT SHAFTESBURY MERGING INTO SURROUNDING TOWNS & VILLAGES.

14. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Shaftesbury?

Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.

WATER SUPPLIES, SEWAGE, EDUCATION AT ALL LEVELS, HEALTH PROVISION, SOCIAL SERVICES, EMPLOYMENT, AMENITIES & GREEN SPACE FOR ALL.

LEGALLY BINDING IMPACT STATEMENTS MUST BE IN PLACE FOR ALL OF THE ABOVE.

Sturminster Newton

15. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Sturminster Newton?

Yes

No

16. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?

Yes

No

If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.

17. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Sturminster Newton?

Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.

Stalbridge

18. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Stalbridge?

Yes

No

19. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?

Yes

No

If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.

20. What are the most important infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Stalbridge?

Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.

The Villages

21. Do you agree with the Council's proposed approach in relation to future development at the eighteen larger villages within the District or do you think that the Council should consider an alternative approach?

Yes

No

If you have answered 'No' please set out your alternative approach and information/reasoning behind this.

Affordable Housing

22. Do you consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be removed from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of local need in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?

Yes

No

23. Do you consider that the existing policy approach, which seeks to prevent exception sites coming forward adjacent to the four main towns within the District, should be amended?

Yes

No

24. Do you consider that the Council should continue with its existing policy approach, which allows for a small number of market homes on rural exception sites?

Yes

No

Self-Build and Custom-Build Housing

25. Do you consider that the Council should facilitate the provision of self-build housing by any, some, or all of the following options?

Yes

No

a. Allowing serviced plots to come forward under the current development plan policies.

Yes

No

b. Updating Policy 7 (Delivering Homes) in the Local Plan Part 1 to promote the provision of serviced plots of land for self-build housing.

Yes

No

c. Requiring on sites above a certain size that serviced self-build plots should be made available as a proportion of the total number of dwellings permitted (with or without a minimum number being specified) on-site.

Yes

No

d. Allowing a proportion (up to 100%) of self-build plots on exception sites (with controls over the resale value of the properties).

Yes

No

e. Identifying land in public ownership which would be sold only for self-build development.

Yes

No

f. The use of Local Development Orders to facilitate self-build development.

Yes

No

26. Are there any other approaches that could be used to meet the demand for self-build housing?

Yes

No

If you have answered 'Yes' please outline the other approaches which the Council could pursue.

Ensuring the Vitality and Viability of Town Centres

27. Do you consider that the existing hierarchy and network of centres, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to include Stalbridge as a 'local centre'?

Yes

No

Important Open or Wooded Areas (IOWAs)

28. Do you agree that those IOWAs, which are protected from development by other planning policies or legislation, should be deleted?

Yes

No

The A350 Corridor

29. Do you consider that the land which is identified and safeguarded for the Shaftesbury Outer Bypass and the Charlton Marshall and Spetisbury Bypass should continue to be identified and safeguarded for such purposes?

Yes

No

Comments

If you have any comments about the Issues and Options Document or the Sustainability Appraisal please set them out in the box below. If your comments are in relation to a specific question or chapter of the Issues and Options Document then please state which question or chapter your comments relate to.

THIS FORM IS DIFFICULT TO ACCESS, UNDERSTAND & COMPLETE - IT SEEMS YOU ARE TRYING TO PREVENT PEOPLE FROM COMMENTING.
THE SUSTAINABILITY APPRAISAL SEEMS TO HAVE BEEN LARGELY IGNORED.
HEALTH & WELL-BEING (eg. WALKERS, DOG WALKERS, CYCLISTS, THE NEEDS OF CHILDREN & TEENAGERS) HAVE BEEN IGNORED.
WILDLIFE, PARTICULARLY WILDLIFE CORRIDORS, & SHAFTESBURY'S NATURAL RICHNESS HAS BEEN IGNORED.
SOME LAND ALREADY ACQUIRED FOR DEVELOPMENT HAS NOT BEEN DEVELOPED & THIS HAS BEEN IGNORED.
SOME DEVELOPMENTS WHICH HAVE TAKE PLACE HAVE NOT PROVIDED THE PROMISED AMENITIES OR INFRASTRUCTURE & THIS HAS BEEN IGNORED.
SHAFTESBURY'S SCHOOLS ARE ALREADY OVERFLOWING, HER HEALTH CENTRE CREAKING & OVERLOADED THERE ARE NO JOBS FOR ALL THESE PERFORCE UNEMPLOYED PEOPLE ARRIVING IN THE TOWN, HER TOURISM APPEAL IS INCREASINGLY COMPROMISED & THOSE OF US LIVING ON THE FRINGES FEEL THREATENED BY THE APPARENTLY UNCONTROLLED AND BADLY MANAGED EXPANSION TAKING PLACE.


[Empty rectangular box for content]

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Do you wish to be contacted about future consultations relating to the Local Plan Review?

Yes

No

Signature: 

Date: 19.1.18

If submitting the form electronically, no signature is required.

When completed please send form to planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk