

Responses to Issues UE1 to UE18: ' Urban Extensions'

Christchurch and East Dorset Core Strategy consultation: Issues + Options March 2008

In March 2008 Christchurch and East Dorset councils consulted on the joint Core Strategy Issues and Options document. The questions asked under the above theme were:

NORTH OF CHRISTCHURCH

Key Issue UE1

In refining the area of search for the urban extension north of Christchurch, what strategy should be adopted?

The options offered in the consultation were:

- A. The urban extension should be limited to land south of the railway line
- B. The urban extension should be limited to land north of the railway and east of Burton
- C. Development should be located in the least constrained location anywhere within the area of search
- D. The urban extension should make use of all available land in order to maximise development potential and reduce pressure for infill in the existing urban area
- E. Other

Summary of responses received for each option:

Option	Agree	Disagree	No Opinion	Suggestions For Other Issues
A	41	17	22	n/a
B	6	39	21	n/a
C	21	35	16	n/a
D	11	37	17	n/a
E				21

Key Issue UE2

What criteria should we consider in developing policy for this urban extension?

The options offered in the consultation were:

- A. Avoid impact on nature conservation habitats and species
- B. Avoid locating development near overhead power lines
- C. Avoid any need to relocate the allotments
- D. Relocate but secure new local site for the allotments
- E. Provide suitable transport infrastructure and services
- F. Provide high levels of affordable housing on the site
- G. Provide high quality open spaces within the site
- H. Provide employment opportunities within the site
- I. Provide local facilities and services within the site
- J. Secure high quality design of development
- K. Maximise the use of renewable energy and sustainable construction methods
- L. Other

Summary of responses received for each option:

Option	Agree	Disagree	No Opinion	Suggestions For Other Issues
A	70	3	14	n/a
B	48	10	23	n/a
C	27	21	27	n/a
D	31	15	25	n/a
E	71	1	11	n/a
F	41	16	21	n/a
G	68	3	12	n/a
H	37	24	15	n/a
I	64	6	11	n/a
J	69	2	11	n/a
K	56	7	14	n/a
L				11

NORTH-WEST OF THE MAIN URBAN AREA AT CORFE MULLEN

Key Issue UE3

Where should the development be?

The options offered in the consultation were:

- A. In the southern part of the Waterloo Valley
- B. In the northern part of the Waterloo Valley
- C. On the northern slope overlooking the Stour
- D. As smaller developments in two of these or in all three
- E. Another place within the area of search?

Summary of responses received for each option:

Option	Agree
A	62
B	31
C	45
D	73
E	88

Key Issue UE4

Do you think that Lockyers School should be considered as part of the urban extension?

The options offered in the consultation were:

- A. Oppose moving the school to a new site
- B. Support moving the school and using the present site for development
- C. If you support moving the school where should it be located?

Summary of responses received for each option:

Option	Agree
A	134
B	140
C	7

Key Issue UE5

What additional facilities and transport improvements does Corfe Mullen need to support the development?

Due to the nature of the questions asked it is not possible to provide a numerical response to this question, please see the full response later in this report.

NORTH AND WEST OF WIMBORNE MINSTER

Key Issue UE6

Where should the development be?

The options offered in the consultation were:

- A. On the Cuthbury allotments
- B. On the slopes of Pamphill
- C. North of Walford Bridge
- D. North of Colehill
- E. Spread between two or more of these areas
- F. Another place within the area of search?

Summary of responses received for each option:

Option	Agree
A	30
B	16
C	50
D	17
E	55
F	46

Key Issue UE7

How much housing should there be? Please say what you think is MOST needed:

About 1,700 dwellings need to be shared between the two areas of search north and west of Wimborne Minster; and east and south of Ferndown. Please say how much you think should be found at the area of search north and west of Wimborne Minster:

Due to the nature of the questions asked it is not possible to provide a numerical response to this question, please see the full response later in this report.

Key Issue UE8

What additional facilities and transport improvements would be most needed to support this development? Please say what you think is MOST needed:

Due to the nature of the questions asked it is not possible to provide a numerical response to this question, please see the full response later in this report.

Key Issue UE9

If the allotments at Cuthbury need to be replaced, where might this be?

Please say if you have any suggestions about where new allotments might be found:

Due to the nature of the questions asked it is not possible to provide a numerical response to this question, please see the full response later in this report.

EAST AND SOUTH OF FERNDOWN

Key Issue UE10

Where should the development be?

The options offered in the consultation were:

- A. Around the southern edge of West Parley
- B. Around the northern edge of Longham
- C. Both
- D. Another place within the area of search? (Please say where):

Summary of responses received for each option:

Option	Agree
A	25
B	38
C	32
D	48

Key Issue UE11

How much housing should there be?

About 1,700 dwellings need to be shared between the two areas of search north and west of Wimborne Minster, and east and south of Ferndown. Please say how much you think should be found at the area of search east and south of Ferndown:

Due to the nature of the questions asked it is not possible to provide a numerical response to this question, please see the full response later in this report.

Key Issue UE12

What additional facilities and transport improvements would be most needed to support this development? Please say what you think is MOST needed:

Due to the nature of the questions asked it is not possible to provide a numerical response to this question, please see the full response later in this report.

WEST OF FERNDOWN

Key Issue UE13

Where should the development be?

The options offered in the consultation were:

- A. At Blunts Farm
- B. South of the Wimborne Road near Stapehill Abbey, Award Road and Knoll Gardens
- C. Both
- D. Another place within the area of search? (please say where):

Summary of responses received for each option:

Option	Agree
A	85
B	4
C	14
D	32

Key Issue UE14

What transport improvements should be made as part of this development?

The options offered in the consultation were:

- A. Canford Bottom Junction
- B. Wimborne Road West/East
- C. Stapehill Road
- D. Traffic management in Ferndown
- E. Cycle paths
- F. Bus services
- G. Other

Summary of responses received for each option:

Option	Agree	Disagree	No Opinion	Suggestions For Other Issues
A	104	4	10	n/a
B	66	13	18	n/a
C	31	23	24	n/a
D	65	12	16	n/a
E	63	8	18	n/a
F	81	4	13	n/a
G				36

Key Issue UE15

Should there be additional facilities to support the employment development?

The options offered in the consultation were:

- A. Hotel
- B. Shop
- C. Café/restaurant
- D. Leisure/gym
- E. Other

Summary of responses received for each option:

Option	Agree	Disagree	No Opinion	Suggestions For Other Issues
A	47	23	21	n/a
B	69	9	16	n/a
C	60	15	18	n/a
D	26	29	26	n/a
E				30

1,000 houses are proposed on other sites around the main towns and built up areas of East Dorset

Key Issue UE16

How should the proposed 1,000 homes be distributed?

The options offered in the consultation were:

- A. Spread on small sites around the edge of the settlements?
- B. Focused on a few large sites?
- C. Other

Summary of responses received for each option:

Option	Agree	Disagree	No Opinion	Suggestions For Other Issues
A	35	10	3	n/a
B	23	13	6	n/a
C				24

Key Issue UE17

Do you have any suggestions for sites where this development could go?

Summary of responses received for each option:

Option	Suggestion for site
Location	36

Responses to Issues UE1 to UE18: 'Urban Extensions'

Christchurch and East Dorset Core Strategy consultation: Issues + Options March

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED2744 Surname: COPPING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36105
Option: A Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED2719 Surname: COSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33240
Option: A Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED2720 Surname: COSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33506
Option: A Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED2461 Surname: CRADDICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20154
Option: A Organisation: Beagle Aerospace (represented by Savills) Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED2331 Surname: DAVENPORT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07435
Option: A Organisation: Dorset County Council Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED0031 Surname: DAVIES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-11906
Option: A Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED2330 Surname: DAW Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07231
Option: A Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED2320 Surname: DENNIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-05745
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED1130 Surname: DIXON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-00071
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED2327 Surname: DODSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06600
Option: A Organisation: Dorset County Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED1945 Surname: EDE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-13744
Option: A Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED2313 Surname: EDINBOROUGH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03758
Option: A Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED2060 Surname: EVANS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23217
Option: A Organisation: Traves James
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED0206 Surname: FALCONBRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23609
Option: A Organisation: Ferndown Town Council
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED1225 Surname: FENNING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-05117
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED1014 Surname: BOURTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02567
Option: B Organisation: Keep Wimborne Green
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED1029 Surname: BOWER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18536
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED1833 Surname: COCKAIN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02048
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED1864 Surname: COLLARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26947
Option: B Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED2720 Surname: COSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33507
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED0020 Surname: JAMIESON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04162
Option: B Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED0135 Surname: JAMIESON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25723
Option: B Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Disagree
General comments: not north of railway line

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED2612 Surname: JEFFERIES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29970
Option: B Organisation: D C C
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED2328 Surname: JENKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06873
Option: B Organisation: Dorset County Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED2127 Surname: JONES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34281
Option: B Organisation: Alderholt Parish Plan Steering Group
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED0040 Surname: LOFTS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14735
Option: B Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED2614 Surname: MADDOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-30742
Option: B Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED1161 Surname: MATHER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04451
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED2259 Surname: MAWBEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02851
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED1529 Surname: MELLETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16032
Option: B Organisation: Morley Fund Management (represented by Nathaniel Litchfield & Partners)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED2404 Surname: ATFIELD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17473
Option: C Organisation: Persia Homes Ltd (represented by Goadsby Ltd)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED2443 Surname: BAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18292
Option: C Organisation: Linden Homes (Southern) Ltd represented by Lennon Planning
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED0156 Surname: BODELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20478
Option: C Organisation: Dorset County Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED1014 Surname: BOURTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02568
Option: C Organisation: Keep Wimborne Green
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED1029 Surname: BOWER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18537
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED2719 Surname: COSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33242
Option: C Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED2720 Surname: COSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33508
Option: C Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED2461 Surname: CRADDICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20156
Option: C Organisation: Beagle Aerospace (represented by Savills) Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED0180 Surname: DAVENPORT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19989
Option: C Organisation: Dorset Wildlife Trust Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED0031 Surname: DAVIES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-11908
Option: C Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED0033 Surname: HALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10697
Option: C Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED2319 Surname: HALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-05418
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED0987 Surname: HEDGER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17211
Option: C Organisation: Mr M Hedger (represented by Goadsby Ltd)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED1576 Surname: HEMSLEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01105
Option: C Organisation: Ramblers Association (East Dorset Group)
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED2396 Surname: HODGES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14106
Option: C Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED0296 Surname: MEADOWS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20943
Option: C Organisation: National Trust
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED1529 Surname: MELLETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16033
Option: C Organisation: Morley Fund Management (represented by Nathaniel Litchfield & Partners)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED1318 Surname: MORTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21476
Option: C Organisation: Bournemouth Sports Club Limited
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED1866 Surname: NEWMAN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33781
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED2401 Surname: PARKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16324
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED0024 Surname: VICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16944
Option: C Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED2726 Surname: WALLIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34842
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED2230 Surname: WEBSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08727
Option: C Organisation: Barratt Homes
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED2318 Surname: WEST Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04777
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE1 Contact ID: XED2006 Surname: WILLIAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08077
Option: C Organisation: Tanner & Tilley
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE1

Contact ID: XED1029

Surname: BOWER

Unique

Option: E

Organisation:

Response Ref: CSIO-18539

Response:

General comments:

Theme 6 - Urban Extensions

We submit that our client's site and the wider area comprise the most sustainable location within the Area of Search for the urban extension. It would require relatively little new infrastructure and the greater quantum of housing would support a larger range of services and facilities, both in Burton and at Christchurch town centre.

Key Issue UE1 and UE2

We consider that Option E, our client's suggested alternative, is the most appropriate and sustainable location for an urban extension, with the least constraints. It is capable of complying with the guidance on urban extensions in the draft RSS, which states that:

"These [urban extensions] will be planned and developed as sustainable communities, which deliver a high quality of life through high standards of design and access and lowest practicable levels of energy and car use."

Development Policy F - Master Planning

As well as one of the key objectives of PSS1, found in paragraph 6, which directs LPAs to ensure that:

"...development supports existing communities and contributes to the creation of safe, sustainable, liveable and mixed communities with good access to jobs and key services for all members of the community"

The Sustainable Location of the Site

The site is located within walking distance of Christchurch town centre (1.6kms) and all the services, facilities and employment opportunities located there. The site is connected to the town centre by good pedestrian and public transport links. Stony Lane and Sainsbury Road cross the wider area and link it to the town centre. The m2 Poole - Christchurch bus service also serves Burton and runs right past the site, during the day this service runs every 10 minutes.

The proximity of the site to Christchurch Train Station is a very important consideration that weighs heavily in favour of the site and the wider area being allocated for the urban extension. Our client's site is approximately 1.8kms from the train station, and the main bus terminus, which operates next to the train station. The train station has an hourly service to London Waterloo (Journey time 1hr51) and a twice hourly service to Southampton (45mins), Poole (20mins) and Bournemouth (8mins).

The train and bus stations are highly accessible and provide regular services. Furthermore a regular bus service runs past the site. This means that development at our client's site would accord with the government's key planning objective of reducing the need to travel by car as set out in PPG13 Transport, which states that:

"The objectives of this guidance are to integrate planning and transport at the national, regional, strategic and local level to:

- promote accessibility to jobs, shopping, leisure facilities and services by public transport, walking and cycling, and reduce the need to travel, especially by car."

Objective 4 - PPG13

Our client's site is also only 1.3kms from Sainsbury's and 800 metres from the Post Office in Burton village. Housing development in the suggested location would create a secondary mini-local centre in Burton for the urban extension, alongside Christchurch town centre, further reducing the need to travel for both the future residents and the current residents of Burton. No other location within the Area of Search can boast such a sustainable location, and we consider that our client's proposed location for the urban extension is supported by national planning policy. One of the government's key planning principles set out in PPS1 Supplemental - Planning and Climate Change, is so relevant that it is worth reproducing in full here.

u all planning authorities should prepare, and manage the delivery of, spatial strategies that ...deliver patterns of urban growth and sustainable rural developments that help secure the fullest possible use of sustainable transport for moving freight, public transport, cycling and walking; and, which overall, reduce the need to travel, especially by car."

[My emphasis]

Infrastructure

Some improvements to public transport and pedestrian linkages would be necessary, as part of the development of the wider area, but this is likely to be the case for any new urban extension. The site compares favourably in this respect to other possible locations. It would not require any work to create new linkages under the railway line as suggested in the Core Strategy.

Flood Risk

Whilst much of the land within the Area of Search is Subject to a varying degree of flood risk, our client's site has never been subject to any flooding during living memory.

Well Contained Nature of the Site

The site and the wider area are extremely well contained by the two roads that cross it and the railway line to the south. The area is further defined by Burton village to the north. In contrast to other possibilities for an urban extension the site would not encroach on open countryside.

Impact on Burton

As stated in the Core Strategy Issues and Options paper, development north of the railway line would form part of Burton. This would change the character of the area, reducing the open space between Christchurch and Burton, but any urban extension in the area is likely to have a similar impact. Burton has seen substantial modern development and is now a very large village; for the most part it does not have a distinctive character. It is far from self-contained, as it is already dependent upon Christchurch for many services and facilities and the vast majority of its employment. Development in this location would enhance the self-containment of Burton; the village centre would be able to support additional services and facilities and the residents would not have to travel to Christchurch as frequently.

The existing feature of the railway line, along with the potential for extensive landscaping in the design and layout of the total 17.8 hectares site, can be utilised to maintain a significant degree of physical separation between Christchurch and Burton. The land south of the railway line beyond the site boundary would also help to separate the extension from Christchurch.

Other Locations within the Area of Search

South of the Railway line.

There is a long thin strip of land south of the railway line that falls within the identified area of search. There is a small section of this land, which is adjacent to the sewage works - this is the only piece of land within the area of search closer to Christchurch town centre than our client's site, although not Burton village centre. However, on the whole, we still consider that our client's site and the surrounding area is a more sustainable option because an urban extension south of the railway line would have to stretch a long way to the east in order to accommodate 600 dwellings. The dwellings in the centre and east of this extension would not be within walking distance of the town centre and as such this would not be the most sustainable option.

As the draft Joint Core Strategy states, all land south of the railway would require the removal of electricity pylons, vastly decreasing the amount of much needed affordable housing that could be delivered. This is not the case with our client's site and the surrounding area, which would not require any major infrastructure works.

As the Council correctly states, any urban extension smaller than 1,000 dwellings will not be able to support a local centre, as this urban extension is planned for only 600 dwellings, it must be placed as close as possible to a current local centre. Whilst land south of the railway line maybe closer to Sainsbury's supermarket, we do not consider that this will make for a good local centre for a new community. Our client's proposal would support the growth of a local centre in Burton, which we consider would contribute to the creation of a mixed and balanced community, in accordance with PPS1. Furthermore, our client's site would also support more services and facilities in Christchurch, improving the vitality of the town centre.

Land North of the Railway Line - East of Salisbury Road

The location for our client's proposed urban extension will require relatively little new infrastructure in comparison to other possible locations. One major restriction on nearly all the land to the north of the railway and east of Salisbury Road is that it will require expensive infrastructure works to create new road linkages to Christchurch. As the Core Strategy Issues and Options Paper state this would reduce the amount of affordable housing that would be viable on the site - this is not an issue with our client's site.

Other sites within the Area of Search are subject to a number of constraints, such as those falling within the Burton Village Conservation Area. Whilst our client's site and the surrounding area adjoin this conservation area, they are screened from the conservation area by the houses and farm buildings on west side of Salisbury Road. This is not the case for land to the east of Salisbury Road, the farmland immediately adjoining the road forms part of the conservation area, and building in this location would significantly impact the sense

of open countryside that contributes to the character of this Conservation Area.

As with all possible locations for the urban extension, any development east of Salisbury Road will lead to coalescence between Burton and Christchurch, but it would also reduce the permanence of the Green Belt that remained around it and encroaches on open countryside. As previously stated our client's site is extremely well contained and the railway line would create a durable and easily defensible Green Belt boundary in accordance with PPG2.

This area of land is also much further from the town centre and established bus routes than our client's. It is therefore far inferior in sustainability terms.

The Council states that another possibility is the Lyndhurst Road Allotments, not only is this an extremely unsustainable location which is some distance from the town centre, it would lead to the needless removal of highly valued public amenity space and encroach on the open countryside.

Summary

We consider that our client's site and the surrounding area offers the most sustainable location for the urban extension, due to its proximity to good transport links with Christchurch town centre and the railway station. It is very well contained and would allow for an easily defensible boundary for the Green Belt. It would require only limited infrastructure to deliver in comparison to other possible locations. Other possible locations are either further away from the town centre, would require expensive infrastructure to link them to local centres, or are highly constrained.

Our client's site and the surrounding is the most logical choice for the urban extension at Christchurch. It best accords with government policy, in particular PPS1, the PPS1 Supplement on Climate Change, PPS3, PPG2 and PPG13.

Issue:	UE1	Contact ID:	XED2461	Surname:	CRADDICK	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-20158
Option:	E	Organisation:	Beagle Aerospace (represented by Savills)				
Response:							
General comments:	Greater consideration to allowing mixed use development on existing developed sites within the urban areas will reduce the need for greenfield sites to be developed. Consequently, more flexibility to the redevelopment of such sites should be encouraged to ensure mixed and vibrant communities rather than adhering to a zoned approach to development						

Issue:	UE1	Contact ID:	XED2331	Surname:	DAVENPORT	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-07436
Option:	E	Organisation:	Dorset County Council				
Response:	Provides best opportunity to provide frequent public transport as extension of routes currently terminating in Somerford						
General comments:							

Issue: UE1	Contact ID: XED0180	Surname: DAVENPORT	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19990
Option: E	Organisation: Dorset Wildlife Trust		
Response:			
General comments:	The proposed site lies close to Bruton Common SSSI. Whilst this site is not internationally designated and therefore does not fall under the Habitats Directive, it is a heathland SSSI and the habitat is likely to suffer adverse impacts if the effects of recreational pressure are not fully considered. Therefore location and design of development needs to take this into account. The land itself should be examined for any nature conservation interests prior to allocation for development (there may be biodiversity priority habitats for example which have not been recorded previously as the site has not been surveyed)		

Issue: UE1	Contact ID: XED1945	Surname: EDE	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-13748
Option: E	Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association		
Response:	infill where appropriate		
General comments:			

Issue: UE1	Contact ID: XED0038	Surname: FOX	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14487
Option: E	Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council		
Response:	the urban extension should NOT go on of the railway line		
General comments:			

Issue: UE1	Contact ID: XED1345	Surname: HODGES	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10605
Option: E	Organisation: Christchurch Local History Society		
Response:	Hants will extract 3,000,000 tons of gravel east of the Mude, north of the railway. They will restrict development opportunities in that area. Dorset may also have plans for gravel extraction in the area around Burton		
General comments:			

Issue: UE1	Contact ID: XED2396	Surname: HODGES	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14108
Option: E	Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association		
Response:	Keep area character, especially rural and coastal		
General comments:			

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments: The proposals regarding the urban extension in the area defined as 'north of Christchurch' raise a number of issues, particularly regarding the suitability of this area to take new development. As stated on page 29 of the Core Strategy Issues and Options paper, development north of the railway line would require expensive works on the railway-bridge to carry traffic under it. In addition there are concerns over the risk of flooding which are likely to increase if mineral extraction takes place as has been identified in Hampshire County Council's Minerals Plan Preferred Options. As is identified on page 29 land to the south of the railway does not really offer a suitable alternative location for 600 dwellings. As stated it has a line of pylons running through it which would be expensive to move if it was necessary. Additionally, allotments which are located within the urban extension area of search may need to be relocated to allow new housing development to take place. While these matters are for Christchurch BC to resolve if the government's modifications to the RSS retain an urban extension in the area defined as north of Christchurch, then careful consideration and assessment will be required to decide how the development can be provided for in a way that will minimise the impacts on the local and surrounding areas.

In particular, the traffic impacts within New Forest District of the proposed development north of Christchurch must be properly assessed. These impacts are likely to be mainly on the B3347 (Avon Valley road) and the A35 (including through Lyndhurst). The development north of Christchurch should not be progressed if these impacts are shown to be unacceptable.

3.4 The proposals to provide Suitable Alternative Natural Greenspaces (SANGs) to attract people away from the Dorset Heathlands as outlined on page 15 of the Core Strategy Issues and Options paper, and the panel's report proposals that the opportunity for green infrastructure in the Stour Valley need to be realised, are broadly supported. In addition an approach which looks beyond just providing new areas of open space and which considers making best use of existing facilities is supported. Proposed development north of Christchurch is likely to have impacts on the New Forest District, although this would primarily be on the National Park. It is felt that the Issues and Options paper could have drawn further on the inter-relationships between the Christchurch BC and East Dorset DC proposals and the adjoining areas in the New Forest District/National Park. A recent study by consultants Footprint Ecology has highlighted the links between recreational pressure on sensitive areas of the National Park and visitor pressure from both the South Hampshire and South East Dorset sub-regions. NFDC is considering, through its LDF Core Strategy work, the need for appropriate mitigation measures associated with the limited amount of new development proposed in the District. These issues need to be approached on a co-ordinated basis by all relevant local authorities (including Christchurch and East Dorset).

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue:	UE1	Contact ID:	XED2442	Surname:	HELLIER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-18119
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	Highways Agency				
Response:							
General comments:	In assessing the most suitable location for the extension north of Christchurch the overarching strategy should be to incorporate the urban extension into the existing urban fabric. This would enable better integration with existing public transport, cycling and walking infrastructure for access to services, facilities and employment prospects for the new residents.						

Issue:	UE1	Contact ID:	XED2444	Surname:	ILLSLEY	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-18541
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	New Forest National Park Authority				
Response:							
General comments:	<p>Urban Extension north of Christchurch (600 dwellings)</p> <p>Linked to this point, the Authority remains concerned at the potential impact on the adjacent National Park of the proposed urban extension north of Christchurch. In response to Key Issue VE1 and VE2, the National Park Authority would expect the criteria to be used in developing the policy for the urban extension to include,</p> <p>(i) an assessment of the traffic impacts development on the cross-Forest routes (including the A35 and the bottle neck at Lyndhurst);</p> <p>(ii) the visual impact of development of this scale when viewed from the National Park. The Panel's Report into the draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South East for example, recommended additional wording be added to the regional policy for the New Forest National Park to make its setting a material planning consideration given the acute pressures of urbanisation in the area; and</p> <p>(iii) the potential for increased recreational pressure on protected habitats within the New Forest (an issue identified in the Habitats Regulations Assessment of the draft South West Plan). For example, the nearest part of the New Forest National Park to the proposed extension is Burton Common - a designated heathland SSSI which is already under some pressure from recreational use.</p>						

Issue:	UE1	Contact ID:	XED0042	Surname:	MAWBAY	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-13169
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	Christchurch Borough Council				
Response:	invalid response - all options ticked						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE1	Contact ID:	XED0018	Surname:	NOTTAGE	Unique		
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	Christchurch Borough Council				Response Ref:	CSIO-12277
Response:	invalid response all options ticked							
General comments:								

Issue: UE1

Contact ID: XED1498

Surname: PARKE

Unique

Option: GENERAL

Organisation: Ken Parke Planning Consultants

Response Ref:

CSIO-18223

Response:

General
comments:

The Christchurch and East Dorset Core Strategy Issues and Options March 2008 discussion paper sets out the various options for urban extensions should the Secretary of State accept the Panel's recommendation put forward as part of the regional spatial strategy processes.

The Draft Regional Spatial Strategy has identified specific proposals for urban extensions to the north of the C1n'istchurch urban area identified as land to the north of the railway and east of the county boundary for approximately 600 dwellings. It would be reasonable to assume that the area of land required for this number of dwellings and to provide for the needs of the future occupiers would be in the region of 20 hectares if the densities are to be 30 dwellings per hectare. It is also reasonable to assume that more land than this would be required in order to provide additional public and open recreational space which can be used by the existing population towards mitigating any existing adverse impacts on the Dorset heathland. Such densities would allow the creation of a pleasant residential environment and a mix of house types along with additional public open space.

The RSS has identified an area of search and this has been circulated by the LPA to the various land owners and stakeholders within the area. There are obviously a range of options available and the land take will not include the full area identified within the RSS area of search. It may be that, rather than provide all of the housing in one area it may be preferable to spread the housing developments.

I understand that the LPA are seriously considering the land to the east of the Sainsbury's and Stewarts commercial properties along Roeshot Hill. While this land may be suitable for some of the housing there is also potential on land to the east of Burton for additional residential development. I wish to promote that land contained within Summers Lane and Preston Lane and Salisbury Road and Hawthorn Road as identified on the enclosed plans. This area of land would be suitable for housing easily accessed from the existing road network. Additional housing on this land would support and protect existing village facilities and services. Development to the south of the railway line would do little to promote facilities within the village of Burton.

It is also important to locate housing development away from the railway line and the busy roads due to the potential for noise and disturbance to future occupiers.

I wish to promote this area of land identified on behalf of the owners of the various elements of land. Development on the land identified can take place contiguous to the existing settlement and would be seen as a logical extension to the village. While such development would obviously result in the loss of existing Green Belt land it is considered that, in this location, the impact would be less than would development along the bypass or along Roeshot Hill. Likewise, the development of this land would not result in the loss of important allotment land.

I wish to promote this land on behalf my clients and I can provide more detailed information at the appropriate stage once I receive a degree of comfort from the LPA that this area of land is to be seriously considered.

I would ask the relevant Officers to contact me to discuss what further information they will require and at what stage in order to promote the land for inclusion within the Core Strategy and the LDF.

I would ask that this correspondence be taken as a response to the Core Strategy Issues and Options paper.

I look forward to receiving your comments.

Issue:	UE1	Contact ID:	XED2394	Surname:	WILKES	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-12904
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:					
Response:	Invalid response more than one option ticked						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE1	Contact ID:	XED2702	Surname:	WOOLF	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-31617
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd / Bodorgan Properties CI Ltd represented by Woolf Bond Planning				
Response:	Invalid response - more than one option selected						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE10	Contact ID:	XED2493	Surname:	ADAMS	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-20804
Option:	A	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:							

Issue:	UE10	Contact ID:	XED2443	Surname:	BAKER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-18308
Option:	A	Organisation:	Linden Homes (Southern) Ltd represented by Lennon Planning				
Response:	Agree						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE10	Contact ID:	XED2421	Surname:	BETTERTON	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-17726
Option:	A	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:							

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED2500 Surname: TORRENT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20824
Option: A Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED2521 Surname: WARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20876
Option: A Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED2324 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08382
Option: B Organisation: A&R Developments (represented by Sibbett Gregory)
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED1947 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26334
Option: B Organisation: Sibbett Gregory
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED2410 Surname: CHITTENDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21122
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2524 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2514 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2744 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2418 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2510 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED1314 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24175
Option: B Organisation: Bournemouth & West Hampshire Water Plc (represented by Goadsby)
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED2495 Surname: PESKETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20809
Option: B Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED2483 Surname: PHELAN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20768
Option: B Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED2450 Surname: RICHARDS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19284
Option: B Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED2512 Surname: ROWCLIFFE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20854
Option: B Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE10 **Contact ID:** XED2487 **Surname:** GRAHAM **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-20782
Option: D **Organisation:** []

Response: St Leonards old hospital site and opposite - north side of A31 Not in, but close to the area

General comments: []

Issue: UE10 **Contact ID:** XED2417 **Surname:** HAMMOND **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-17715
Option: D **Organisation:** []

Response: None of the above without Ferndown relief road.
All vacant plots along Wimborne Road East and West should be used first.
After excluding flood plains (can it be that planners are now seeing sense) Parley Common, Dudsbury camp and flight path areas there are only 2 obvious areas left - both golf courses.
Ferndown golf course would be the best choice with an access road to the north east to the A31 for travel to east or west.

General comments: []

Issue: UE10 **Contact ID:** XED2463 **Surname:** HAMPTON **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-20710
Option: D **Organisation:** []

Response: Infilling in already built up areas - Dormy hotel

General comments: []

Issue: UE10 **Contact ID:** XED3037 **Surname:** HAMPTON **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-37243
Option: D **Organisation:** Ferndown Labour Party

Response: We appreciate that more affordable houses must be built in the south of the county to accommodate in particular, members of vital services ie teachers, firemen, nurses etc. We suggest however that authorities in the area should first conduct a rigorous scrutiny of brown field sites where at least some essential facilities are already available. The former Dormy hotel site would seem one obvious example and also land around St Leonards Hospital and in the A31 corridor.

General comments: []

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED0902 Surname: HASKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15260
Option: D Organisation:

Response:

General comments: There may land in the south east quadrant of Parley Cross of limited sensitivity, although proximity to Parley Common must be carefully considered. There may be land around Longham (east, west and south and not just north of Longham) which may have limited sensitivity. Going too far north of Longham would have impact upon Ferndown Common SSSI
Areas should be sought which have an intrinsically lower wildlife interest. For example land either side of the B3073 between Longham and Little Canford is a generally open improved landscape seemingly of limited intrinsic interest possibly offering scope for a development using Hampresrton as its nucleus. Development northwards towards Stapehill would be constrained by biological diversity

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED1939 Surname: HEASLIP Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20730
Option: D Organisation: West Parley Residents Association

Response: Some place without West Parley's constraints, Grange Estate?

General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED2489 Surname: HIRST Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20792
Option: D Organisation:

Response: Dormy Hotel Site

General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED2227 Surname: HOLLOWAY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20733
Option: D Organisation:

Response: Hurn Airport and Dormy Hotel site

General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED2519 Surname: HOVERS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20871
Option: D Organisation:

Response: Bournemouth

General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED2335 Surname: KENYON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07804
Option: D Organisation: William Lester Architects
Response: North of Airport
General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED2416 Surname: KING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17712
Option: D Organisation:
Response:
General comments: Somewhere else but not in our area, it is congested enough and heavy traffic already.

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED1179 Surname: KING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17697
Option: D Organisation:
Response: South of The Horns and North/West of Longham
General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED0201 Surname: KITE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18599
Option: D Organisation: Natural England
Response:
General comments: The northern part of the AoS is unsuitable for housing development as it is too near Parley Common. South of Parley Cross the issue of potential effects on designated heathlands is still crucial but there is scope for avoidance measures in the form of SANGS. Here the potential role of the Stour Valley (and the area immediately north of it), and the relationship between any SANGs developed there and housing will need to be examined closely. Development in the northernmost part of this area, close to Christchurch Road would be likely to give easy access to Parley Common; it would then be difficult to encourage residents towards SANGs to the south. Whilst there is land that has potential for SANGs in the area it is not currently attractive and effective SANGs would take some time to develop. This may need to happen ahead of housing development.
West of Parley Cross It seems likely that the small fields around Dudsbury have more intrinsic nature conservation interest than that above area around Parley Cross. The trees and hedgerows could well be important for nightjar foraging. Given the alternatives we would not be in favour of development there.

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED1514 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24240
Option: D Organisation: Libra Homes (represented by Goadsby)

Response:

General comments: The description 'around the northern edge of Longham' should include land at Holmwood Park, South Ferndown'. Land at Holmwood Park, as illustrated on Plan 1 in the supplementary representations, is a sustainable option to accommodate growth. The site has good potential to bring forward a logical urban extension to the town, principally for residential development but also for public open space, SANGS, community uses, transportation improvements and environmental enhancements, see the Illustrative Masterplan. Land at West Parley is a less sustainable option, development here will result in more severe green belt and landscape impacts and it is unsuitable due to aircraft noise impacts being directly below the departure and arrival route to Runway 26 of Bournemouth Airport and within the noise contour area of between 57dB and 69 dB, full justification is included in the supplementary representations.
For full justification please see supplementary representations.

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED2472 Surname: POGSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20745
Option: D Organisation:

Response: Use all brown field sites before any more development on open country

General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED2506 Surname: ROTHWELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20838
Option: D Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED2434 Surname: RUSSELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17790
Option: D Organisation:

Response: Surrounding smaller areas and large villages like Colehill, Holt, Sturminster Marshall, Spetisbury, St Leonards, Ringwood etc. Ferndown pollution levels are documented and well known as the worst in all of Dorset. We have already lost many swathes of heathland and areas of green belt and almost engulfed in one cramped conurbation.

General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED2469 Surname: SCHIRN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20727
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Between Ferndown and A31
General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED2428 Surname: SEWELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17749
Option: D Organisation:
Response: We do not want any development.
Longham has some suitable areas but 1700
General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED2451 Surname: SMITH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19293
Option: D Organisation:
Response: The Grange, St Leonards
General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED2260 Surname: SOLOMON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18790
Option: D Organisation:
Response:
General comments: In Hurn village. It is near the spur road and would relieve the already junction at West Parley of more traffic

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED2458 Surname: STARK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19339
Option: D Organisation:
Response: To the East of Parley and to the west of Parley Common - Not by Parley cross roads.
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE10 **Contact ID:** XED3031 **Surname:** BRIGHT **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-37212
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: These are options prepared by yourselves to suit yourselves, they are not options that residents of this area will accept. Have you considered what will happen when the Olympics are being held. You mention in your leaflet Regional Spatial Strategy What is it and what authority does it have.

General comments: []

Issue: UE10 **Contact ID:** XED2429 **Surname:** CHESHIRE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-17752
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: All EDDC Councillors are against any intention to build on the local green belt and we support them 100% in this view

General comments: []

Issue: UE10 **Contact ID:** XED1432 **Surname:** CHITTENDEN **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-03434
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Environment TAG (East Dorset)

Response: No consensus view has been formed. Development in this area is a particularly contentious issue and individual members are responding directly. Again we would stress the need for detailed survey work to identify nature conservation interests before land is allocated for potential development.

General comments: []

Issue: UE10 **Contact ID:** XED2466 **Surname:** GRIGGS **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-20719
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: These options are not compatible with the diagram and notes given

General comments: []

Issue: UE10 **Contact ID:** XED2442 **Surname:** HELLIER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-18126
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Highways Agency

Response: []

General comments: In assessing the most suitable location for the extension south and east of Ferndown, the overarching strategy should be to incorporate the extension into the existing urban fabric. This would enable better integration with existing public transport, cycling and walking infrastructure for access to services, facilities and employment prospects for the new residents.

Issue: UE10	Contact ID: XED2471	Surname: HUMPHREYS	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20739
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	To the East of Hurn Airport		
General comments:			

Issue: UE10	Contact ID: XED2159	Surname: KENDRICK	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18178
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: Barton Willmore Planning		
Response:			
General comments:	<p>5.1 With regards to the location of development we consider that land at Parley lane is a more suitable location for large scale development than options A, B or C. The development we propose will provide sustainable transport links and a new mixed use centre which will ensure that use of the car will be minimised.</p> <p>5.2 We consider the locations at West Parley and Longham to be suburban in nature lacking sufficient shops and services, while we acknowledge the existing shops at Parley Cross we do not consider that they are sufficient to cater for the scale of development required to meet RSS housing figures. As acknowledged in the issues and options document there are no schools in these areas.</p> <p>5.3 The proposed mixed use development at Parley Lane will provide a new community centre including shops and services, our proposals will also provide sites for any schools necessary to cater for the needs of the development</p>		

Issue: UE10	Contact ID: XED2447	Surname: MACDONALD	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19239
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	I agree with the Council's opposition to the plans for housing in the existing green belt.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE10

Contact ID: XED2405

Surname: MOLNAR

Unique

Option: GENERAL

Organisation: For Persimmon & Banner Homes (represented by Terence O'Rourke)

Response Ref: CSIO-17735

Response:

General comments:

The urban extension to the south and east of Ferndown should be located around the southern edge of West Parley, as shown on the enclosed illustrative masterplan. An urban extension here will deliver housing and additional community facilities on existing public transport routes, in close proximity to a wide range of employment, retail and leisure facilities in the Parley, Ferndown and Bournemouth areas, as well as the strategic employment site at Bournemouth Airport.

As can be seen from the enclosed illustrative masterplan, the development is located beyond 400 metres from the Parley Common SPA and does not encroach into the floodplain of the Stour. Land in the valley will be available as mitigation land that could contribute to the wider concept of the Stour Valley Country Park. All of the land lies beyond the 63db noise contour associated with the airport noise. Although some of the development would be located within the 63 to 57db contours, this is acceptable subject to the construction of dwellings achieving the required noise insulation standards.

The western part of the development abuts Dudsbury camp. It would not encroach onto this Ancient Monument, and the area would be subject to archeological study before any development took place.

The development is well positioned in relation to the local shopping centre at Parley Cross, which will benefit from the increased population nearby. There is scope for a new primary school on site, subject to need, and there is a good existing sub regional bus service connecting Ferndown and Bournemouth along New Road with a half hour frequency. In addition there are other bus routes serving Poole/Ringwood & Ringwood/Bournemouth, which offer an hourly and two hourly service respectively.

Although there are currently no east-west public transport links, there is a significant opportunity to put such links in place in the context of considering an urban extension in this location alongside the proposed strategic employment area at Bournemouth Airport, providing homes and jobs in the relatively close proximity.

The illustrative masterplan includes space for the proposed gyratory system at Parley Cross, which is suggested in the SEDLTP as a potential improvement to this junction to accommodate the traffic generation from further development at Bournemouth Airport. However, the current proposal for a gyratory system merits revisiting in the context of an urban extension, and other solutions can be explored to deal with traffic flows at this junction, with the aim of minimising the domination of traffic and to ease integration of new and existing residential areas.

The site is therefore relatively unconstrained in planning, landscape and environmental terms. It can provide about 928 units in the form illustrated, although there is scope for change to this figure subject to detailed work and discussion regarding densities and other site planning matters.

Land at Longham is far less suitable than land south of West Parley for an urban extension. Longham is a separate settlement and development could lead to coalescence with Ferndown. Local services at Longham are very few, and bus services limited to a school bus service and an hourly service to Poole. There are no direct services to Bournemouth. There is therefore considerably less scope to build on existing communities facilities, which is an advantage of the West Parley land. In addition, Longham is further from the strategic employment area at the Airport, reducing the scope for pedestrian and cycle links to be effective.

To conclude, the land shown on the enclosed illustrative masterplan is the best opportunity to provide a sustainable urban extension in this area, and should be fully supported.

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED2419 Surname: PARSONS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17719
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: I am not in favour of any further major developments in this area until present traffic problems have been sorted.
General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED3032 Surname: RUSSELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37214
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: To produce a document entitled Issues and Options that generalises areas of search for potential development and than states that some of the areas indicated are not viable options simply displays incompetence. It is not possible to comment on potential areas of development until a sensible consultation document has been circulated which clearly defines the possible areas for consideration.
General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED2431 Surname: SMITH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17760
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: None of them
General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED2517 Surname: SMITH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20866
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Nowhere in the search area
General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED2518 Surname: TALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20869
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: All development should be resisted most vigorously
General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED2430 Surname: WARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17757
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: NIMBY
General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED2504 Surname: WILKINSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20835
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: Dormy hotel site
General comments:

Issue: UE10 Contact ID: XED2552 Surname: WOODCOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22567
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Environment Agency Response:
General comments: General Comments – When considering location of new development it should be informed by your SFRA and the requirements of PPS25. Furthermore, when considering developing policy for the East Dorset urban extensions we recommend the criteria set out in UE2 (plus our additional comments, see above) are considered.

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED0987 Surname: HEDGER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17228
Option: A Organisation: Mr M Hedger (represented by Goadsby Ltd) Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2734 Surname: Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35187
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: 500 east of Ferndown the balance north of Wimborne
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2733 Surname: Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35169
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: None
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2493 Surname: ADAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20805
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Wimborne - 40%
Ferndown - 60%
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2440 Surname: AMES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17842
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: A lousy diagram - please ask Ferndown Middle School next time.
General comments: Dwellings are not needed in Parley or Longham - the water table is too high and there is no infrastructure - no schools - no play area - no buses the whole area is already choked with traffic and it is under the hurn flight path. The whole idea is a disaster.

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2477 Surname: BALDRY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20756
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Whatever is needed to house everyone
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2492 Surname: BARBER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20801
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: None at all
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2421 Surname: BETTERTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17727
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED0957 Surname: BISSEX Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34066
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED1014 Surname: BOURTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02590
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED1176 Surname: BROOKS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17890
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2465 Surname: BROOMFIELD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20717
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE11 **Contact ID:** XED2324 **Surname:** BROWN **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-08384
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** A&R Developments (represented by Sibbett Gregory)

Response: Should be plan led - what can be accommodated?

General comments:

Issue: UE11 **Contact ID:** XED2498 **Surname:** BURTON **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-20818
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: 1000 dwellings north and west of Wimborne.
500 in Longham
200 in Parley Common

General comments:

Issue: UE11 **Contact ID:** XED1342 **Surname:** CANTLIE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-11039
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Christchurch Conservation Trust

Response: Ferndown 1,200 dwellings
Wimborne 500 dwellings

General comments:

Issue: UE11 **Contact ID:** XED2433 **Surname:** CLARKE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-17777
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: As little as possible.
Do we really need more housing in the Ferndown area at all.

General comments:

Issue: UE11 **Contact ID:** XED2524 **Surname:** CLAYTON-PAINE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-20886
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: 50% EACH

General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2514 Surname: COLLIER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20859
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: No more than 500 dwellings
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2423 Surname: COOK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17733
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: None
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2449 Surname: COOPER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19269
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: The dwellings around Ferndown should be kept to an absolute minimum due to the already over crowded environment.
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED0946 Surname: COSSINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01861
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: 700
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2418 Surname: COWAN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17718
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Absolute minimum because of environmental needs and poor transport links
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2501 Surname: CRADDOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20826
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: 500 dwellings at the most
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2448 Surname: CURRIE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19245
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: None
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2510 Surname: DARK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20850
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: None
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2497 Surname: DUROW Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20815
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: 600
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2647 Surname: ELCOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26472
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: 500 east & south of Ferndown

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2542 Surname: GEDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22147
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: No more than 500
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2487 Surname: GRAHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20783
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: 200 max
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2466 Surname: GRIGGS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20720
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: Beyond my knowledge to speculate - surely West Parley residents will say Wimborne Minster and vice versa.
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2457 Surname: GROUNSELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19336
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: North of Wimborne and South West of Ferndown
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2101 Surname: GUTTERIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17755
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Ferndown LAG Response: 50% of total to East and South of Ferndown
General comments:

Issue:	UE11	Contact ID:	XED2463	Surname:	HAMPTON	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-20711
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:					
Response:	500						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE11	Contact ID:	XED0902	Surname:	HASKINS	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-15261
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:	It might certainly appear that the area se, s & Sw Ferndown has a largely improved landscape and may offer greater scope for accepting development without damage to intrinsic wildlife interests than areas in and around Wimborne. However this is an issue which can only be addressed when the appropriate studies of all environmental factors have been undertaken and it is inappropriate for a local authority to be inviting the public to propose precise figures at this stage Shops at Parley Cross are already provided. The post office at Longham could be improved. A local shop at Hampreston would be needed						

Issue:	UE11	Contact ID:	XED1939	Surname:	HEASLIP	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-20731
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	West Parley Residents Association				
Response:	How much housing should there be? As much as you can find sustainable locations for - this does not include West Parley						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE11	Contact ID:	XED2442	Surname:	HELLIER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-18127
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	Highways Agency				
Response:							
General comments:	The split of 1,700 dwellings between Wimborne Minister and Ferndown will need to be further assessed in light of their respective impacts on the A31. The findings of the Transport Study will further assist this.						

Issue:	UE11	Contact ID:	XED2227	Surname:	HOLLOWAY	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-20734
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:					
Response:	As few as possible no more flats.						
General comments:							

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2519 Surname: HOVERS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20872
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: None
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2491 Surname: HUDSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20799
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: Suggest 66% should be in these areas with the lesser amount in Wimborne
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2471 Surname: HUMPHREYS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20740
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: None
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2328 Surname: JENKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06895
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Dorset County Council Response: 1300 - 1400
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2415 Surname: JENKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17710
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: 1300 - 1400 dwellings
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2127 Surname: JONES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34300
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Alderholt Parish Plan Steering Group
Response:
General comments: 60%

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2437 Surname: KAMPF Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17809
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: The Council is opposed to the plans for housing in the green belt and I agree with them.
The number of dwellings built should be kept as low as possible.
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2523 Surname: KASKELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20883
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: 1000 - SOUTH
700 - EAST
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2488 Surname: KEMP Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20790
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: 400 maximum is more than the infrastructure can cope with.
General comments:

Issue: UE11	Contact ID: XED2159	Surname: KENDRICK	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18179
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: Barton Willmore Planning		
Response:			
General comments:	<p>5.4 It is important to note that the areas of search contained in the draft RSS and the Panel Report are not yet agreed and may be subject to future change. Therefore a degree of flexibility needs to be retained in the emerging East Dorset and Christchurch Core strategy.</p> <p>5.5 In order to retain flexibility we consider that a HMA wide approach to determining housing numbers needs to be applied to the Core Strategy. This would involve looking at the possibility that to some degree the housing requirements of Bournemouth, Poole, Christchurch and East Dorset are interchangeable</p>		

Issue: UE11	Contact ID: XED1031	Surname: KENYON	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17770
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	1300		
General comments:			

Issue: UE11	Contact ID: XED2335	Surname: KENYON	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07805
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: William Lester Architects		
Response:	1300		
General comments:			

Issue: UE11	Contact ID: XED2416	Surname: KING	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17713
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	100		
General comments:			

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2522 Surname: MORISON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20880
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: 400
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2516 Surname: MURPHY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20864
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: none
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2420 Surname: NEEDHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17723
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: Even half of the 1700 houses mentioned if built in the Ferndown/West Parley area would create massive problems. 200 - 300 dwellings could possibly be absorbed but not 800 - 900.
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2470 Surname: NICHOLS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20737
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: This cannot be properly answered until UE12 is addressed and a solution found.
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2413 Surname: NIPPARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17706
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: The development density levels should be at a scale that will not impose social and parking problems on the adjacent communities.
General comments:

Issue: UE11 **Contact ID:** XED2277 **Surname:** ODDIE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-20889
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____
Response: 250 - 400 homes
General comments: _____

Issue: UE11 **Contact ID:** XED2452 **Surname:** O'SULLIVAN **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-19317
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____
Response: Are so many houses needed in this are? Is it necessary for natural expansion/change of housing demand for the local population? It would only encourage more people to move to currently rural east dorset.
General comments: _____

Issue: UE11 **Contact ID:** XED1514 **Surname:** PALMER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-24241
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Libra Homes (represented by Goadsby)
Response: _____
General comments: Land at Holmwood Park, South Ferndown should be identified in the Core Strategy and Site Allocation DPD as an urban extension. It is estimated that the site could bring forward approximately 14 hectares of land. At 40-50 dwellings per hectare, some 336-500 dwellings (net) could be constructed. This range is based on the assumption that some 8-10 hectares, some 60-70% of the overall site, is developed at 40-50 dph. At a higher density or land take this figure will increase, this would be resolved through the more detailed LDF site allocation process and masterplanning. At this stage the general principal of developing in this locality is being established.

It is unclear whether land at 'South Ferndown' is actually allowed for as part of the Area of Search P as recommended in the Draft RSS. First detailed proposals put forward by the Authorities appeared to be promoting a specific site at West Parley. However should the area of search now be broadened the land at Holmwood Park is ideally suited to provide a sustainable urban extension within the context of the Area of Search. However should it not fall into the Area of Search the site will equally be suited to accommodate a proportion of the additional 1000 dwellings as recommended in the draft RSS Panel Report.
For full justification please see supplementary representations.

Issue: UE11 **Contact ID:** XED2419 **Surname:** PARSONS **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-17720
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____
Response: None
General comments: _____

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2495 Surname: PESKETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20810
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2483 Surname: PHELAN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20769
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2464 Surname: PIPER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20714
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2472 Surname: POGSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20746
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2467 Surname: PRICE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20723
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2663 Surname: PROWSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28316
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: at least half

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2486 Surname: RHODES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20780
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Half
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2450 Surname: RICHARDS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19285
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: 400
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2482 Surname: ROPER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20766
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: 50%
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2434 Surname: RUSSELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17791
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: At the very most 300 homes, we in Ferndown have the most densley populated area outside of Poole, Bournemouth and Christchurch in the East Dorset area. Vital but already fragmented communities will diminish altogether with dire results.
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2507 Surname: SCAGELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20841
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: 500 dwellings max
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2469 Surname: SCHIRN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20728
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: 200 max
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2428 Surname: SEWELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17750
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: We do not believe that West Parley is suitable for development because of reasons stated in your leaflet.
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2607 Surname: SHRUBB Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28080
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: 50%

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2411 Surname: SILVERTHORNE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17701
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: 700
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2503 Surname: WHITE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20832
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: No more than 500 dwellings at any site
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2513 Surname: WILLIAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20857
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: 200 dwellings
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2435 Surname: WOOD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17800
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Very difficult to say
General comments:

Issue: UE11 Contact ID: XED2454 Surname: YOUNG Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19327
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: 25%
General comments:

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED0987 Surname: HEDGER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17229
Option: A Organisation: Mr M Hedger (represented by Goadsby Ltd)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:

Option: Organisation:

Unique Response Ref:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:

Option: Organisation:

Unique Response Ref:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:

Option: Organisation:

Unique Response Ref:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:

Option: Organisation:

Unique Response Ref:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:

Option: Organisation:

Unique Response Ref:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2421	Surname: BETTERTON	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17728
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Another road crossing of the River Stour. Better public transport to Hurn airport (for travellers and workers)		
General comments:	Transport is a huge problem increased by the limited number of river crossing points. Aircraft noise is increasing greatly with the development of Hurn airport as well as traffic. Neither area has any schools or doctors and the shopping at Parley is pretty limited. Get the continental traffic through Poole away from Longham bridge and Ferndown.		

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED0957	Surname: BISSEX	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34067
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:			
General comments:	public transport and restricted car use		

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED3031	Surname: BRIGHT	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37213
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	No development of any kind involving increase in population can be planned until there is a proper and clear roads improvement plan, the traffic volume in Ferndown is now to great for the existing roads to cope with. The many closures of the Bournemouth spur road also add to the traffic through the town. The use of Ferndown Centre by HGV's is no longer acceptable. It is thereofre quite ridiculous to plan further development around Ferndown until the problems of the roads are resolved. One of the principle routes into Dorest is via Ferndown, your core strategy does not cover present and future road use in the area.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2465	Surname: BROOMFIELD	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20718
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	The most logical transport system would be circular tramway serving all the conurbations of Poole, Bournemouth, Christchurch, Ferndown, Wimborne and Corfe Mullen		
General comments:	The whole approach to this problem is from the wrong direction. We are fast getting to the situation where popuation needs to be controlled rather than more housing be made available, therefore birth control, immigration control and usage of housing in the Midlands and North of the country needs to be utilised before the South of England slips into the English Channel.		

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED1947 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26335
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Sibbett Gregory
Response:
General comments: public transport improvements and local shops and services

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2324 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08385
Option: GENERAL Organisation: A&R Developments (represented by Sibbett Gregory)
Response: Improve all road junctions to facilitate more frequent public transport
General comments:

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2498 Surname: BURTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20819
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: School, shops and buses.
Youth centre/skating rink
Adventure playground (smaller version of Moors Valley)
General comments:

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2432 Surname: BUTLER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17764
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Everything would be needed - Traffic systems, schools and shops.
Just all so unnecessary houses would create turmoil and ruin the small amount of countryside left in this area.
General comments: West Parley is a semi rural area with green fields and heatlands - home to animals, birds insects etc. It already has traffic problems and more housing would create more traffic. It would be ridiculous to build more houses within this area. It would put such a drain on all resources and there are not enough facilities ie shops, schools etc.
Perhaps property developers in Bournemouth and Poole should not be allowed to keep building blocks of flats which are priced so high that young people and first time buyers and families can not afford them. Smaller groups of houses scattered around would not be such a drain on resources on one particular area.

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2474	Surname: CHAPPELL	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20750
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	General infrastructure, the doctors are already overloaded. The roads are clogged up and we must stop HGV's travelling through the centre of Ferndown.		
General comments:	I can understand that additional housing is required however Ferndown has become a sprawling town that has lost its character. The town is on the verge of shutting down with many empty shops. Something must be done with the infrastructure if many new houses are built in the area. A new gp surgery that covers the new development and therefore does not impact the current gp's. The roads are clogged especially through Ferndown, get the HGV's on the bypass that's why it was built.		

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2429	Surname: CHESHIRE	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17753
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:			
General comments:	Please note this leaflet is exceedingly confusing to the point of being totally futile. The map and options are utterly misleading and not at all representative of whats planned by people who have no knowledge of or interest in our area.		

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2410	Surname: CHITTENDEN	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21131
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:			
General comments:	Canfor Bottom roundabout improvements		

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2433	Surname: CLARKE	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17779
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Possible upgrade of road between Tricketts Cross and East Parley via Turbary residential area.		
General comments:	Do we really need an urban extension of Ferndown. There are plenty of places suitable for infilling on a small scale. If we are obliged to identify areas then what about the large area of land to the East of Parley Common with access along Chapel Lane or between Chapel Lane and Barrack Road. This area is sufficiently far from the airport and not in any flight path and could have good access to main trunk routes serving the region.		

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2524	Surname: CLAYTON-PAINE	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20887
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Wherever the housing is will impact at Parley crossroads. The gyratory or fly over must be in place before any building commences.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2514	Surname: COLLIER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20860
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Better road facilities, an improved layout at Parley cross roads ie roundabout rather than traffic lights.		
General comments:	Traffic levels on Christchurch road and New road running to West Parley cross roads is increasing virtually day by day and further development in this area would bring total gridlock to the roads with access roads being blocked. At present Chine Walk is used as a rat run from New Road through Mags Barrow and Chine Walk to Christchurch Road and further increased volumes of traffic would exacerbate this. The small shopping precinct at Parley cross does not afford a wide variety of general shops to serve additional homes. Worried and concerned about the future in West Parley.		

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2423	Surname: COOK	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17734
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:			
General comments:	It has already been established by the rejection of development on site adjacent to Christchurch Road that further developments in the area to south of Ferndown and West Parley is not practical as local facilities are being used to their limits. The noise pollution from current air traffic does cause serious environmental issues and if this is to increase further factors will arise. What has happened about the government approval for Grange New Town and the development of original St Leonards Hospital site. This location would be ideal for mass development now being proposed.		

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2490	Surname: COOPER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20794
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:			
General comments:	I understand that Ferndown Council are not at all in agreement with their plan to build more housing and neither am I.		

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2449 Surname: COOPER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19271
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: West Parley crossroads and Longham Bridge are already constantly jammed with the high volume of traffic both of these areas would need wider roads.

General comments: I don't understand why Ferndown and Longham areas should be targeted for expansion in the past 7 years since we have moved here there has already been a substantial rise in the amount of traffic. The extra number of people are putting a strain on resources such as schools and doctors. The areas to the North of Ferndown are much less populated with the close proximity of West Moors providing doctors, schools, libraries and shops etc. The bypass to Poole would alleviate the constant jams going through Ferndown. To increase the density of housing near the airport would also have safety issues.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED0946 Surname: COSSINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01862
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Bus service

General comments:

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2501 Surname: CRADDOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20827
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: The roads must be improved before any building takes place.
Schools must be built.

General comments: Canford Bottom roundabout is dangerous and must be improved before any work is undertaken. Cycled lanes are causing traffic congestion and you should not narrow the roads but use the grass verges if these are required as these could be developed.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2496 Surname: CUMMINGS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20813
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: West Parley has not got the facilities to manage with more houses, the roads at the moment can not cope with the amount of cars, the schools and doctors are full and you have to wait a week to get appointments. With more houses this would be a nightmare.
The airport getting bigger is not going to help the roads so this will mean more cars, buses and lorries on the road already. We do not need more homes in West Parley find an area that can cope with more people and cars.
Why build on green belt if a landowner wanted to for himself would he be allowed No.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2448 Surname: CURRIE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19246
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Please look after your established residents we need wheelie bins for our household waste as our so called free plastic bags are being stopped because of lack of funds also the roads need repair and resurfacing.

General comments: I am really very angry with this disgusting govermennt and its dictatorship over common sense. They will not listen to our concerns over severe over crowding and pollution caused by the unwanted extra houses and the awful traffic that comes with it, we can hardly move even now. There are far to many immigrants here already and I don't mean racial. Ferndown, Christchurch, Bournemouth and Poole are already full to bursting enough is enough! Is enough!
Send this unwanted demand for 40,000 houses to where Brown lives or to that derelict site called Hull where Prescott lives it needs severe regeneration anyway. Put this stupid demand on the back burner because this dreadful government is dead in the water.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2510 Surname: DARK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20851
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: A full infrastructure of roads, parking, school enlargements, further shopping facilities.
More recreational facilities , better public transport and improved medical and dental services to be planned before planning of housing developments.

General comments: There is no area in West Parley that could support a housing development without contravening many of the factors mentioned.
To the east of Ferndown it would impact on the protected heathland environment of Parley Common and area of SSSI.
To the east of New Road land is very low lying, if not an actual flood plain. Hence drainage would be a major problem, especially since rainwater would be unable to drain through the soil due to additional large areas of building and hard standing.
Any building between Christchurch Road and the River Stour would erode the key gaps separating the existing settlements so they could not continue to survive as open country.
Any development would not be located close to existing services, doctors, schools and work places hence there would be additional need to travel involving the use of many more cars on roads that are already saturated with traffic and almost at a standstill for at least a couple of hours morning and evening.
It is stated the new housing areas will not be big enough to support local centres of their own. Existing centres will be unable to cope with greatly increased demands. Schools will be over crowded, recreational areas will not be large enough, there is an insufficient variety of shops in West Parley, the village hall would be too small, the only work place likely to expand is Bournemouth airport and all other employment would be a minimum of 4 miles away so there would be a great increase in traffic.
A housing development cannot just be proposed for West Parley in the hope that the existing infrastructure will cope. It certainly will not do so and hence any development must come complete with its own planned infrastructure and services. There will also have to be a complete restructuring of the West Parley road system to cope with the large amount of extra traffic resulting from any development and also the airport expansion.
For these reasons any housing development in West Parley is impracticable and any intention of large scale development should be shelved indefinitely.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED3030 Surname: DAVIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37211
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: How can consideration be given to more housing when the local road system is so inadequate. Im sure you are aware of the queues and jams that regularly occur along Parley Lane and at West Parley crossroads, along Ferndown Bypass , Canford Bottom roundabout and along Ringwood Road through Longham and Ferndown and these are not just at peak times.
What ever happened to proposed Ferndown relief road and what proposals exist to improve the situation and what are the realistic chances of any improvements taking place to the road infrastructure before any expansion of housing takes place.

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2520 Surname: ELLIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20875
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Courage and common sense
General comments: In view of impending global food shortages, the use of agricultural land for housing should be considered only as a last resort. I am aware that Natural England opposes the latter but this opposition should be challenged vigorously. The existing situation in which a handful of unelected conservation extremists have put themselves in a position in which they can dictate housing policy, is to say the least unhealthy in a democratic society.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED0206 Surname: FALCONBRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23615
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Ferndown Town Council
Response:
General comments: ROAD LINKS FERNDOWN ARE VERY POOR. NO PUBLIC TRANSPORT. PROPER CYCLE LANES AND GREEN WALKS FOR DOG OWNERS (TO REDUCE USE OF HEATH)

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2509 Surname: FIRTH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20848
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: The whole of the support infrastructure would need upgrading.
General comments: There is already insufficient infrastructure to support the current population if you add more people you need more doctors, schools etc. Trying to get out of Ferndown is already like trying to get out of Colditz, more traffic will only exacerbate the situation.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2485 Surname: GEARY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20778
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Better road service at Parley lights to Kinson Roundabout plus better bus service from Longham and Parley to Ferndown
General comments: Housing to be for people who live and work in the local areas and not for persons outside of the area ie retirement or other areas housing associations.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2542 Surname: GEDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22148
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Additional doctor's surgeries.
Load restriction on vehicles using Longham bridge and better arrangements for EDDC residents to use Millhams tip.
General comments:

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2511 Surname: GIBBONS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20853
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: I do not see the need for the development, if indeed it is required a case should be made to create a new urban area with its own shops, schools, doctors etc. I understand that there is a former hotel site on New Road, Ferndown could this vacant site be included in any plan.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2487 Surname: GRAHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20784
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: None of the secotrs within the Ferndown search area meet the inspectors panels own factors (first 3 bullet points) impact on heathland, flood plains and open country and the southern sector is uner the Bournemouth airport flight path. We feel completely disenfranchised on this issue with decisions being made at regional level to shield the government from the impact of this flawed strategy. If the relevant bodies were serious about low cost they should put an immediate stop to private retirement home developments that are swamping this area and imposing a huge cost burden on local serices/transport and focus on affordable flats and housing. A gyratory road system at Parley Cross will further compromise our local environment and will serve to move the congestion to the next junction on the same roads. The proposal will degrade the environment(6th bullet point) and will significantly increase the risk of flooding downstream (Christchurch) Why is the river not shown on the diagram?

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2466 Surname: GRIGGS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20721
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Divert heavy feryy traffic away from Ringwood Road by creating a better link from Poole to the A31.
General comments: In my opinion Longham has been in serious need of an overall plan and has potential for careful development, bearing in mind the proximity of the airport development to the east of West Parley would surely need to be north of Christchurch Road.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2457 Surname: GROUNSELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19337
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Water, drains, roads, schools and transport crowded Parley cross and airport. How about Ferndown bypass?
General comments: Better to be in Longham area away from West Parley

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2101	Surname: GUTTERIDGE	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17756
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: Ferndown LAG		
Response:	Need for Local bus service between various residential areas, shops and industrial areas a circular link Improved car parking in Ferndown centre in area to north east of Victoria Road. Improved leisure facilities to include indoor bowls.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2422	Surname: HACK	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17730
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	A larger amount of affordable houses and flats.		
General comments:	I have lived on the flight path of the airport for 23 years its not the big planes that disturb it's the small ones. Your are only talking about 2400 houses over 20 years that's only 120 per year that's not enough 200 a year is a better target. Reference the Dormy hotel site in New Road being built on and the rubbish written by Natural England there is no entrance on Golf Links Road onto Parley Common not only that there is a new house being built nearer the common. Where was Natural England when we were fighting the building on Poor Common. Stop listening to the whingers we need more young people in the area and more 2/3 bedroomed houses with gardens.		

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2417	Surname: HAMMOND	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17716
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	A31 needs to be dual carriageway all the way to A350 junction with a flyover/underpass at Canford Bottom.		
General comments:	There has been talk of a Ferndown Relief Road for years. I seem to remember the ministry of the environment stating that no development at Poor Common could take place before the above relief road was built, what happened? Houses have been built on Poor Common and still no relief road. Result - still more gridlock on Ringwood Road throughout the day what a shambles.		

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2463	Surname: HAMPTON	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20712
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Much improved junction at Parley Cross. Nursery schools and playgroups in reach of new developments. Drs surgery at Parley and efficient bus service between Parley, Airport Industrial area and Christchurch.		
General comments:	Consider the diagram is totally inadequate and can only be used with difficulty in conjunction with a proper map - such vital features as Christchurch Road and the river are omitted. Areas where 'No development could take place' and 'Development is possible' should be clearly indicated. Is the internationally significant heathland really of such overriding importance that it should preclude housing, some affordable on a site such as the former dormy hotel. We regard people as more important than preservation of all green belt land. How full are the existing schools and can they expand on existing sites. These measures must make full allowance for projected changes at the airport and consequent traffic increases.		

Issue: UE12 **Contact ID:** XED2481 **Surname:** HEARSON **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-20764
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE12 **Contact ID:** XED1939 **Surname:** HEASLIP **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-20732
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** West Parley Residents Association

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE12 **Contact ID:** XED2442 **Surname:** HELLIER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-18128
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Highways Agency

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE12 **Contact ID:** XED2436 **Surname:** HILL **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-17804
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE12 **Contact ID:** XED2489 **Surname:** HIRST **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-20793
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2227 Surname: HOLLOWAY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20735
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Never enough parking, improve the road network A31 and A35 should be dual carriageway.
General comments: This area is being spoilt be allowing flats to be built on single dwellings just to meet targets, they keep the number below 14 to avoid affordable housing not enough parking.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2519 Surname: HOVERS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20873
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: If there ever was an building new roads would have to be built, shops for all food requirements, schools and more public transport. The council is opposed to this building in the green belt fields and so am I for several reasons listed below.
General comments: The area of Christchurch Road and New Road the houses would be directly under the flight path from Hurn Airport. What local shopping area? We have a co op and a chemist, its more like a mini industrial estate we did once have some lovely shops with no need to travel to the supermarket. What local bus service? We have just lost the No11 to Poole and now only have a No13 which runs through Parley to Bournemouth. No buses run via Parley to the local hospitals at all. The only school for Parley is already full where do you propose all the new children would go? We already have grid lock in this area more vehicles would make it even worse especially at peak times. Parley used to be a lovely village and a good place to live but has been ruined over the past few years much to all the residents dismay.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2491 Surname: HUDSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20800
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: A major review of transport and road links is essential prior to the development diverting the passing traffic from Ferndown and Wimborne. The A31 should be increased to dual carriageway around the Ferndown/Wimborne area.
General comments:

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2471 Surname: HUMPHREYS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20741
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: It will be impossible to creat sufficient additional facilities and transport improvements to meet the proposed development. Just look at the mile long queues on the A347 and A343 junctions throughout the day now.
General comments: The existing facilities and infrastructure around Ferndown, West Parley and Longham are already inadequate for the current levels of usage. To suggest building further houses with the inevitable increases in population and traffic are complete idiocy, rather than carrying out a spurious consultation exercise the district and county council's should be fighting against these proposals, made by a quango 'The Regional Spatial Strategy' who will not have to live with the consequences.

Issue: UE12 **Contact ID:** XED0267 **Surname:** JACOBS **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-32201
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** St Leonards & St Ives Parish Council
Response: _____
General comments: public transport link improvements

Issue: UE12 **Contact ID:** XED2328 **Surname:** JENKINS **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-06896
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Dorset County Council
Response: Improved public transport services
General comments: _____

Issue: UE12 **Contact ID:** XED2415 **Surname:** JENKINS **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-17711
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____
Response: Walking and cycling links into Ferndown, Poole and Bournemouth centres and ferndown industrial estate. Improvements to public transport
General comments: There should be an enhanced public transport interchange in Ferndown Town centre so that the people can wait in comfort and feel safe.

Issue: UE12 **Contact ID:** XED2476 **Surname:** JOYCE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-20754
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____
Response: _____
General comments: If You move the same number from over crowded London to live in the country at Dorset you will only transfer exactly the same over crowding environment to Dorset and the people will be no happier than they were in their last place - London.
With no trains and limited buses they may even have the expense of 2 cars on lower earnings than London and the gap between earnings and expenses on housing.
Often people who have had a holiday in Dorset which they enjoyed cannot abide living here full time. We don't want any vandals from people on council benefits.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2437 Surname: KAMPF Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17812
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Affordable attractive family housing

General comments: I LOVE Dorset and I do not want it spoilt by massive estates and indiscriminate building on back garden houses and the green belt should be retained. There should be a check on flat building there are to many in the Ferndown area already. The population of the area is becoming to elderly (I am senior citizen of 76). The area south of West Parley on either side of New Road is not very suitable as it is a flood plain and I have seen it flooded almost up to the last houses on several occasions - if that were used it would cause further flooding problems to the north and west especially at Longham. The proposed development at Parley Cross will almost join up with Bournemouth and if one is not careful we will be like a suburb of Bournemouth which I would not like to happen. The road situation is pretty awful during much of the day as it is without any more building.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2523 Surname: KASKELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20884
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Better roads
Ban all heavy goods vehicles from centre of Ferndown (except local deliveries) and divert them via the bypass.

General comments:

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2488 Surname: KEMP Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20791
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Ringwood Road, New Road and Wimborne Road already suffer from congestion, the narrow road and bridge at Northbourne and Longham make any major enhancement roads impossible. Ferndown will be unable to cope with the extra call on medical and educational facilities. There would also be increased use of roads to the supermarkets surrounding Ferndown therefore extra medical, schooling and shopping facilities would be necessary nearer the proposed developments.

General comments:

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED1031 Surname: KENYON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17771
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Dual carriageway from Ferndown/A31 into Bournemouth - connect to wessex way

General comments:

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2335	Surname: KENYON	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07806
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: William Lester Architects		
Response:	New dual carriageway into Bournemouth / Poole		
General comments:			

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2416	Surname: KING	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17714
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Regular and frequent bus service. Bypass for heavy lorries		
General comments:			

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED1179	Surname: KING	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17699
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Traffic, Traffic, Traffic The Parley lights are already overstretched. Junction improvements will be needed to accommodate any increase in population.		
General comments:	Im a NIMBY I've voted for it to be in someone elses garden just like everyone else will do. The choices are all as unpalatable as each other. The land opposite Parley shops would close the Ferndown/Bournemouth gap and lead to loss of identity. The land to the south of The Horns seems to tick most boxes with least disadvantages. It is already hidden from view and is closer to facilities and would make least impact. All the access roads already exist.		

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2187	Surname: LARCOMBE	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20797
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Improve and widen Ferndown by pass Direct all through traffic (heavy lorries) on to Ferndown by pass Improve Parley Cross junction Widen road (2 lanes each way) at Hurn airport		
General comments:	Any additional house building at Longham or Parley will put further pressure on inadequate infrastructure especially roads. The area around Wimborne would be better placed to cope.		

Issue: UE12 **Contact ID:** XED2502 **Surname:** LOCK **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-20830
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: Light railway commuter network to connect Christchurch, Hurn airport, Grange estate(new development) Ringwood, Verwood, West Moors, Wimborne, Broadstone and Poole. Shops, schools, health facilities (expand St Leonards NHS facs?) leisure areas and facilities. Grange estate could be an eco town.

General comments: The proposal to build on green belt land north west of Wimborne and in the Stour Valley at Longham & West Parley would be disastrous. Climate change would ensure increased risk of flooding and development would encroach on an already diminished green belt area it would also spoil the beauty of the river stour valley and create a continuous area of development almost from Verwood & Wimborne to the sea. It would also over burden main roads into Poole and Bournemouth which are already full to capacity at peak periods and increase noise and pollution. The proposal could not be more idiotic do we need 1700 extra houses? Local people feel very strongly over this issue.

Issue: UE12 **Contact ID:** XED2460 **Surname:** LOFTHOUSE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-19690
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** The Dampney Trust (represented by Savills)

Response: []

General comments: Public transport links and improvements to Parley Lane to facilitate development

Issue: UE12 **Contact ID:** XED2447 **Surname:** MACDONALD **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-19241
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: Traffic control, Shops, Schools, Doctors and Dentists. Sewerage disposal.

General comments: A flawed consultation many houses in West Parley did not receive this leaflet. Press will have a field day about maladministration by EDDC planning officers.

Issue: UE12 **Contact ID:** XED2484 **Surname:** MARSHALL **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-20773
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: Improved Ringwood Road, Longham (Including finding some way of persuading through traffic from Poole to Ringwood and beyond to use the bypass especially HGV's this might mean improving the by pass to make it 2 lanes between Ferndown Industrial estate and Canford Bottom and/or Merley. This all links with the industrial development proposals.

General comments: []

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2515 Surname: MATOW Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20863
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: A new village hall in Ferndown
General comments:

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2478 Surname: MCMULLEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20760
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Dedicated cycle lanes to get from Ferndown to the airport, stour valley and Castleman Way.
Improving queues on Ringwood Road coming towards Longham.
General comments:

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2412 Surname: MOGG Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17704
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: I agree with the Council's opposition to the plans for housing in the existing green belt area.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2405 Surname: MOLNAR Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17737
Option: GENERAL Organisation: For Persimmon & Banner Homes (represented by Terence O'Rourke)

Response:

General comments: Development at West Parley is particularly well placed in its proximity to the airport, as there are facilities and transport improvements proposed as part of development at the airport and it is felt that residential development at West Parley would be complementary to these proposals.

In particular, public transport facilities and cycle links along the B3073 could be put in place, providing links to the strategic employment site at the airport.

This is discussed in the SEDLTP at paragraph 2.37, which discusses the wider benefits of improvements to the B3073 and Parley Cross, at paragraph 3.81, which specifically discusses the Airport to Parley Cross cycleway adjacent to the existing B3073, and at paragraph 3.101 which discusses improvements to public transport and cycling improvements along the B3073.

There is also scope for enhancement of the existing north/south bus services along New Road as a result of development at West Parley.

Notwithstanding the potential for improved public transport, some traffic would be generated by any development and needs to be accommodated within the highway network. Again, the proposed gyratory system at Parley Cross in relation to the airport proposals could be reconsidered to better reflect the location of an urban extension at West Parley, to produce a solution that would enhance the highway network to enable it to better accommodate development traffic from the airport and the urban extension at West Parley.

Paragraph 2.16 of the SEDLTP notes that some new highway infrastructure will be required "...to improve the connectivity of SE Dorset and relieve the most congested areas" whilst paragraph 5.5.5 of The Draft Regional Spatial Strategy states the "infrastructure constraints" hamper the ability to provide regular, reliable services on some routes, highlighting the importance of highway improvements to help deliver good, reliable public transport services as well as increasing general capacity.

Paragraph 2.36 of the SEDLTP specifically discusses "Improvements to Parley Cross Signals (likely to be the construction of a gyratory system)" in relation to airport development, as noted above, this should be reconsidered in relation to an urban extension at West Parley, to provide an alternative to the gyratory system that would provide traffic benefits whilst sitting better with the environment of the new residential area.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2522 Surname: MORISON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20881
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Restoration of a proper bus service.
Existing health services will be strained, a clinic would help.

General comments: Suburban sprawl is the curse of this area, these proposals will make matters worse. There is potential for further intermix development above and behind existing shops - my advice would be to quantify this and deduct it from any further intrusion on the green belt.

As a green belt area that part of Longham has largely been lost already and could actually be improved by some good development. As an historian I know that demographic projections are often unreliable, it could well be that this will be overtaken by events.

A real consultation is an attempt to pretend that people willingly accept an imposed fait accompli.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED1318 Surname: MORTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21489
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Bournemouth Sports Club Limited

Response:

General comments: The proposed residential development of land at Parley Cross will exacerbate the demand for high quality outdoor sport at Bournemouth Sports Club, which is located approx 900 metres to the east. The Sports Club occupies a site of 26 hectares and provides opportunities for members to participate in football, rugby, cricket, hockey, Squash and archery on high quality facilities and at high standards of competition. In recognition of the quality of facilities available, the site is considered by Sport England to be of sub-regional importance. However, when operating at full capacity, the club has a shortage of changing rooms and requires additional investment to maintain the sites status and to ensure that it can continue to operate viably in the future for the benefit of South East Dorset residents. In view of the likely additional demands to use these facilities from the residents of the new development at Parley Cross and the future occupiers of employment units at Bournemouth Airport, the Sports Club believes that there are good planning grounds for the developers of both sites to be asked to make a contribution towards the upgrading of sports facilities at the Club.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2516 Surname: MURPHY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20865
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: I agree with the council's opposition to the plans for housing in the existing green belt area.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2420 Surname: NEEDHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17724
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: The camelia estate could be extended to include at least 50% affordable housing but the max number of dwellings built on this site between its current extent and Christchurch road should only be about 200. The site scheduled at West Parley between Christchurch Road, the river and Church Lane if developed will no doubt cause considerable traffic problems on existing busy roads. The area does not have the infrastructure to cope with an additional several hundred dwellings as well as an airport which is expanding. The road movement is now often slow and problems could easily increase.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2470 Surname: NICHOLS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20738
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: We are lucky to live in this very pleasant area. The existing transportation infrastructure is cracking at the seams and at many times of the day is unable to cope. If the 338 is closed then gridlock occurs in our area. Before one can determine how many new dwellings go where a plan must be put in place whereby a new transportation network must be confirmed and built to support the existing traffic and to cater for the subsequent increase in traffic loading. The proposed increase in airport business also plays a significant part in any future plan. As part of this overall plan a fourth route into the conurbation of Bournemouth is required to alleviate pressures on New Road and Longham bridges together with the spur road. Hurn to Throop would satisfy this demand. Perhaps a modern railway could also be considered to link our wonderful area together. Doing nothing is not an option if we are to enjoy living here.

General comments:

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2413 Surname: NIPPARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17707
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Road Improvements to
a) Parley Cross
b) Access to spur road
c) Access into Bournemouth, Castle Lane etc
d) Access along Ringwood Road, Longham
All can lead to major hold ups at various times.
Sport and recreational areas on a greater scale than that which exists at present.

General comments: Developments at West Parley will go a long way to remove the last green barrier dividing Bournemouth from Ferndown. The visual impression travelling along New road will be of only narrow green flood plain.
The Grange area should be seriously reconsidered as it may well offer advantages over the areas proposed. All green belt development and more could be concentrated in one areathus providing a separate identity where better infrastructure could be provided due to the increased scale. There would be better access to the primary road routes and it would not be close to the airport or an ancient monument. The site is also located adjacent to an existing country park which could be extended and is probably better located than the suggested Stour Valley Park. It should also be remembered that a number of people have drowned in the Stour where the public can gain access to the river from Church Lane.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2494 Surname: OAKLEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20808
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: Parley can accommodate some extra housing but no where near the amount proposed. There is no question that Dorset desperately needs extra affordable housing and at least some could be in Ferndown/West Parley. The proposed level of development would totally destroy the surrent semi rural nature of Parley. It is very likely it would make Ferndown/West Parley just a part of a greater Bournemouth but with none of the advantages. We just hope our councillors will have the courage to fight this and reason will prevail.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2277 Surname: ODDIE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20890
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: A direct or link bus service to Castlepoint and Bournemouth hospital and a reinstatement of direct links to Southampton a through service.

General comments: Housing should consist of starter and affordable housing and not flats with good green open spaces.

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2452	Surname: O'SULLIVAN	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19321
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Lack of local schools - encouraging even more traffic transporting children to schools. Local health provision would need to be increased. Access roads into north Bournemouth cannot cope with current levels of traffic.		
General comments:	The development to the south of West Parley would effectively destroy the green belt between Bournemouth and West Parley/Ferndown. Flats on the corner of Christchurch Road/New Road Parley Cross were I believe rejected due to the proximity to the SSSI of Parley Common. The proposed development would encourage more use and thus endanger the habitat of the common. At present traffic at Parley Cross frequently comes to a standstill particularly in evening rush hour. The main cause of the hold up is the inability of roads to cope with the flow of traffic south of the lights into Bournemouth. How could the junction even with a giratory system cope with the extra traffic, the area would be at a permanent standstill.		

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED1514	Surname: PALMER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24242
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: Libra Homes (represented by Goadsby)		
Response:			
General comments:	The development of Holmwood Park, South Ferndown could bring forward in addition to housing a range of benefits including improved local highway layout with improvements to the Longham double roundabout, commercial uses in the form of retail provision and possibly small office developments, a range of open space provision and environmental enhancements including SANGS, with improved pedestrian and cycle access from Ferndown to the wider Stour Valley and improved pedestrian and cycle access from Longham to Ferndown.		

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2419	Surname: PARSONS	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17721
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	The first and most important thing is to find a solution to the traffic problems. Long huge lorries should not be allowed to go through the centre of Ferndown - often causing queues of traffic unable to get through the three sets of traffic lights.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2438 Surname: PEARCE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17836
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: We agree with the Coucil's opposition to the plans for housing in the existing green belt area. We live in Church Lane and did not receive this leaflet it was passed on by my parents in Ferndown. It is of grave concern that directly affected residents are not being given an opportunity to voice an opinion.
The bus routes in our area are very limited, I have to drive both children to school because the buses are rstricted and don't start early enough complaint registered with Wilts and Dorset.
Traffic in the area is already chaotic, I have lived here for 17 years and never known it so bad. Congestion is appalling especially mornings and rush hour even the weekends are very bad now.
No dentist in the area - have to travel to Talbot Woods, Drs have to travel to Ferndown and over subscribed. I moved to be in a semi rural village with distinction from Bournemouth.
Shops and other facilities are limited locally. The local parade used to have local produce shops now it is kithenware, tiles and lighting. This is not useful for those without transport - transport increased as people travel to those specialist shops. Your comment that there is a local parade of shops is very misleading unless you want a shed constructing.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2495 Surname: PESKETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20811
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: The road infrastructure would need major work as at present there are long tail backs at peak times plus the ongoing development of the airport will add to this problem.

General comments: We want to keep our village as it is.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2483 Surname: PHELAN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20770
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Support for local small businesses

General comments: Any development will change the character of the area and I am totally against it. I would want my local council to oppose any such developments.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2464 Surname: PIPER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20715
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Parley- Major road improvements espically as airport expands and schools within walking distance.
Longham - local shops (perhaps on the development) and more school places within walking distance. Improved public transport to minimise impact on local traffic. Routing of current traffic load away from Longham and onto bypass to minimise impact of increased traffic from new housing.

General comments: I have ticked both at question UE10 because niether site seems suitable for a large development at least by splitting across two sites the impact might be lessened.
Both sites seen unsuitable for large scale development particularly considering the ehavy traffic and congestion in both areas and the almost complete lack of facilities at Longham.
Lack of schools within walking distance would mean yet more people on the roads.
Finally I am very concerned about the development at Parley urbanising this area and losing the small belt of green that separates us from Bournemouth.

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2472	Surname: POGSON	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20747
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	If and before any development is to take place around Ferndown, West Parley and Wimborne then new road infrastructure should take place first. The roads are becoming more congested month by month particularly term time so schools for new developments must also be put in place before the whole access to Bournemouth and Poole and A31 becomes totally out of control.		
General comments:	Why can't Sopley airfield be used for useful development? Roads would have to be improved but it is close to Christchurch and Ringwood shops and facilities, Bransgore has some local facilities already. Development around East Dorset towns needs to be carefully planned otherwise we will just become suburbs of Bournemouth and Poole.		

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2663	Surname: PROWSE	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28317
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:			
General comments:	a greatly improved public transport system		

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2486	Surname: RHODES	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20781
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	A school with the schools providing more transport. A bus through Parley to Poole		
General comments:			

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2482	Surname: ROPER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20767
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Airport new link from spur road with extension to serve the northern industrial area on the north side paid for by the airport prior to any further expansions.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2506 Surname: ROTHWELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20839
 Option: GENERAL Organisation:
 Response:
 General comments: How on earth can residents know what infrastructure is required to support urban expansion, surely you have experts to advise you. If not why not. Everyone is going to say they do not want more housing or industry near them - so why ask? Please use our council tax money to fund experts to advise the local council on where development would be best taking into consideration all the factors listed. All residents will opt for NIMBY we cannot have an overview so feel consultation documents like this are a waste of time and money on everyone's part.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2512 Surname: ROWCLIFFE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20855
 Option: GENERAL Organisation:
 Response: Better bus services
 General comments:

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2434 Surname: RUSSELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17792
 Option: GENERAL Organisation:
 Response: A law to stop all heavy goods/juggernauts using Ferndown centre and Longham as a through route to the port of Poole. (Ferndown bypass ignored by these drivers many of foreign plates)
 An affordable rail network to connect commuters and industry.
 Stop the overuse of traffic lights and replace with mini roundabout that allow traffic to flow freely without ill designed calming methods especially Ferndown.
 Better bus links to our other well used main hospital known as Bournemouth but actually well out of town and poorly served by Wilts and Dorset Bus Co.
 General comments:

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED3032 Surname: RUSSELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37215
 Option: GENERAL Organisation:
 Response: The traffic infrastructure in Dorset and around the airport area is very poor. The lack of a substantial direct connection between A338 and the airport, lack of suitable access for traffic from the West and the already below requirement capability of the Ensbury and Longham Bridges across the River Stour are already placing a heavy strain on the local environment. The insertion of a further large development into this area without the prior upgrading of adequate infrastructure facilities of schools, water, sewerage, transport etc can only be thought of as complete negligence.
 General comments:

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2568 Surname: SALWAY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24079
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: We agree with the representations put forward by the West Parley residents Assoc which have been sent to RSS after well publicised local consultation (97% west parley residents against any development)
Lack of infrastructure in West Parley area, local roads are over burdened with frequent delays, the gyratory system will not ease this problem. There are no local doctors, schools, dentists or adequate shops necessitating travel elsewhere.
The arable land has always been good farming land and it is now clear policy of local farmers and a leading developer not to farm the land properly presumably in order to obtain a good price when sold for housing development.
Regarding the SSSI in Parley Common any high density housing locally will inevitably impinge on this site as it is impossible to stop dog walkers etc using the common as it is totally unrealistic to expect youngsters will not light fires.
Airport noise- this area is frequently over flown although the flight path is further to the south. If the suggested development goes ahead West Parley will become a suburb of Bournemouth and as such no need for Parish Council or payment of council tax to EDDC as closer union to Bournemouth and paying council tax to Bournemouth would bring peripheral benefits of a large conurbation eg permanent use of millhams tip.
With more co operation from council planners regarding small infill building most of the needs for housing could well be met. There are also other very adequate road systems eg Ferndown and Wimborne bypass without putting even more pressure on overcrowded roads.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2507 Surname: SCAGELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20842
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Shops, post office, NHS dentist, and doctors surgery.
More buses, cycle paths and open space for the kids to destroy.

General comments: You mean flats
Plant plenty of trees
Please don't build crap 60's style high rise in this area - 4 storeys max with tiled roofs and gables etc only.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2469 Surname: SCHIRN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20729
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Shops, schools, bus services, sewerage and water

General comments: Most of the recent development in Ferndown has been retirement apartments. Increased building in the areas of Ferndown and West Parley would probably attract retired people. Apart from the question of services in any proposed large scale development are there the jobs in the area to make such development sustainable. With both West Parley and Longham the traffic is heavy and development would increase congestion.

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2428	Surname: SEWELL	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17751
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:			
General comments:	We are against the discussed gyratory road system as the existing main roads could not cope with any more traffic. We do not see the endless need for housing in this area. The conurbations of Poole, Bournemouth and Christchurch will soon meet up with no green spaces defining boroughs, towns and villages. I believe Dudsbury is a very important land mark and should have continued walks and access to this major site.		

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2411	Surname: SILVERTHORNE	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17702
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	A wider crossing between Bournemouth and Parley across the river. A substantial upgrade of the Parley Cross traffic lights with permanent filter lanes. Provision for places of worship.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2431	Surname: SMITH	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17762
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Better roads. Better bus service and post office facilities (long queues)		
General comments:	This area (Ferndown and West Parley) has sufficient housing - we are densely populated. Its about time you put a stop to all this development - the environment is suffering and our roads are clogged up. Getting to and from work is becoming a nightmare. No more housing.		

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2451	Surname: SMITH	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19306
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Police, Fire, doctors, hospital facilities and additional bus services are all needed if dwellings are to be constructed in any of these areas.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2691 Surname: SMITH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31185
Option: GENERAL Organisation: St Leonards & St Ives Parish Council
Response:
General comments: IF needed public transport additional bus routes etc

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2517 Surname: SMITH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20868
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: If development has to take place all services must be upgraded to cope - upgrade roads, additional schools, doctors and dentists. Better transport links to Bournemouth, Poole, Wimborne etc.
General comments: The few open areas around Ferndown have made it an attractive place to live, however there seems to be a determination to create a large urban sprawl joining up with Bournemouth and Poole. A considerable number of houses were added with the Camelia development and I do not believe that the roads and services such as schools, doctors, dentists etc can support more. The area of search shown on your key diagram is very misleading with most roads not shown - Ham Lane and Chistchurch Road.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2260 Surname: SOLOMON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18792
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: The road from the Bournemouth Spur road to the airport should be dualled to the airport expansion, de-greening of this area and mixed development

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2458 Surname: STARK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19341
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: A better bus service between Ferndown and its neighbouring towns. These have recently been reduced.
General comments:

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED0280 Surname: STARMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29024
Option: GENERAL Organisation: West Parley Parish Council
Response:
General comments: G P Surgery
School transport
Convenience Store

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2456 Surname: STRATFORD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19333
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: I understand that people wish to move into this area due to the better work prospects and life style, however continued development and all that it brings will ruin the area. The answer is for the rundown parts of the country to be regenerated both economically and visually so that demand on this area is reduced.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2518 Surname: TALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20870
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: More doctors and dentists who will accept HNS patients.
Better public transport and lot less interference from central government in our daily lives.
A more visable police prescence.
General comments: Any and every proposed development should be opposed most vigorously.
The impact on the locality would be totally detrimental
There are not enough schools, doctors or dentists.
The demand for water, sewerage and electricity etc would overload the systems. As far as water is concerned demand already outstrips supply at certain times.
The total area of concrete etc would only exacerbate the flood situation.
What do we need this extra housing for anyway? Is it not to accommodate immigrants who wishto come and live here rather than the need of the indigenous population.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED2479 Surname: THOMPSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20762
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: I drive from home to work a distance of 4 miles and I have to pass through Parley Cross this journey can take up to 45 minutes.
More housing would put an even more intolerable strain on all aspects of life in this area.
The policies of the worst government in living memory are creating what are fast becoming problems without a solution.

Issue: UE12 Contact ID: XED1892 Surname: THORNE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23371
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Thornes Chartered Surveyors
Response:
General comments: additional shops and road improvements around Longham bypassing the existing roundabout adjoining Haskins and some improvements to the West Parley crossroads but development here could be west of the village centre

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED1957	Surname: THORPE	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20744
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	A new road crossing the River Stour somewhere would be compulsory, with the increased traffic resulting from airport expansion as well existing roads simply could not cope with the increased population. Greatly improved bus routes (there at present is no bus route to Christchurch, the airport, Castlepoint etc. If Longham local facilities eg local store, surgery etc.		
General comments:	Wherever the development the main problem to arrive from the increased population would be traffic. Whether development is at Parley Cross or Longham, major road improvements would be compulsory either in the form of dual carriageways or bypassing the area completely. If at West Parley a gyratory system would not be sufficient, the traffic along New Road into and out of Bournemouth but more importantly increased traffic at Hurn Bridge would simply be not workable. The traffic problems during rush hour towards the A338 are already unmanageable far worse than the pressure at Parley Cross. Similar problems would arrive at Longham. Having just 3 single carriageways to cross the river Stour between Longham and Hurn Bridge is the problem needing to be addressed for any developments.		

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2459	Surname: TILEY	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19366
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:			
General comments:	I am very unclear as to why so many dwellings are required. We are told we are living longer (at the moment) and immigration etc. many older people seen to go into care homes now. We are also told that half the eastern europeans that have been here since 2005 are now returning to their home country. In this weeks property papers in the Avon advertiser there are 301+ properties to let - that does not include all they have on their books - agents that are not advertising or internet sites. We are also told that there are blocks of new flats which the developers are unable to sell and therefore stay unoccupied. Although it may be temporary mortgages are difficult to obtain for many fear they have been unaffordable. Once ground has been built on there is no going back. Overcrowding and living on top of one another is no good for anyone more never seems to work money - roads- housing estates usually makes matters worse. We only have to look back at some of the road improvements more cars on the road which in turn means less public transport and people travel further to work. Schools already seem to be full doctors, dentists and hospitals seems to be overworked already. There is also supposed to be a change in the immigration laws which would mean less people coming into the country.		

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2468	Surname: TOMPKINS	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20726
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Prior to urban extensions there might be a scheme to reduce congestion on the roads A31, A348, A347 and B3073 that border the Area of Search.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2208	Surname: TOOMER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20893
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Cycle and pedestrian improvements from Kinson to Bournemouth airport and industrial estate.		
General comments:	I would suggest the gyratory road system should be arge in area to incorporate cycle and pedestrian underpasses with open space for public use. Low density and integrated buildings eg Por common estate at Ferndown and lots of public open space.		

Issue: UE12 **Contact ID:** XED2499 **Surname:** TORRENT **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-20823
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: Safe pedestrian access to shops at Parley Cross from all bus stops in this area especially if the proposed gyratory road system is implemented.

General comments: Longham is not suitable for more house building. Longham Bridge cannot take any more traffic, the heavy goods vehicles that now use this road and bridge are far to heavy and far to many. It is narrow, please restrict its use by these vehicles or build another bridge for them not more houses.

Issue: UE12 **Contact ID:** XED2453 **Surname:** TROLLOPE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-19324
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: Community facilities for Healthcare, Education, Grocery shopping and imprve Longham/Ferndown bus service

General comments: In spite of our answers to your questions about key issues UE10,11 and 12 overleaf we would like to express to you that we agree with EDDC's previously stated opposition to the plans for housing in the existing green belt area.

Issue: UE12 **Contact ID:** XED2475 **Surname:** TURNER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-20753
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: Doctors, much improved leisure facilities and youth clubs .
Better oad infrastructure and improve local shopping facilities.
Policing

General comments: []

Issue: UE12 **Contact ID:** XED1266 **Surname:** WALKER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-20788
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: Wimborne and Ferndown bypasses increased to full dual carriageways (should have been done at time of building amazing it was not).
A cross area Wimborne - Christchurch light rail be built with integrated ticketing.
Schooling and doctors a must to support the new housing.
Light rail route mentioned above should go from Wimborne - Longham across Parley lights serve the airport and on to Christchurch using old rail lines where possible.

General comments: []

Issue: UE12 **Contact ID:** XED2430 **Surname:** WARD **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-17759

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: Improvements to the roads will be desparately needed before any further development can take place.
More schools and health services.
Play areas and activities for older children ie youth clubs

General comments: I moved into West Parley with my husband in 1987 because it was semi rural and a very nice place to live. Our community tax has increased 150% in that time. We do not get very much for that directly (pensions self sufficient).
The whole idea of another 1700 dwellings in the area is very depressing and will bring added social problems which so far we havent had to cope with.

Issue: UE12 **Contact ID:** XED2521 **Surname:** WARD **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-20878

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: Shops, Schools and public transport

General comments: _____

Issue: UE12 **Contact ID:** XED2480 **Surname:** WARD **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-20763

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: _____

General comments: I agree with the Council's opposition to the plans for housing in the existing green belt area.

Issue: UE12 **Contact ID:** XED2508 **Surname:** WATSON **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-20845

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: Greatly Improved bus service

General comments: _____

Issue: UE12 **Contact ID:** XED2414 **Surname:** WAUGH **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-17708

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: _____

General comments: I agree with the Council's opposition to plans for housing in green belt areas of West Parley

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2230	Surname: WEBSTER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08748
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: Barratt Homes		
Response:	Review		
General comments:			

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2503	Surname: WHITE	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20833
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Hotel (Loss of the Dormy) and extra shops and buses. If 700 dwellings are decided on then, a must have would be a doctors surgery in the Parley area.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2504	Surname: WILKINSON	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20834
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	An underground system? Ferndown and West Parley are an absolute bottle neck how about flyover/underpass at Parley Cross.		
General comments:	As far as I am concerned this is a very expensive exercise in consultation, which is merely a sop to democracy. In reality democracy would have stopped the so called need for 1700 dwellings in the first place. The bullet points seem to suggest that no reasonable arrangements can be arrived at any way. Whilst I therefore find it difficult to help you with the difficult job you have I hope the following factors can be considered I am opposed to the overdevelopment on our area of flats, that said I hope someone is counting up the number of flats already created replacing often a single property so that the vast numbers can be offset against the overall total of dwellings expected, there must be 100's. There are 10's of spaces between existing properties on many of the main roads in the area which could be infilled until appropriate houses thus also reducing the number required. Have you considered some form of compulsory purchase of derelict properties for replacement, I know f several such properties which could be effectively used. Any further development at Ferndown and West Parley in terms of expansion rather than infill will further erode my wish to live here. Surely some use of the Dormy site even if only along the New Road edge can be used another 20 houses off the total		

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2473	Surname: WILLIS	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20748
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Transport and facilities are already overloaded. More development (no matter what the government says) would be unsustainable		
General comments:	This area is near melt-down from over population, the roads are grid locked, schools are poor and over subscribed. The ageing population is a large burden on the health service and this situation will not get any better. By developing on green belt you would reduce the value of the area and our houses. Please cancel all plans to develop in this precious area especially green belt which I though is untouchable.		

Issue: UE12	Contact ID: XED2454	Surname: YOUNG	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19328
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Improve road system - sort out Canford Bottom. Regular and affordable public transport. Additional parking in Ferndown and more doctors surgeries.		
General comments:	Given all the limits stated its obvious that South of Ferndown is restricted in the number of new houses it can accommodate. However even a limited extra number of houses is going to have a detrimental effect on local services and facilities unless the appropriate additional infrastructure is provided. Ensure the developers provide whats needed.		

Issue: UE13	Contact ID: XED2737	Surname:	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35236
Option: A	Organisation:		
Response:			
General comments:			

Issue: UE13	Contact ID: XED2493	Surname: ADAMS	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22348
Option: A	Organisation:		
Response:			
General comments:			

Issue: UE13	Contact ID: XED2443	Surname: BAKER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18309
Option: A	Organisation: Linden Homes (Southern) Ltd represented by Lennon Planning		
Response:	Agree		
General comments:			

Issue: UE13	Contact ID: XED2421	Surname: BETTERTON	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21300
Option: A	Organisation:		
Response:			
General comments:			

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED2566 Surname: BRACEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24065
Option: A Organisation: Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED2498 Surname: BURTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21955
Option: A Organisation: Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED2528 Surname: BUTCHER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21566
Option: A Organisation: Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED2563 Surname: CHAMBERLAIN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24005
Option: A Organisation: Chambar Engineering Ltd Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED2410 Surname: CHITTENDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21132
Option: A Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED2535 Surname: CHITTY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21711
Option: A Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED2433 Surname: CLARKE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21463
Option: A Organisation:

Response:

General comments: It would be absurd and irresponsible to create new industrial development in the area south of Wimborne Road and adjacent to the historical abbey. There is no reason why if further development is really essential this could not be located at Blunts Farm adjacent to existing industrial area.

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED2418 Surname: COWAN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24054
Option: A Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED2501 Surname: CRADDOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22035
Option: A Organisation:

Response: Only if roads via A31 are improved and all buildings are occupied on Ferndown industrial estate.

General comments:

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED2331 Surname: DAVENPORT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07445
Option: A Organisation: Dorset County Council

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED0987 Surname: HEDGER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17230
Option: A Organisation: Mr M Hedger (represented by Goadsby Ltd)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED2025 Surname: HOLDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29333
Option: A Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: Agree
General comments: to be serviced from the bypass

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED2415 Surname: JENKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21196
Option: A Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED2328 Surname: JENKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06897
Option: A Organisation: Dorset County Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED2488 Surname: KEMP Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21889
Option: A Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED2561 Surname: OLIVER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23961
Option: A Organisation:

Response: It will be interesting to know how you intend to extend the land.

General comments:

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED2419 Surname: PARSONS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21361
Option: A Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED2550 Surname: PENNY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22483
Option: A Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED2526 Surname: PHILLIPS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21249
Option: A Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED2530 Surname: PHILPOTT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21624
Option: A Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue:	UE13	Contact ID:	XED1910	Surname:	DAVENPORT	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-22005
Option:	D	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:	Nearer Canford Bottom roundabout						

Issue:	UE13	Contact ID:	XED0180	Surname:	DAVENPORT	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-19996
Option:	D	Organisation:	Dorset Wildlife Trust				
Response:							
General comments:	at Bluints Farm there is an adjacent SNCI , and some wildlife interests on site (it has been surveyed for the Waste Local Plan) , however from a nature conservation point of view there would appear not to be a fundamental objection to employment development provided sufficient mitigation etc is provided The area described in B contains or is near to a number of SNCIs and Ferndown Common lies nearby. Any suggested site should be examined for any nature conservation interests prior to allocation for development (there may be biodiversity priority habitats for example which have not been recorded previously as the site has not been surveyed)						

Issue:	UE13	Contact ID:	XED2536	Surname:	DRISCOLL	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-21740
Option:	D	Organisation:					
Response:	North of Uddens Industrial estate						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE13	Contact ID:	XED2562	Surname:	DYER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-24001
Option:	D	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:	None of the areas as there is already plenty of development						

Issue:	UE13	Contact ID:	XED2520	Surname:	ELLIS	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-22489
Option:	D	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:	There is also unused land opposite the police station in meysford Road and bordering the plantation which could be considered.						

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED0206 Surname: FALCONBRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23617
Option: D Organisation: Ferndown Town Council
Response:
General comments: INDUSTRIAL ESTATE MUST KEEP NORTH OF WIMBORNE ROAD.

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED0902 Surname: HASKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15262
Option: D Organisation:
Response:
General comments: Biodiversity assessment is required to ascertain whether Blunts Farm is more suitable than the Stapehill area by virtue of having lower intrinsic biodiversity as would initially appear to be the case.

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED1031 Surname: KENYON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21438
Option: D Organisation:
Response:
General comments: Flight refuelling and North of airport

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED0201 Surname: KITE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18600
Option: D Organisation: Natural England
Response:
General comments: Expansion of the industrial estate at Ferndown must take into account conservation interests within the AoS. These are most likely to be within the areas of forestry (nightjar is recorded). Habitat enhancements of this area of forestry would be straightforward but their value would be limited by their position, squeezed between the A31 and the industrial estate.
To the west the integrity of Slop Bog and Uddens Heath SSSI has been severely damaged by the access road to the A31 which cuts straight through the site. Further development of the industrial estate should include provision to redress this damage by providing alternative access to the estate, closing the road across the SSSI and restoring the land to heath land.
The potential for public use of the old railway that forms the current boundary of the site should be realised. However this should not be done without obtaining management control of Uddens Heath which is currently unmanaged.

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED2541 Surname: MATON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22111
Option: D Organisation:

Response:

General comments: Please can something be done to avoid it becoming a real problem. We cannot understand why the retirement village plan was not acceptable - Ferndown needs such a facility.

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED2522 Surname: MORISON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22446
Option: D Organisation:

Response:

General comments: More intense development of existing industrial estate desirable

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED2494 Surname: OAKLEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22343
Option: D Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED1609 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24105
Option: D Organisation: Site Developments (Ferndown) Ltd (represented by Goadsby)

Response:

General comments: Land at Blunts Farm is compromised due to the importance of the land to the function of the green belt.
Land to the east of the Ferndown Industrial Estate forms a discreet site that should be allocated for employment development as indicated by Plan 1 in the supplementary representations.
For full justification see supplementary representations.

Issue: UE13 **Contact ID:** XED2434 **Surname:** RUSSELL **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-21494

Option: D **Organisation:** []

Response: New or extension of industrial site should be located at Boundary Lane (East of Ferndown or Ringwood) for the following reasons Ferndown Industrial estate is already huge enough, FACT we already have the largest industrial estate in the whole of Dorset. Government records show residents in Ferndown have one of the lowest air qualities in Dorset. We also have over congested roads. Our prevailing wind is predominately westerly 99% of us thereby have to breath in the industry sites, pollution, fumes and discharge. There is also the potential for more serious polluting accidents.

General comments: []

Issue: UE13 **Contact ID:** XED2507 **Surname:** SCAGELL **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-22118

Option: D **Organisation:** []

Response: []

General comments: Hilary Benn, Environment minister repeatedly states that the green belt is safe and will not be built on. Why therefore does this stupid regional panel propose building on it? What is our MP doing about it?

Issue: UE13 **Contact ID:** XED2531 **Surname:** SHAW **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-21643

Option: D **Organisation:** []

Response: I agree with the Council's opposition to the plans for development in the existing green belt area.

General comments: []

Issue: UE13 **Contact ID:** XED2456 **Surname:** STRATFORD **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-23995

Option: D **Organisation:** []

Response: []

General comments: Why is this development necessary, as it will increase demand and pressure on the local infrastructure ie traffic volumes and more housing required etc.

Issue: UE13 **Contact ID:** XED1892 **Surname:** THORNE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-23372

Option: D **Organisation:** Thornes Chartered Surveyors

Response: []

General comments: additional area including land incorporating Stapehill Farm and land west of Uddens Drive

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED2230 Surname: WEBSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08749
Option: D Organisation: Barratt Homes
Response: St Leonards is a better alternative
General comments:

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED2529 Surname: WILLIAMSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21589
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Somewhere not near bypass as it does not cope. Wimborne Road West is busy enough as it is used by school and people on the industrial estate also the bypass is frequently congested from spring to autumn and the Wimborne road is used as a cut through.
General comments:

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED2473 Surname: WILLIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21644
Option: D Organisation:
Response: The Ferndown area is near gridlock from overdevelopment in this area. It cannot sustain any further development of any sort. Please cancel all plans to use greenbelt for development.
General comments:

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED2739 Surname: Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35294
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: South is full build in Yorkshire.

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED2738 Surname: Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35272
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: Disagree with any development as this will change the character of the area.

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED0982 Surname: BENWELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21074
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: It would be prudent before EDDC embarks on any further industrial land development for the Council to conduct a survey of the existing Ferndown and Uddens industrial estates to ascertain the extent of usage units a) how many units are fully used and b) how many are for let, lease, sale etc.
In the light of forthcoming economic downturn the survey also needs to question existing companies on Ferndown and Uddens industrial estates as to their plans for the future. Do they expect to stay in business, expand their business, contract their business or close down their business when their lease expires etc.
If there response is generally negative it would provide an indication that the existing industrial areas are to become under utilized in the near future. Either way this would enable EDDC to provide themselves with a clearer picture of the future needs of the area for industrial land development.
Have EDDC any indication that there are companies that are likely to locate to this area and hence will require industrial land?
All these issues need to be investigated with the results published for the community to be fully involved with any key decisions.

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED1014 Surname: BOURTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02591
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Keep Wimborne Green

Response: Not on green belt

General comments:

Issue: UE13 Contact ID: XED1432 Surname: CHITTENDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03435
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Environment TAG (East Dorset)

Response: While Blunts Farm is the least damaging location for industrial development in this area of search, protection must be afforded to the features of nature conservation importance for reasons outlined in NE6 and care taken to mitigate for any barriers to habitat and species movement that such development might potentially create, particularly in relation to the adjacent SNCI.
Stapehill Abbey, Knoll Gardens and Trehane's Camellia Nursery are important visitor attractions of national and international renown and make a significant contribution to the local economy. Development of this area would be inappropriate.
Improved pedestrian/cycle provision should be provided at Canford Bottom roundabout

General comments:

Issue: UE13	Contact ID: XED2442	Surname: HELLIER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18129
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: Highways Agency		
Response:			
General comments:	This urban extension relates to 20ha of employment provision west of Ferndown. The Agency has previously raised concerns (and has an existing holding direction for an application) with regard to additional development at the Ferndown Industrial Estate, due to concerns over the capacity of this single carriageway section of the A31. Further consideration will need to be given to this proposal once the findings of the Transport Study are known.		

Issue: UE13	Contact ID: XED2034	Surname: PACKER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27282
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: East Dorset District Council		
Response:	invalid response - more than one option selected		
General comments:			

Issue: UE13	Contact ID: XED2552	Surname: WOODCOCK	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22568
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: Environment Agency		
Response:			
General comments:	General Comments – When considering location of new development it should be informed by your SFRA and the requirements of PPS25. Furthermore, when considering developing policy for the East Dorset urban extensions we recommend the criteria set out in UE2 (plus our additional comments, see above) are considered.		

Issue: UE14	Contact ID: XED2737	Surname:	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35239
Option: A	Organisation:		
Response:	Agree		
General comments:	Canford Bottom A31 desparately needs a flyover to facilitate the flow of through A31 traffic away from the local traffic. Ideally the A31 should be made a dual carriageway to Merley junctions with a new dual carriageway road linking Canford Bottom with BEAR Cross dual carriageway for indurtrial /commercial vehicles to Bournemouth and Poole. Ringwood Road in Ferndown and Longham is already over loaded with to much commecial and through traffic.		

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2739 Surname: Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35285
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2493 Surname: ADAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22350
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2404 Surname: ATFIELD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17486
Option: A Organisation: Persia Homes Ltd (represented by Goadsby Ltd)
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2443 Surname: BAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18310
Option: A Organisation: Linden Homes (Southern) Ltd represented by Lennon Planning
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2543 Surname: BAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22225
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2492 Surname: BARBER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21943
Option: A Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED0982 Surname: BENWELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21107
Option: A Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2421 Surname: BETTERTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21302
Option: A Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments: Controlled by lights to allow local traffic to join/leave the roundabout

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED0156 Surname: BODELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20491
Option: A Organisation: Dorset County Council Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED1014 Surname: BOURTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02592
Option: A Organisation: Keep Wimborne Green Response: Agree. Needs doing anyway.
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2455 Surname: DUNN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23987
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2497 Surname: DUROW Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21967
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2562 Surname: DYER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24002
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2545 Surname: DYKE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22305
Option: A Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2539 Surname: EDKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21903
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2647 Surname: ELCOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26473
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED0911 Surname: ELCOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26591
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2520 Surname: ELLIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22490
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2564 Surname: ELLIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24037
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED0206 Surname: FALCONBRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23618
Option: A Organisation: Ferndown Town Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2101 Surname: GUTTERIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21394
Option: A Organisation: Ferndown LAG
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2417 Surname: HAMMOND Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21272
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments: A31 to be dual carriageway all the way to A350 junction with flyover/underpass at Canford Bottom.

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED0902 Surname: HASKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15263
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2549 Surname: HEALY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22417
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2481 Surname: HEARSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23954
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2548 Surname: LEWIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22397
Option: A Organisation: RJ Lewis Ltd
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2565 Surname: LOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24047
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2447 Surname: MACDONALD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21930
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2614 Surname: MADDOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-30758
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2546 Surname: MARSHALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22315
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2184 Surname: THOMPSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19407
Option: A Organisation: Sheiling Trust (represented by Terence O'Rourke)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2553 Surname: THORNE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22557
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2339 Surname: TIDBALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08896
Option: A Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2525 Surname: TOMBLIN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21121
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2208 Surname: TOOMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22529
Option: A Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
Unique Response Ref:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
Unique Response Ref:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
Unique Response Ref:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
Unique Response Ref:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
Unique Response Ref:
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2443 Surname: BAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18311
Option: B Organisation: Linden Homes (Southern) Ltd represented by Lennon Planning
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2492 Surname: BARBER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21944
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED0982 Surname: BENWELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21111
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments: If there is to be any industrial land development a condition must be that the existing single carriageways of the Ferndown and Wimborne by-passes are made into dual carriageways.

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2421 Surname: BETTERTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21304
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED0957 Surname: BISSEX Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34069
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments: new surface

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED0156 Surname: BODELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20492
Option: B Organisation: Dorset County Council
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2566 Surname: BRACEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24066
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2465 Surname: BROOMFIELD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24015
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2498 Surname: BURTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21957
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2527 Surname: BUTLER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21491
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED1342 Surname: CANTLIE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-11041
Option: B Organisation: Christchurch Conservation Trust
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2563 Surname: CHAMBERLAIN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24007
Option: B Organisation: Chambar Engineering Ltd
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2433 Surname: CLARKE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21465
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED0946 Surname: COSSINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01864
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2418 Surname: COWAN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24056
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2509 Surname: FIRTH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22162
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2485 Surname: GEARY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21833
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2542 Surname: GEDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22139
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2101 Surname: GUTTERIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21395
Option: B Organisation: Ferndown LAG
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2417 Surname: HAMMOND Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21280
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments: Wimborne Road West

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2549 Surname: HEALY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22418
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2481 Surname: HEARSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23955
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED0987 Surname: HEDGER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17232
Option: B Organisation: Mr M Hedger (represented by Goadsby Ltd)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2396 Surname: HODGES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14122
Option: B Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2025 Surname: HOLDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29335
Option: B Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2488 Surname: KEMP Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21891
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2532 Surname: LAFFORD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21660
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2609 Surname: LAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35480
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2544 Surname: LAMBERT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22284
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2548 Surname: LEWIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22398
Option: B Organisation: RJ Lewis Ltd
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2507 Surname: SCAGELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22114
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2428 Surname: SEWELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21376
Option: B Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2607 Surname: SHRUBB Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28083
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2451 Surname: SMITH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22581
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2479 Surname: THOMPSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21819
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2497 Surname: DUROW Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21969
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2539 Surname: EDKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21905
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2564 Surname: ELLIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24039
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2520 Surname: ELLIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22492
Option: C Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED0206 Surname: FALCONBRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23620
Option: C Organisation: Ferndown Town Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2415 Surname: JENKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21203
Option: C Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2488 Surname: KEMP Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21893
Option: C Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2609 Surname: LAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35481
Option: C Organisation: Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2544 Surname: LAMBERT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22285
Option: C Organisation: Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2548 Surname: LEWIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22399
Option: C Organisation: RJ Lewis Ltd Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2419 Surname: PARSONS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21365
Option: C Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2538 Surname: PHILLIPS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21801
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2439 Surname: PRETTY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23971
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2467 Surname: PRICE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24027
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2663 Surname: PROWSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28321
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2486 Surname: RHODES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21840
Option: C Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2540 Surname: ROD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21940
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2558 Surname: RUSSELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23910
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2428 Surname: SEWELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21377
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2451 Surname: SMITH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22582
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2329 Surname: WILKINSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07143
Option: C Organisation: Transdev Yellow Buses
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2529 Surname: WILLIAMSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21610
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2559 Surname: WILLS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23932
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2435 Surname: WOOD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23921
Option: C Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2454 Surname: YOUNG Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23981
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
Unique Response Ref:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
Unique Response Ref:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
Unique Response Ref:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
Unique Response Ref:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
Unique Response Ref:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2536 Surname: DRISCOLL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21750
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2455 Surname: DUNN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23990
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2497 Surname: DUROW Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21970
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2539 Surname: EDKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21906
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2520 Surname: ELLIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22493
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2564 Surname: ELLIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24040
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED0206 Surname: FALCONBRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23621
Option: D Organisation: Ferndown Town Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2128 Surname: FINLAY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10190
Option: D Organisation: Holt Parish Plan Action Committee
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2509 Surname: FIRTH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22163
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments: Very much

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2542 Surname: GEDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22140
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2101 Surname: GUTTERIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21399
Option: D Organisation: Ferndown LAG
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2417 Surname: HAMMOND Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21282
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments: Ferndown relief road

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2549 Surname: HEALY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22423
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2481 Surname: HEARSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23957
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED0987 Surname: HEDGER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17234
Option: D Organisation: Mr M Hedger (represented by Goadsby Ltd)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2548 Surname: LEWIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22401
Option: D Organisation: RJ Lewis Ltd
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2447 Surname: MACDONALD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21933
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2614 Surname: MADDOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-30761
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2484 Surname: MARSHALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21779
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2546 Surname: MARSHALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22320
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2537 Surname: POWELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21772
Option: D Organisation:

Response: Agree

General comments: Large trucks must be stopped from using Ferndown and causing congestion which is quite unacceptable in a small town.

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2439 Surname: PRETTY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23972
Option: D Organisation:

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2467 Surname: PRICE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24028
Option: D Organisation:

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2663 Surname: PROWSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28322
Option: D Organisation:

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2486 Surname: RHODES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21842
Option: D Organisation:

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED1266 Surname: WALKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21857
Option: D Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2726 Surname: WALLIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34857
Option: D Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2521 Surname: WARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22509
Option: D Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2508 Surname: WATSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22129
Option: D Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2547 Surname: WEIGHT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22378
Option: D Organisation: RJW Sheet Metal Ltd Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2609 Surname: LAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35483
Option: E Organisation: Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2544 Surname: LAMBERT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22288
Option: E Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2187 Surname: LARCOMBE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21617
Option: E Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2548 Surname: LEWIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22402
Option: E Organisation: RJ Lewis Ltd Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2614 Surname: MADDOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-30762
Option: E Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2434 Surname: RUSSELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21505
Option: E Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments: Cycle paths away from roads, not marked lanes on an already wide road.

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2507 Surname: SCAGELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22115
Option: E Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2428 Surname: SEWELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21379
Option: E Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2607 Surname: SHRUBB Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28084
Option: E Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2451 Surname: SMITH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22586
Option: E Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2339 Surname: TIDBALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08900
Option: E Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2208 Surname: TOOMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22533
Option: E Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2499 Surname: TORRENT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22261
Option: E Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED1266 Surname: WALKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21858
Option: E Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2521 Surname: WARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22510
Option: E Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
Unique Response Ref:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
Unique Response Ref:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
Unique Response Ref:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
Unique Response Ref:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
Unique Response Ref:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED0902 Surname: HASKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15265
Option: F Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2549 Surname: HEALY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22427
Option: F Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2481 Surname: HEARSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23959
Option: F Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED0987 Surname: HEDGER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17236
Option: F Organisation: Mr M Hedger (represented by Goadsby Ltd)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2396 Surname: HODGES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14126
Option: F Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2034 Surname: PACKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27288
Option: F Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2419 Surname: PARSONS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21368
Option: F Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2526 Surname: PHILLIPS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21254
Option: F Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2538 Surname: PHILLIPS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21804
Option: F Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2439 Surname: PRETTY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23974
Option: F Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2434 Surname: RUSSELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21509
Option: F Organisation: Response: Agree

General comments: Afternoon bus schedules are abismal and relatively expensive also infrequent and spaced stops with long walking distances of 15 mins plus.

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2428 Surname: SEWELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21380
Option: F Organisation: Response: No Opinion

General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2607 Surname: SHRUBB Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28085
Option: F Organisation: Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2451 Surname: SMITH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22587
Option: F Organisation: Response: No Opinion

General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2229 Surname: SYLVESTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-00941
Option: F Organisation: Dorset Agenda 21 Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED1266 Surname: WALKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21860
Option: F Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments: Light rail

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2726 Surname: WALLIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34858
Option: F Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2521 Surname: WARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22511
Option: F Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2508 Surname: WATSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22130
Option: F Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED3022 Surname: WEBBER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37069
Option: F Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2559 Surname: WILLS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23935
Option: F Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2435 Surname: WOOD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23924
Option: F Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2454 Surname: YOUNG Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23984
Option: F Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2737 Surname: Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35250
Option: G Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments: A31/Cobham Road junction with direct access to the new Blunts Farm Industrial area.

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2739 Surname: Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35292
Option: G Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2543 Surname: BAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22234
Option: G Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments: Re-open the Uddens Road by bridging the A31 thus providing a safe alternative route to Wimborne for local traffic.

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2492 Surname: BARBER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21949
Option: G Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED1014 Surname: BOURTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02594
Option: G Organisation: Keep Wimborne Green
Response: These options are necessary regardless of development.
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2534 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21706
Option: G Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments: East of Golf Links Road
Parley crossroads and airport access to main road

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2528 Surname: BUTCHER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21569
Option: G Organisation:
Response: Ferndown bypass for traffic to and from Poole
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2560 Surname: CURRIE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23952
Option: G Organisation:
Response:
General comments: There are far to many cars on the road now - 40,000 homes will mean 80,000 cars, our roads will become gridlocked. The traffic jams are bad enough at the moment. I think that inner cities should be developed more some have areas that are run down and derelict. Build on brown field sites.

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2455 Surname: DUNN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23992
Option: G Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments: Ferndown and Wimborne bypasses would help transport to move easier and more effeciently if that were dual carriageway. How can a bypass only have a single carriageway - Bad Planning.

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2497 Surname: DUROW Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21973
Option: G Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2520 Surname: ELLIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22496
Option: G Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments: Please no more damage to the Ferndown road system

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2564 Surname: ELLIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24043
Option: G Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments: The Ferndown bypass should be dual carriageway - 3 lanes each direction.
Only light traffic and buses on Wimborne Road and a flyover to take A31 traffic at Canford Bottom. Heavy traffic from the indutrial estate should be directed away from Ferndown.

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED0206 Surname: FALCONBRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23624
Option: G Organisation: Ferndown Town Council
Response:
General comments: Full Ferndown bypass

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2542 Surname: GEDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22141
Option: G Organisation:
Response:
General comments: Better traffic arrangements at Merley roundabout to stop congestion at Canford Bottom

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2101 Surname: GUTTERIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21408
Option: G Organisation: Ferndown LAG
Response: Agree
General comments: Press for extension of dual carriageway from Ameysford roundabout to Canford Bottom or Ferndown bypass.

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2549 Surname: HEALY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22428
Option: G Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments: Unless the people of Stapehill are going to be completely trapped in by traffic the Uddens drive turn must be improved for pedestrians. The corner opposite the Old Thatch must be improved by being removed and a pavement put in so people can cross around the corner and not on the edge of the road near the staggered cross roads, otherwise it is only safe to leave Stapehill by car and that is not sustainable.

Issue: UE14 Contact ID: XED2396 Surname: HODGES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14127
Option: G Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: This all affects Airport and hence Christchurch Boro
General comments:

Issue: UE14	Contact ID: XED2187	Surname: LARCOMBE	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21619
Option: G	Organisation:		
Response: Agree			
General comments:	Good road connections to Ferndown bypass. No additional commercial traffic should be pushed on to Wimborne Road because of adjoining residential areas and schools. All commercial traffic should be directed on to Ferndown bypass.		

Issue: UE14	Contact ID: XED2565	Surname: LOCK	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24049
Option: G	Organisation:		
Response: Agree			
General comments:	Access from A31		

Issue: UE14	Contact ID: XED2484	Surname: MARSHALL	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21782
Option: G	Organisation:		
Response: No Opinion			
General comments:	This proposal further underlines the need to make the bypass 2 lanes each way either side of Canford Bottom - how else can this road cope? Through traffic needs to be encouraged to use the by pass rather than Ringwood Road, Longham/Ferndown (but they wont if the bypass is one long traffic jam) This links with the housing development proposals.		

Issue: UE14	Contact ID: XED2546	Surname: MARSHALL	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22328
Option: G	Organisation:		
Response: No Opinion			
General comments:			

Issue: UE14	Contact ID: XED1609	Surname: PALMER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24106
Option: G	Organisation: Site Developments (Ferndown) Ltd (represented by Goadsby)		
Response:			
General comments:	Land to the east of the Ferndown Industrial Estate can be accessed directly from the A31 by way of a roundabout already in place at the entrance to the estate, or off of Johnson Road. The site offers good potential to link into the existing urban area by way of footpaths and cycleways, encouraging workers away from the private car For full justification please see Supplementary Representations		

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue:	UE14	Contact ID:	XED1266	Surname:	WALKER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-21863
Option:	G	Organisation:					
Response:	Agree						
General comments:	Dual carriageway full length of Ferndown and Wimborne bypasses as of course should have been built in the first place.						

Issue:	UE14	Contact ID:	XED2547	Surname:	WEIGHT	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-22388
Option:	G	Organisation:	RJW Sheet Metal Ltd				
Response:							
General comments:	In my opinion the transport improvements would have to be in place before the proposed development as the roads are already very congested at peak times.						

Issue:	UE14	Contact ID:	XED2513	Surname:	WILLIAMS	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-22210
Option:	G	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:	Until the basic infrastructure is in place further building should not take place. This definitely means a dedicated route to take the lorry traffic from the bear cross area up to Canford Bottom and the bypass. The number of lorries coming through Ferndown centre is ridiculous.						

Issue:	UE14	Contact ID:	XED2454	Surname:	YOUNG	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-23985
Option:	G	Organisation:					
Response:	Agree						
General comments:	A proper road structure that takes traffic quickly to the west of the Wimborne bypass and on to the Dorchester bound dual carriageway. Until the bypasses for Ferndown and Wimborne are dual carriageway and the choke point of Canford Bottom is removed. No increase in traffic within this proposed area can be sensibly considered.						

Issue:	UE14	Contact ID:	XED2442	Surname:	HELLIER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-18130
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	Highways Agency				
Response:							
General comments:	The Agency would suggest that a combination of the measures detailed in Option A-F would be required if this development were to be taken forward. Further comments are made within Theme 7 (transport) with regard to this issue.						

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2737 Surname: Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35251
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2326 Surname: ANONYMOUS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06440
Option: A Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2404 Surname: ATFIELD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17492
Option: A Organisation: Persia Homes Ltd (represented by Goadsby Ltd)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2443 Surname: BAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18316
Option: A Organisation: Linden Homes (Southern) Ltd represented by Lennon Planning
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2543 Surname: BAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22249
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2415 Surname: JENKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21213
Option: A Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2328 Surname: JENKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06904
Option: A Organisation: Dorset County Council Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2488 Surname: KEMP Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21897
Option: A Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED1031 Surname: KENYON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21446
Option: A Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2335 Surname: KENYON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07810
Option: A Organisation: William Lester Architects Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2609 Surname: LAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35485
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2544 Surname: LAMBERT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22290
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2548 Surname: LEWIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22404
Option: A Organisation: RJ Lewis Ltd
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2565 Surname: LOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24050
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2614 Surname: MADDOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-30764
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2550 Surname: PENNY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22486
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2538 Surname: PHILLIPS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21806
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2526 Surname: PHILLIPS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21256
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2530 Surname: PHILPOTT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21629
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2537 Surname: POWELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21773
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2512 Surname: ROWCLIFFE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22196
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2558 Surname: RUSSELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23914
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2428 Surname: SEWELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21381
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2451 Surname: SMITH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22589
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2260 Surname: SOLOMON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18797
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED0280 Surname: STARMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29028
Option: A Organisation: West Parley Parish Council
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2456 Surname: STRATFORD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23997
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2182 Surname: TERRY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22787
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2553 Surname: THORNE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22559
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2339 Surname: TIDBALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08902
Option: A Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2525 Surname: TOMBLIN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21126
Option: A Organisation: Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2208 Surname: TOOMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22539
Option: A Organisation: Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2499 Surname: TORRENT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22266
Option: A Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED1266 Surname: WALKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21867
Option: A Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2521 Surname: WARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22512
Option: A Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2410 Surname: CHITTENDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21138
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2433 Surname: CLARKE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21471
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2744 Surname: COPPING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36119
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED0946 Surname: COSSINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01869
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2418 Surname: COWAN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24062
Option: B Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2560 Surname: CURRIE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23949
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED0031 Surname: DAVIES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-11933
Option: B Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2327 Surname: DODSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06620
Option: B Organisation: Dorset County Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2533 Surname: DOWLING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21694
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2536 Surname: DRISCOLL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21760
Option: B Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED0987 Surname: HEDGER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17238
Option: B Organisation: Mr M Hedger (represented by Goadsby Ltd)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2396 Surname: HODGES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14129
Option: B Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2025 Surname: HOLDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29341
Option: B Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2491 Surname: HUDSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21921
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2415 Surname: JENKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21215
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2609 Surname: LAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35486
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2544 Surname: LAMBERT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22291
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2548 Surname: LEWIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22405
Option: B Organisation: RJ Lewis Ltd
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2565 Surname: LOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24051
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2447 Surname: MACDONALD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21935
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2614 Surname: MADDOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-30765
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2484 Surname: MARSHALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21784
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2546 Surname: MARSHALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22332
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2541 Surname: MATON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22106
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2522 Surname: MORISON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22476
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED1318 Surname: MORTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21502
Option: B Organisation: Bournemouth Sports Club Limited
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2277 Surname: ODDIE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22524
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2561 Surname: OLIVER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23966
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2034 Surname: PACKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27290
Option: B Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2419 Surname: PARSONS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21371
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2486 Surname: RHODES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21848
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2540 Surname: ROD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21941
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2512 Surname: ROWCLIFFE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22197
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2558 Surname: RUSSELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23915
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2507 Surname: SCAGELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22116
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2443 Surname: BAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18318
Option: C Organisation: Linden Homes (Southern) Ltd represented by Lennon Planning
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2543 Surname: BAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22253
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2492 Surname: BARBER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21952
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2421 Surname: BETTERTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21318
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED0156 Surname: BODELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20499
Option: C Organisation: Dorset County Council
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2415 Surname: JENKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21216
Option: C Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2328 Surname: JENKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06906
Option: C Organisation: Dorset County Council Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2488 Surname: KEMP Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21899
Option: C Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED1031 Surname: KENYON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21449
Option: C Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2335 Surname: KENYON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07812
Option: C Organisation: William Lester Architects Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2532 Surname: LAFFORD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21666
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2609 Surname: LAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35487
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2544 Surname: LAMBERT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22294
Option: C Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2548 Surname: LEWIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22406
Option: C Organisation: RJ Lewis Ltd
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2565 Surname: LOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24052
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2419 Surname: PARSONS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21372
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2526 Surname: PHILLIPS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21260
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2538 Surname: PHILLIPS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21808
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2439 Surname: PRETTY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23977
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2467 Surname: PRICE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24033
Option: C Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2428 Surname: SEWELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21385
Option: C Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2607 Surname: SHRUBB Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28087
Option: C Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2451 Surname: SMITH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22594
Option: C Organisation: Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2260 Surname: SOLOMON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18799
Option: C Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED0280 Surname: STARMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29030
Option: C Organisation: West Parley Parish Council Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2456 Surname: STRATFORD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23999
Option: C Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2182 Surname: TERRY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22789
Option: C Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2184 Surname: THOMPSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19414
Option: C Organisation: Sheiling Trust (represented by Terence O'Rourke) Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2553 Surname: THORNE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22562
Option: C Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2339 Surname: TIDBALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08904
Option: C Organisation: Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2525 Surname: TOMBLIN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21128
Option: C Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2208 Surname: TOOMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22543
Option: C Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2499 Surname: TORRENT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22268
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED1266 Surname: WALKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21869
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2521 Surname: WARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22514
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
Unique Response Ref:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
Unique Response Ref:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
Unique Response Ref:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
Unique Response Ref:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
Unique Response Ref:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED0031 Surname: DAVIES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-11935
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2327 Surname: DODSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06622
Option: D Organisation: Dorset County Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2533 Surname: DOWLING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21698
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2536 Surname: DRISCOLL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21763
Option: D Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2497 Surname: DUROW Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21978
Option: D Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2467 Surname: PRICE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24034
Option: D Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2486 Surname: RHODES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21850
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2558 Surname: RUSSELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23917
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2428 Surname: SEWELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21386
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2451 Surname: SMITH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22595
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED0206 Surname: FALCONBRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23629
Option: E Organisation: Ferndown Town Council
Response:
General comments: Green open public space - less tarmac - better design and layout

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2509 Surname: FIRTH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22167
Option: E Organisation:
Response: There is already insufficient infrastructure to support the current population. If you add more people you will need more doctors, schools etc. Trying to get out of Ferndown is already like trying to get out of Colditz.
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2101 Surname: GUTTERIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21425
Option: E Organisation: Ferndown LAG
Response: Agree
General comments: Also need for community type public house and indoor bowls facility.

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2549 Surname: HEALY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22437
Option: E Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments: Affordable housing for employees

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2481 Surname: HEARSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23960
Option: E Organisation:
Response:
General comments: All of the above are either privately owned or large supermarkets, any new businesses opening are mostly take-aways and there are to many of those.

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2488 Surname: KEMP Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21901
Option: E Organisation:

Response:

General comments: Increased medical facilities should be required in the new area to minimise Ferndown's carbon foot print.

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2546 Surname: MARSHALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22336
Option: E Organisation:

Response: No Opinion

General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2484 Surname: MARSHALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21787
Option: E Organisation:

Response: No Opinion

General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2522 Surname: MORISON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22479
Option: E Organisation:

Response:

General comments: Is there evidence of a real local demand for this?

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2277 Surname: ODDIE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22527
Option: E Organisation:

Response: Disagree

General comments: Currently there are at least 12 units to be let or for sale ranging from 1,100 - 4,500 square feet on the Ferndown and Uddens industrial estates. So is there any real need for further expansion of these sites. There is a need for upgrades to the older existing industrial properties which would assist in their re-letting etc.
Unauthorised advert boards at the junction of Stapehill Road and Wimborne Road East are a traffic hazard for vehicles exiting Stapehill Road.

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2208 Surname: TOOMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22547
Option: E Organisation: Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2499 Surname: TORRENT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22275
Option: E Organisation: Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED1266 Surname: WALKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21873
Option: E Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments: Post office

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED1342 Surname: CANTLIE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-11045
Option: F Organisation: Christchurch Conservation Trust Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE15 Contact ID: XED2324 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08388
Option: G Organisation: A&R Developments (represented by Sibbett Gregory) Response: If commercially viable
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED1130 Surname: DIXON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-00084
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED2704 Surname: DYER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31928
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED2701 Surname: DYER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31494
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED2060 Surname: EVANS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23234
Option: A Organisation: Traves James
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED0206 Surname: FALCONBRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23630
Option: A Organisation: Ferndown Town Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED2184 Surname: THOMPSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19417
Option: A Organisation: Sheiling Trust (represented by Terence O'Rourke)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED2339 Surname: TIDBALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08906
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED0024 Surname: VICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16957
Option: A Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED2318 Surname: WEST Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04803
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED2702 Surname: WOOLF Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31629
Option: A Organisation: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd / Bodorgan Properties CI Ltd represented by Woolf Bond Planning
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED1609 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24107
Option: B Organisation: Site Developments (Ferndown) Ltd (represented by Goadsby)
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED2554 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22636
Option: B Organisation: Capital Developments (Southern) Ltd (represented by Goadsby)
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED1314 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24176
Option: B Organisation: Bournemouth & West Hampshire Water Plc (represented by Goadsby)
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED2401 Surname: PARKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16338
Option: B Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED2260 Surname: SOLOMON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18802
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED0280 Surname: STARMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29033
Option: B Organisation: West Parley Parish Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED2182 Surname: TERRY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22792
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED2184 Surname: THOMPSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19418
Option: B Organisation: Sheiling Trust (represented by Terence O'Rourke)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED0024 Surname: VICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16958
Option: B Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED2726 Surname: WALLIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34859
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED2318 Surname: WEST Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04804
Option: B Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED2702 Surname: WOOLF Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31630
Option: B Organisation: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd / Bodorgan Properties CI Ltd represented by Woolf Bond Planning
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED0250 Surname: Ringwood Town Council Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22264
Option: C Organisation: Ringwood Town Council
Response:
General comments: Plus what ever comes forward naturally

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED1014 Surname: BOURTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02595
Option: C Organisation: Keep Wimborne Green
Response: These houses should not be allocated for our area. Unsustainable and unwanted over development.
General comments:

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED1176 Surname: BROOKS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17893
Option: C Organisation:
Response:
General comments: This vague policy has been hatched by the RSS panel and is in excess of the targets in the draft document. This proposal should be opposed by EDDC at government level

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED2410 Surname: CHITTENDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21142
Option: C Organisation:
Response:
General comments: Must be guided by principles of sustainability nature conservation flood and landscape constraints. An either/or decision on this is inappropriate

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED1910 Surname: DAVENPORT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22011
Option: C Organisation:
Response:
General comments: Small (5 acre) site adjacent to formal/informal recreation facilities at the end of Willow Drive Colehill
26 acre site between bypass and Wimborn Road West
Employment/residential of 40 acres east off Canford Botton roundabout

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED0911 Surname: ELCOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26593
Option: C Organisation:
Response:
General comments: suggested key issue as in UE6 line F

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED2647 Surname: ELCOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26476
Option: C Organisation:
Response:
General comments: as shown in UE6 line C & F

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED0206 Surname: FALCONBRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23632
Option: C Organisation: Ferndown Town Council
Response:
General comments: without expanding onto greenbelt

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED0902 Surname: HASKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15266
Option: C Organisation:
Response:
General comments: Focussing on a few larger sites would allow for the provision of local facilities which should be intrinsic to sustainable development. However, more than size, the landscape type and intrinsic biodiversity of any site discussed in BE17 below is of paramount importance and a key sustainability issue which should not be overridden by other issues

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED2026 Surname: HOLLAND Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27832
Option: C Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response:
General comments: east of district

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED2297 Surname: HOSKINSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03252
Option: C Organisation: Canford Estate (represented by Savills)
Response: There are a number of small sites on the edge of existing settlements that can deliver a proportion of this development, however some larger sites may also be required.
General comments:

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED2606 Surname: HOWARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26073
Option: C Organisation: Corfe Mullen P C
Response:
General comments: few large sites east of district

Issue: UE16 Contact ID: XED2460 Surname: LOFTHOUSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19693
Option: C Organisation: The Dampney Trust (represented by Savills)
Response:
General comments: The greenbelt boundaries should be redrawn to exclude land at East Parley and Bournemouth International Airport as this will enable growth to follow more obvious and logical boundaries

Issue: UE16	Contact ID: XED2325	Surname: MILLER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07522
Option: C	Organisation: Owners of land south west of Three Legged Cross (represented by Terence O'Rourke)		
Response:	In order to maximise the opportunities for sustainable development across East Dorset and Christchurch, we consider that the level of development within the urban extensions should be maximised. We therefore consider that the urban extensions to the north of Wimborne and south of Ferndown should be the principal locations at which these additional 1000 dwellings should be allocated, with any remaining housing allocated to lower order settlements such as Three Legged Cross, development at which would be governed by Policy CI of the draft Regional Spatial Strategy.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE16	Contact ID: XED2261	Surname: MULLINER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19023
Option: C	Organisation: Terence O'Rourke (representing Bloor Homes)		
Response:			
General comments:	In order to maximise the opportunities for sustainable development across East Dorset and Christchurch, we consider the level of development within the urban extensions should be maximised. We therefore consider that the urban extensions should be the principal locations at which these additional 1000 dwellings should be allocated. Our previous submission to the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment, considered that the land to north of Walford Bridge could accommodate up to 1350 dwellings and associated facilities		

Issue: UE16	Contact ID: XED1866	Surname: NEWMAN	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33799
Option: C	Organisation:		
Response:			
General comments:	A few in as many carefully chosen settlements as possible where employment is available locally		

Issue: UE16	Contact ID: XED1601	Surname: PALMER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24308
Option: C	Organisation: Seaward Properties Limited (represented by Goadsby)		
Response:			
General comments:	Green field land at Noon Hill Road, Verwood, should be identified in the Core Strategy and Site Allocation DPD as an urban extension. The whole parcel of land is within one ownership, so is deliverable, and totals some 2.12 hectares. It is proposed that the site be split into a developable area measuring 1.27 hectares and a separate defined public open space area acting as mitigation space, or SANGS, measuring 0.85 hectares. The site at 30-40 dph could deliver 38 to 50 dwellings, or as a care or nursing home.		

Issue: UE16	Contact ID: XED2554	Surname: PALMER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22637
Option: C	Organisation: Capital Developments (Southern) Ltd (represented by Goadsby)		
Response:			
General comments:	Land at Leigh Lane, Colehill should be identified in the Core Strategy and Site Allocation DPD as an urban extension. This option will meet with the settlement strategy as set out in the emerging RSS. It is estimated that the site could bring forward approx 18 hectares of land. At 40-50 dph some 500-600 dwellings (net). Please see supplementary representations for full justification		

Issue: UE16	Contact ID: XED1609	Surname: PALMER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24108
Option: C	Organisation: Site Developments (Ferndown) Ltd (represented by Goadsby)		
Response:	Agree		
General comments:			

Issue: UE16	Contact ID: XED2248	Surname: PATRICK	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17816
Option: C	Organisation: Harry J Palmer & Perry Family Trust (represented by Pro Vision Planning and Design)		
Response:			
General comments:	<p>Harry J Palmer Holdings Limited and the Perry Family Trust have no suggestions with regard to Corie Mullen, other than to say that if their land is not allocated at this stage in the Core Strategy, for the strategic urban extension then their land should be considered to accommodate up to 700 of the proposed "1000" extra. If their land is indeed allocated for 700 homes, there may also be some scope to help meet the requirement for the "1000" extra by increasing the numbers over 700.</p> <p>Land between Wimborne and Colehill in accord with the attached strategic feasibility study.</p>		

Issue: UE16	Contact ID: XED1180	Surname: RAYNER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28620
Option: C	Organisation:		
Response:			
General comments:	Infill in medium, sized villages located in proximity to main centres (within 3 miles)		

Issue: UE16	Contact ID: XED2182	Surname: TERRY	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22793
Option: C	Organisation:		
Response:			
General comments:	Othwer areas should be considerd where it can be demonstrated there is no substantial environmental impact ie next to industrial estates		

Issue: UE16	Contact ID: XED2230	Surname: WEBSTER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08752
Option: C	Organisation: Barratt Homes		
Response:	St leonards		
General comments:			

Issue: UE16	Contact ID: XED2702	Surname: WOOLF	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31631
Option: C	Organisation: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd / Bodorgan Properties CI Ltd represented by Woolf Bond Planning		
Response:			
General comments:	additional capacity available in excess of 600 no dwellings at Roeshot Hill, Christchurch		

Issue: UE16	Contact ID: XED0201	Surname: KITE	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18601
Option: D	Organisation: Natural England		
Response:			
General comments:	<p>Finding locations for an additional 1000 houses presents a number of difficult problems for the core strategy. Appropriate assessment of the effects of this housing is of course an essential part of the process as there would undoubtedly be significant effects on all the international sites. Whilst the type of mitigation provisions within the Interim Planning Framework and the emerging heathland DPD will be critical in avoiding adverse effects on these sites it cannot be assumed that provided each development contribute to an IPF type fund, effects can be avoided. This is because housing in some locations may result in effects on parts of the international sites that cannot be avoided by such measures, even if these are outside the 400m zone. Rather we would expect developments to provide substantial areas of greenspace as part of the development. Neither can assessments be left to the application stage for this could not deal properly with in combination effects (from both the 1000 houses combined, and these houses combined with other housing).</p> <p>Moreover, the uncertainty about where these houses might be located could leave much of the land in East Dorset with 'hope value' for development and this is likely to include land that is critical for the provision of SANGs. Thus without certainty about where these houses will go, much of the basis of the heathlands DPD will be undermined.</p> <p>It is clearly going to be an extremely challenging process to allocate land for these houses and it cannot be assumed that this can be done without adversely affecting the integrity of the internationally designated sites. We do not have sufficient information at present to make meaningful suggestions about how this should be done but heathland issues must clearly be a central part of the process and considered from the earliest stage. We would be happy to advice further at the appropriate time.</p>		

Issue: UE16 **Contact ID:** XED0033 **Surname:** HALL **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-10715
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Christchurch Borough Council

Response: Invalid responses - ticked agree and disagree

General comments:

Issue: UE16 **Contact ID:** XED2442 **Surname:** HELLIER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-18132
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Highways Agency

Response:

General comments: The distribution of the remaining 1,000 dwellings within East Dorset will need to be assessed on the basis of each settlement's existing facilities and potential for new housing to assist in increasing levels of self containment.

Issue: UE16 **Contact ID:** XED2309 **Surname:** MILLER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-03549
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Stone Park Estate (represented by Terence O'Rourke)

Response: In order to maximise the opportunities for sustainable development across East Dorset and Christchurch, we consider that the level of development within the urban extensions should be maximised. We therefore consider that the urban extensions to the north of Wimborne and south of Ferndown should be the principal locations at which these additional 1000 dwellings should be allocated, with any remaining housing allocated to lower order settlements such as Three Legged Cross, development at which would be governed by Policy C1 of the draft Regional Spatial Strategy.

General comments:

Issue: UE16 **Contact ID:** XED2405 **Surname:** MOLNAR **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-17738
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** For Persimmon & Banner Homes (represented by Terence O'Rourke)

Response:

General comments: In order to maximise the opportunities for sustainable development across East Dorset and Christchurch, we consider that the level of development within the urban extensions should be maximised. We therefore consider that the urban extensions identified in the RSS areas of search should be the principal locations at which these additional 1000 dwellings should be allocated.

Issue: UE16 **Contact ID:** XED2088 **Surname:** PACKHAM **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-23101
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** White Young Green

Response: [Empty box]

General comments: The Core Strategy Issues and Options paper considers the location for urban extensions based on the RSS. The problem is that these options have yet to be confirmed by the Secretary of State and in our view have been assessed on the basis of very limited information. To identify one example the Council has yet to have in place a completed Strategic Flood Risk Assessment and accepts that parts of the area of search for example at Corfe Mullen is liable to flooding. Similar considerations apply at North and West of Wimborne. In addition there are significant nature conservation interests, transport and other issues. All of these have to following implications:

1. Significant additional work is needed to assess the viability of the urban extension options and
2. How can a reasoned response be made to the options when the evidence base is incomplete?

Further there appears to be no reference to mixed use development which should form part of any urban extension in order to achieve a sustainable pattern of development. We therefore request that all the potential options for urban extensions are considered on a common, agreed evidence base which involves developers and landowners and which takes account of the sustainability of the respective locations and includes an assessment of the costs and benefits to be derived from each option.

Issue: UE16 **Contact ID:** XED1514 **Surname:** PALMER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-24243
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Libra Homes (represented by Goadsby)

Response: [Empty box]

General comments: Land at Holmwood Park, South Ferndown should be identified in the Core Strategy and Site Allocation DPD as an urban extension. This option will meet with the settlement strategy as set out in the emerging RSS. It is estimated that the site could bring forward approximately 14 hectares of land. At 40-50 dwellings per hectare, some 336-500 dwellings (net). Please see supplementary representations for full justification.

Issue: UE16 **Contact ID:** XED2552 **Surname:** WOODCOCK **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-22569
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Environment Agency

Response: [Empty box]

General comments: General Comments – When considering location of new development it should be informed by your SFRA and the requirements of PPS25.
Furthermore, when considering developing policy for the East Dorset urban extensions we recommend the criteria set out in UE2 (plus our additional comments, see above) are considered.

Issue: UE17 Contact ID: XED0082 Surname: WEBSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24998
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Wyatt Homes (represented by Doug Cramond)

Response:

General comments: I write briefly to re-iterate the Company's commitment to bringing forward the Cuthbury allotment area as a significant contribution to the UE requirement in wider Wimborne.

In this regard I refer you to our SHLAA submission where site control was confirmed and development merits were underlined.

We have reviewed the March 2008 document and it seems to us that this is a sound base to move forwards towards Core Strategy Preferred Options. Whilst particular policy points may be raised in due course as the development plan process unfolds, given the declared principal interest of Wyatt Homes we have decided not to complete the multiple issue Response Paper which is rightly directed more to those with a wider spectrum of interests and, most probably, those living in East Dorset. We trust this is in order; Wyatt will create a development (or other developments) of the highest design quality and most sustainable form, protecting environmental interests and making a real contribution to the cross-community well-being of the District within a settlement strategy according with the emerging RSS.

In terms of the UEs we believe the next Christchurch/East Dorset publication should be site specific - Cuthbury allotments should be selected and named.

These UEs are strategic, the public, landowners, developers, utility and infrastructure providers and the host of interested parties need to know with certainty where the Council is promoting. The planning system needs to be straightforward, honest, effective and efficient as it assists towards the much needed delivery of new homes of all types for this part of South East Dorset. Delaying key site identification until any future form of LDD beyond a Core Strategy assists no-one and has huge resource implications for the authorities and all others involved in the process.

As before, we would wish to be kept on your consultation database please.

In the interim we shall be contacting you to arrange a meeting - amongst other things we have some positive proposals to deal with your Issue UE9: where new allotments might be sited.

Issue: UE17 Contact ID: XED2404 Surname: ATFIELD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17498
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Persia Homes Ltd (represented by Goadsby Ltd)

Response:

General comments: Two sites at Three Legged Cross 670 dwellings

Issue: UE17 Contact ID: XED2443 Surname: BAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18322
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Linden Homes (Southern) Ltd represented by Lennon Planning

Response:

General comments: Land north east of Ringwood Road Verwood (site plan and supporting information attached) 30-40 units

Issue: UE17 Contact ID: XED1014 Surname: BOURTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02596
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Keep Wimborne Green

Response: See UE16

General comments:

Issue: UE17 Contact ID: XED1176 Surname: BROOKS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17888
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: I have not completed all the suggested choices as to where developments should be within the specific "Areas of Search" stated in the Draft R.S.S. Document, and which are now confirmed without amendment in the Panel Report. Clearly these opinions are best left to residents living in these areas who have a more detailed knowledge of their areas. Furthermore they have been more widely contacted during this Core Strategy on these specific matters.

However, since the Panel Report was published in January there have been calls to shift development plans from West Parley to an area of Green Belt within the Parish of St.Leonards and St. Ives ie. Parcels of land south of and adjacent to the A31 Trunk Road, less than 400 metres from S.S.S.I. Heathlands and bordering Boundary Lane.

Apparently, West Parley Residents Association considered moving the proposed development from West Parley to this area" could solve East Dorset's Housing problems "! How considerate !. This proposition has arisen as a direct result of the recent" Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment" (SHLAA), whereby Local Authorities have literally advertised for Land Owners and Developers to suggest development sites. We trust that any detailed assessment by East Dorset District Council will reach the same conclusion as the Secretary of State in 1990 that this area adjacent to the protected Heathlands and the A31 Trunk Road is totally unsuitable for substantial housing development. The selected ""Areas of Search" have been known and included in the R.S.S. proposals for at least 3 years and any new areas should not be introduced through the" Back Door".

Having confirmed that all the numbers for the proposed Urban Extensions remain as stated in the Draft R.S.S. Document, the R. S. S. Panel now propose a further 1000 dwellings to be built "somewhere around existing settlements in East Dorset ". Unfortunately, the Panel's coach tour failed to provide a " steer" about where they should go !. Clearly, this "sound-bite" instant policy making should be rejected by an official objection from East Dorset District Council to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government before she decides on the final version of the Regional Spatial Strategy later this year and on which this Core Strategy will be based.

Issue: UE17	Contact ID: XED2324	Surname: BROWN	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06282
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: A&R Developments (represented by Sibbett Gregory)		
Response:	(see Woolsbridge map enclosed with response)		
General comments:			

Issue: UE17	Contact ID: XED2324	Surname: BROWN	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08390
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: A&R Developments (represented by Sibbett Gregory)		
Response:	Longham / Furzehill		
General comments:			

Issue: UE17	Contact ID: XED2324	Surname: BROWN	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06269
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: A&R Developments (represented by Sibbett Gregory)		
Response:			
General comments:	<p>The options fail to consider the possibility of an urban extension at Woolsbridge and is unsound.</p> <p>In discussing land west of Ferndown, reference is made to possible problems with the road network and the area also having features of Nature Conservation importance.</p> <p>Woolsbridge, on the other hand, has a good access onto the Three Legged Cross/Ringwood Road and hence direct to the A31 and M3. An Ecological Survey has recorded little of interest on the Woolsbridge site. Much of the land is made up and is of no agricultural value.</p>		

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
 Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments: I write briefly to re-iterate the Company's commitment to bringing forward the land at Weavers Close and South Woolscape Road, West Moors, as a significant contribution to the UE / RSS 1,000 additional homes requirement within East Dorset.

In this regard I refer you to our SHLAA submission where site control was confirmed and development merits were underlined (yr ref 3/23/0095).

We have reviewed the March 2008 document and it seems to us that this is a sound base to move forwards towards Core Strategy Preferred Options. Whilst particular policy points may be raised in due course as the development plan process unfolds, given the declared principal interest of Heron we have decided not to complete the multiple issue Response Paper which is rightly directed more to those with a wider spectrum of interests and, most probably, those living in East Dorset. We trust this is in order; Heron will ensure a development of the highest design quality and most sustainable form, protecting environmental interests and making a real contribution to the cross-community well-being of the District within a settlement strategy according with the emerging RSS. West Moors is a sustainable location in its own right and part of the defined SE Dorset SSCT.

In terms of the UEs we believe the next Christchurch/East Dorset publication should be site specific - land south of West Moors should be selected and named.

These UEs are strategic, the public, landowners, developers, utility and infrastructure providers and the host of interested parties need to know with certainty where the Council is promoting. The planning system needs to be straightforward, honest, effective and efficient as it assists towards the much needed delivery of new homes of all types for this part of South East Dorset. Delaying key site identification until any future form of LDD beyond a Core Strategy assists no-one and has huge resource implications for the authorities and all others involved in the process.

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
 Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
 Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE17 **Contact ID:** XED2128 **Surname:** FINLAY **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-10198
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Holt Parish Plan Action Committee
Response: Land between Leigh Road and bypass - 1000 dwellings
General comments:

Issue: UE17 **Contact ID:** XED1197 **Surname:** HANHAM **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-09149
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response: Land south waterloo valley
General comments:

Issue: UE17 **Contact ID:** XED0902 **Surname:** HASKINS **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-15267
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response:
General comments: The key factor is to identify those sites of whatever size which might be developed without degradation of the more attractive and wildlife rich landscape areas. This is vital to meet biological sustainability as argued before in NE4C and SS1. This is the essential assessment which must be made before such selections can be made. Finding less sensitive sites where biodiversity will not be severely denuded by development will be particularly difficult around those main towns which have their origin in the London and Reading beds - particularly Corfe Mullen, Wimborne and Verwood where development has already denuded much of this special landscape.

Issue: UE17 Contact ID: XED2616 Surname: HINDMARCH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24997
Option: GENERAL Organisation: St Leonards Residents Association

Response:

General comments: At the last meeting of the Association, which was held some years ago, it was agreed to hold no further regular meetings unless the Grange Estate development issue reemerged. The Association has not disbanded, and it is certain that it will become active should this happen.

The Parish Council has confirmed that a group of Grange Estate landowners is promoting development as part of the above consultation. Should this proposal become one of consideration, I would like to put on record the following information, which has been taken from material which I currently hold.

Grange Estate land ownership is fragmented, with much of it being within the 400 m Heath Exclusion Zone. In the region of 40 landowners appear to be promoting land for development. However, at the last renewal, the St Leonards South Residents' Association had a membership of nearly 80 households, none of which would support development at the Grange Estate.

The Grange Estate has in the region of 120 households/landowners and this two thirds to one third split against any development is undoubtedly still the position today. By virtue of its proximity to Heath, fragmented ownership and location remote from facilities, the Association does not consider the Grange Estate as a suitable place for housing development.

I am the Acting Secretary of the Association, and would be grateful if any further correspondence could be forwarded to me at the above address.

Issue: UE17 Contact ID: XED2106 Surname: HOLMES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27602
Option: GENERAL Organisation: West Moors Parish Council

Response:

General comments: 2 fields in parish of West Moors - 40 dwellings

Issue: UE17 **Contact ID:** XED2297 **Surname:** HOSKINSON **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-03253

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Canford Estate (represented by Savills)

Response: A number of suitable sites have been put forward to East Dorset by Savills on behalf of the Canford Estate in response the SHLAA consultation. These include:
Land at Forset View Drive, Stapehill (SHLAA ref 3/07/0198) (20 dwellings)
Land at Naked Cross, Corfe Mullen (SHLAA ref 304/0207) (200 dwellings)
Land at East End, Corfe Mullen (SHLAA ref 304/0208) (25 dwellings)
Land at Cogdean Elms, Corde Mullen (SHLAA ref 304/0209) (15 dwellings)

General comments:

Issue: UE17 **Contact ID:** XED2460 **Surname:** LOFTHOUSE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-19694

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** The Dampney Trust (represented by Savills)

Response:

General comments: Land to the south of the B3073 and land off Chape Lane - depends on densities but in the region of 500+ dwellings

Issue: UE17 **Contact ID:** XED2721 **Surname:** MICHAEL **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-34561

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response:

General comments: N Corfe Mullen 100 dwellings

Issue: UE17 **Contact ID:** XED2309 **Surname:** MILLER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-03550

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Stone Park Estate (represented by Terence O'Rourke)

Response: Land north of Walford Bridge. In the first instance, the additional 1000 homes should be apportioned to the proposed urban extensions on land north of Walford Bridge, Wimborne, and on land at Parley Cross.

General comments:

Issue: UE17 **Contact ID:** XED2325 **Surname:** MILLER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-07523

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Owners of land south west of Three Legged Cross (represented by Terence O'Rourke)

Response: In the first instance, the additional 1000 homes should be apportioned to the proposed urban extensions on land north of Walford Bridge, Wimborne, and on land at Parley Cross. Subsequently, we consider that any additional dwellings should be concentrated on land to the east of Holt Road and to the west of West Moors Road at Three Legged Cross.

General comments:

Issue: UE17 **Contact ID:** XED2178 **Surname:** MILLER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-29796

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response:

General comments: Church Farm Sturminster Marshall 10+ dwellings

Issue: UE17 **Contact ID:** XED2405 **Surname:** MOLNAR **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-17739

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** For Persimmon & Banner Homes (represented by Terence O'Rourke)

Response:

General comments: In the first instance, the additional 1000 homes should be apportioned to the proposed urban extensions identified in the RSS areas of search.

Issue: UE17 **Contact ID:** XED2261 **Surname:** MULLINER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-19024

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Terence O'Rourke (representing Bloor Homes)

Response:

General comments: Location - North of Walford Bridge - in principle, the additional 1000 homes should be apportioned to the proposed urban extensions. Our previous submission to the Strategic Land Availability Assessment, considered that the land to the north of Walford Bridge could accommodate up to 1350 dwellings and associated facilities

Issue: UE17 Contact ID: XED2088 Surname: PACKHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23102
Option: GENERAL Organisation: White Young Green

Response:

General comments: The Core Strategy Issues and Options paper considers the location for urban extensions based on the RSS. The problem is that these options have yet to be confirmed by the Secretary of State and in our view have been assessed on the basis of very limited information. To identify one example the Council has yet to have in place a completed Strategic Flood Risk Assessment and accepts that parts of the area of search for example at Corfe Mullen is liable to flooding. Similar considerations apply at North and West of Wimborne. In addition there are significant nature conservation interests, transport and other issues. All of these have to following implications:

1. Significant additional work is needed to assess the viability of the urban extension options and
2. How can a reasoned response be made to the options when the evidence base is incomplete?

Further there appears to be no reference to mixed use development which should form part of any urban extension in order to achieve a sustainable pattern of development. We therefore request that all the potential options for urban extensions are considered on a common, agreed evidence base which involves developers and landowners and which takes account of the sustainability of the respective locations and includes an assessment of the costs and benefits to be derived from each option.

Issue: UE17 Contact ID: XED1514 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24244
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Libra Homes (represented by Goadsby)

Response:

General comments: Holmwood Park, South Ferndown. Please see Supplementary Representations for full justification 336 - 500 dwellings

Issue: UE17 Contact ID: XED1601 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24309
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Seaward Properties Limited (represented by Goadsby)

Response:

General comments: On green field land at Noon Hill Road, Verwood Please see Supplementary Representations for full justification. 38 to 50 dwellings

Issue: UE17 Contact ID: XED2554 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22638
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Capital Developments (Southern) Ltd (represented by Goadsby)

Response:

General comments: Land at Leigh Lane, Colehill. Please se supplementary representations for full justification - 500-600 dwellings

Issue: UE17

Contact ID: XED1498

Surname: PARKE

Unique

Option: GENERAL

Organisation: Ken Parke Planning Consultants

Response Ref:

CSIO-18224

Response:

General comments:

The Christchurch and East Dorset Core Strategy Issues and Options March 2008 discussion paper sets out the various options for urban extensions should the Secretary of State accept the Panel's recommendation put forward as part of the regional spatial strategy processes.

The discussion paper puts forward the various options in East Dorset and on land to the north of Christchurch. The paper also states that there is a need for land to provide a further 1000 houses on sites around the main towns and built up areas of East Dorset.

It is not clear from the discussion paper as to whether this land should be provided on an incremental basis around all of the existing settlements or whether there would be a preference for a single large unit of land which could deliver the majority of the housing.

Please find enclosed an ordnance survey extract scale 1:25000 which identifies an area of land approximately 30 hectares to the south of Verwood. This area of land could deliver close to 1000 dwellings at a relatively low density while also providing areas of open space to provide for the needs of the future occupiers. Even if part of the land was to be developed for residential purposes with a wider provision of open space to meet the needs of the existing settlement in terms of recreation open space, this could go some way to mitigating any perceived pressures on heathland caused by the existing settlement.

The site is well located in relation to the existing settlement and the district as a whole including the road network. The site is of sufficient size to meet the housing requirements of the Regional Spatial Strategy over a prolonged period in a phased manner rather than rely on incremental development as otherwise might be the case with alternative small scale changes to the Green Belt around the existing settlements.

I wish to promote this site on behalf of my client. I can provide more detailed information at the appropriate stage once I receive a degree of comfort from the LPA that this area of land would be seriously considered in relation to meet this shortfall.

I would ask the relevant Officers to contact me to discuss what further information they will require and at what stage in order to promote the land for inclusion within the Core Strategy and the LDF.

I would ask that this correspondence be taken as a response to the Core Strategy Issues and Options Paper.

Issue:	UE17	Contact ID:	XED1180	Surname:	RAYNER	Unique	
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:		Response Ref:		CSIO-28621	
Response:							
General comments:	Three legged cross - up to 50 dwellings						

Issue: UE17

Contact ID:

XED2617

Surname:

SMITH

Unique

Option: GENERAL

Organisation:

D Smith (represented by DC Planning)

Response Ref:

CSIO-24999

Response:

General
comments:

I write briefly to re-iterate my client's conviction that land North of Wimborne Road, northern Colehill, can and should make a significant contribution to the UE requirement in the wider Wimborne/Colehill area.

In this regard I refer you to our SHLAA submission where site control was confirmed and development merits were underlined (yr ref 3/25/0092).

We have reviewed the March 2008 document and it seems to us that this is a sound base to move forwards towards Core Strategy Preferred Options. Whilst particular policy points may be raised in due course as the development plan process unfolds, given the declared principal interest of Mr Smith we have decided not to complete the multiple issue Response Paper which is rightly directed more to those with a wider spectrum of interests and, most probably, those living in East Dorset. At this point I would merely underline Mr Smith's commitment to a detail and pattern of development which is of highest design quality, sustainable in all senses, contributes to the community and is based on environmentally sound principles.

In terms of the UEs we believe the next Christchurch/East Dorset publication should be site specific - land north of Colehill should be selected and named.

UEs are strategic, the public, landowners, developers, utility and infrastructure providers and the host of interested parties need to know with certainty where the Council is promoting. The planning system needs to be straightforward, honest, effective and efficient as it assists towards the much needed delivery of new homes of all types for this part of South East Dorset.

Land such as the subject site could be brought forward in the earlier stages of the plan process allowing more complex sectors of UE delivery to have the necessary lead-in time for delivering homes in the middle and later time stages. Delaying key site identification until any future form of LDD beyond a Core Strategy assists no-one and has huge resource implications for the authorities and all others involved in the process.

Returning to the specific site in question:

The site is bordered by existing development on three sides, and is bounded on its fourth side by a readily identifiable boundary in the form of the existing lane to the north. The release of this contained, defined and relatively small area for development will not involve any incursion into the open countryside beyond the lane. In order to contribute towards meeting housing needs, a minor readjustment of the Green Belt boundary in this location is capable of delivering housing adjacent to a primary school, on a bus route, within walking distance of local shopping and healthcare facilities, and close to Wimborne town centre. It is therefore entirely appropriate to consider this site further as part of the current assessment of sites for housing delivery. The site is immediately available for development without the need for major infrastructure or other delaying factors.

Whilst the site is included within the edge of the recently designated conservation area, this does not preclude sensitively designed development which has the potential to contribute both in housing delivery and design terms to local needs and to the character of the area. We shall shortly be submitting illustrative material to support these representations, which will demonstrate how the development of this area might proceed using traditional design solutions, in a form which addresses existing problems in the immediate locality, including access, road safety, on-street parking and other matters. All existing trees can be retained with new planting to reinforce the new Green Belt boundary, and the design will focus housing around a village green, adding to the character and amenity of this area.

Issue: UE17 Contact ID: XED0280 Surname: STARMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29034
Option: GENERAL Organisation: West Parley Parish Council
Response:
General comments: refer to UE10

Issue: UE17 Contact ID: XED2182 Surname: TERRY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22794
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: Throughout the plan area by consideration - several hundred

Issue: UE17 Contact ID: XED1892 Surname: THORNE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23373
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Thornes Chartered Surveyors
Response:
General comments: Sturminster Marshall 100 units
Longham 400 units

Issue: UE17 Contact ID: XED2230 Surname: WEBSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08753
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Barratt Homes
Response: St Leonards - 250 - 300 dwellings
General comments:

Issue: UE17 Contact ID: XED2702 Surname: WOOLF Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31632
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd / Bodorgan Properties CI Ltd represented by Woolf Bond Planning
Response:
General comments: Roeshot Hill (additional) - 400 dwellings (in addition to 600 units already proposed, ie 1000 units in total)

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE18 Contact ID: XED2887 Surname: BEST Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18698
Option: GENERAL Organisation: South West Regional Assembly

Response:

General comments: Policy SR29 of the draft RSS states that provision should be made for an average of 165 to 180 dwellings per annum in Christchurch Borough, including an urban extension; and an average of 260 dwellings per annum in East Dorset District, of which 120 dwellings per annum are extensions to existing settlements. Development should focus on the intensification of Christchurch's urban area complemented by the provision of urban extensions closely related to local centres at the following locations:

- North of Christchurch urban area, within Christchurch Borough, about 600 dwellings (Area of Search M in draft RSS)
- Within East Dorset District a total of about 2,400 dwellings (Area of Search N - north west of the main urban area at Corfe Mullen, Area of Search O - north and west of Wimborne Minster, and Area of Search P - east and south east of Ferndown).
- Within East Dorset District 20 hectares of employment land (Area of Search Q - west of Ferndown).

We are pleased to see the acknowledgement that the Core Strategy must plan for these urban extensions, and gives more detailed options for their specific locations within the areas of search. We also note that the recommendation in the Panel Report for 1,000 further dwellings in smaller developments around existing settlements in East Dorset has been acknowledged in the Core Strategy. Whilst it is important to reflect the emerging policy context and test higher housing numbers it should be noted that the draft RSS is still the agreed position of the RPB. The remaining development should be allocated in the LDF according to Development Policies Band C in the draft RSS, with appropriate settlements being identified in the Core Strategy with regard to their role and function.

Issue: UE18 Contact ID: XED0156 Surname: BODELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20502
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Dorset County Council

Response: Yes

General comments:

Issue: UE18 Contact ID: XED1014 Surname: BOURTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02597
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Keep Wimborne Green

Response: Judicial review on the legality of RSS procedures and panel report

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE18 Contact ID: XED2442 Surname: HELLIER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18118
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Highways Agency

Response:

General comments: The Agency has previously raised concerns with regard to the scale of development that is proposed in the Areas of Search within the RSS. This is based on the potentially significant impact on the A31 SRN expected from development in relatively remote areas of the key settlements.
Discussions between the Agency and the local highway authorities have led to the view that the development of these areas must wait to be informed by the Transport Study. This will follow the development of a multi-modal traffic model for the JSA. The impact of development on local and strategic networks, particularly the A31, needs to be fully assessed in order to determine the transport interventions required in the A31 to Poole Corridor. Therefore the Agency reserves the opportunity to make more informed comments when the results are known. In the meantime the Agency makes the following general observations:

Issue: UE18 Contact ID: XED2442 Surname: HELLIER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18133
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Highways Agency

Response:

General comments: As noted above the Agency seeks clarification that the impacts of the urban extensions on the SRN will be fully assessed. Furthermore the Agency would wish to reserve the opportunity to comment on site-specific issues as they arise. However, the Agency would expect any mitigation measures required as a result of the proposals to be funded by the respective developments or other non-Agency sources

Issue: UE18 Contact ID: XED2396 Surname: HODGES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14134
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association

Response: No

General comments: There is a finite limit to space
So recognise the need to preserve area character

Issue: UE18 Contact ID: XED2026 Surname: HOLLAND Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27833
Option: GENERAL Organisation: East Dorset District Council

Response: Yes

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE18 Contact ID: XED0201 Surname: KITE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18584
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Natural England

Response:

General comments: All of the proposed areas of search for urban extensions are in the vicinity of internationally designated Dorset heath lands (SPA, SAC, Ramsar). The interests of these sites are vulnerable to urban pressures stemming from urban development in their vicinity and so the proximity of the European sites (SPA and SACs) raises considerations on the requirements of the Habitats Directive and Habitats Regulations. Close integration between work on the heathland DPD and this Core Strategy is vital.

Natural England is concerned both about the potential effects of development in each area of search and about the cumulative effects of development when these urban extensions and infill development within urban areas are considered together. The LDD should also consider whether this aspect of the development plan would have a significant effect on other European and Ramsar sites, in particular the New Forest SAC, SPA and Ramsar and the Avon Valley SPA/Ramsar. We would be able to provide further advice on this issue as necessary.

The areas of search include areas within 400m of internationally designated heathland sites and the discussion paper rightly indicates that development within these zones should be ruled out. However, development outside the 400m zone may still affect designated heath lands. Each possible location needs to be assessed on its merits. The degree of impact on designated heathlands will depend on a number of factors including the relationship and ease of access to the heathlands themselves and the scope for effective avoidance measures, particularly the scale, quality and location of new provision of alternative greenspace (SANGs - Suitable Alternative Natural Greenspace), designed to divert pressure from the designated sites. In the case of the urban extensions, this needs to be provided as part of the development. Contributions towards an IPF type fund would not suffice.

Further factors that may be relevant are fragmentation effects (for example if development within the southern part of the Corfe Mullen AoS, together with the western sector, was to occur then Upton Heath would become completely enveloped by urban development); and effects on species using habitats outside the designated sites (the main example is nightjar that commonly forage away from the heaths using other habitats such as woodland edges and hedgerows).

Whilst the heathland and SANGs issue is critical it should not be forgotten that there is also a general need for green infrastructure that follows from policy in the draft RSS. There may be some overlap between the two but each has a different function and it will not always be the case that an area ideal as a SANG is the best choice for green infrastructure (and vice versa). And certain types of provision (e.g. footpath, cycle links) may serve one function much better than the other.

Any land that is being considered for allocation for development should be surveyed so that decisions are based on sound information. However, some areas clearly have more potential to support significant nature conservation interest than others and we indicate below some of these.

Issue: UE18 Contact ID: XED2609 Surname: LAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35490
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Yes

General comments:

Issue: UE18 Contact ID: XED2460 Surname: LOFTHOUSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19695
Option: GENERAL Organisation: The Dampney Trust (represented by Savills)
Response: No
General comments: Greater emphasis on rural diversification to support the rural economy

Issue: UE18 Contact ID: XED2614 Surname: MADDOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-30768
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Yes
General comments:

Issue: UE18 Contact ID: XED1529 Surname: MELLETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16046
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Morley Fund Management (represented by Nathaniel Litchfield & Partners)
Response: No
General comments: Maximising the use of previously developed land and securing the more efficient use of existing developed areas within larger settlements should be prioritised alongside the urban extension strategy

Issue: UE18 Contact ID: XED2721 Surname: MICHAEL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34562
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Yes
General comments:

Issue: UE18

Contact ID: XED2556

Surname: NASH

Unique

Option: GENERAL

Organisation:

Response Ref: CSIO-23498

Response:

General comments:

It is understood that EDDC find themselves in a difficult position having been a part of the 'Joint Study' which identified the areas of search at Corfe Mullen, Wimborne and West Parley yet then taking the political decision to object to new housing development in the Green Belt.

There is however a high risk that Corfe Mullen will end up with a narrow belt of 'anywhere' cul-de-sac housing along its western edge and a development that could be socially, physically and visually separate from the rest of the village: a divisive not inclusive development for the future. It is important that some vision is shown on this matter before it becomes 'enforced' by the Government and/or 'developer led'.

I am concerned that the Core Strategy Issues and Options: Corfe Mullen Urban Extension Consultation leaflet duplicates broad brush questions already asked of local people through the Parish Plan process in 2005-2006 and that the debate will not be enhanced or widened by this process but 'consultation fatigue' and negative feeling reinforced.

There is no weakness in planning for change while the 'fight' continues against the housing numbers. It is also the case that the term sustainable does not imply a 'no change' scenario but harnessing change (development) for the greater good of the village. That way if the principle of c.700 homes is ratified through the RSS (as many people expect) a positive approach to implementation that optimises benefits for the village can be framed.

A more pragmatic response would be to undertake an Enquiry By Design process that looks at Corfe Mullen as a whole, builds on the action areas of the Parish Plan and genuinely engages with the community to create its own vision for the future (widening the debate and helping people to understand the land-take, infrastructure, externalities, economics and spin-off potential – so that question UE3 can be answered with proper understanding and this understanding is shared by the whole community).

It is also vitally important that expertise is 'on tap' so that people have an informed debate about sustainable development potential, urban design, and a realistic idea about what additional facilities and infrastructure would be generated by any given quantum of new housing (rather than a vague wish list of the type likely to arise from question UE5). The EBD process should look beyond the Waterloo Valley and build on the debate that took place in public discussions when the Parish Plan was being created. It would be wrong to build all the houses beyond the current settlement boundary when existing sites such as Lockyer's School and the two first schools could provide some of the housing as part of a proper and urgent review of education needs. Waiting until 2017 is not going to help the village as a whole.

It is also important that a much more holistic approach is taken to the planning and delivery of development in the future. Traditional segregated housing, transport and infrastructure topic areas should be replaced by cross-cutting debate on matters like enhancing quality of life, underpinning existing services and facilities, defining what we mean by sustainable Corfe Mullen, creating a 'heart' and a local identity for Corfe Mullen etc. The wider role of the Waterloo Valley (or at least its southern and western edges) as a Suitable Alternative Natural Green Space (SANG) also needs to be considered as a part of a green network around the village and mechanism for managing/directing recreation and leisure demand away from the Natura 2000 heathland sites.

Some joined-up thinking is needed to explore what wider sustainable benefits could be accrued to the village as a whole, as a result of the development. Such an approach would enable the village to respond positively to climate change whilst at the same time investigating how the village's carbon footprint might be reduced. This approach might include underpinning / safeguarding local shops and services; investigating the potential of local renewable energy supply; use of local raw materials for the construction, local labour, locally sourced plants and trees etc.

Issue: UE18	Contact ID: XED1866	Surname: NEWMAN	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33800
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:			
General comments:	resisting it		

Issue: UE18	Contact ID: XED2088	Surname: PACKHAM	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23103
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: White Young Green		
Response:	No		
General comments:	<p>The Core Strategy Issues and Options paper considers the location for urban extensions based on the RSS. The problem is that these options have yet to be confirmed by the Secretary of State and in our view have been assessed on the basis of very limited information. To identify one example the Council has yet to have in place a completed Strategic Flood Risk Assessment and accepts that parts of the area of search for example at Corfe Mullen is liable to flooding. Similar considerations apply at North and West of Wimborne. In addition there are significant nature conservation interests, transport and other issues. All of these have to following implications:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Significant additional work is needed to assess the viability of the urban extension options and 2. How can a reasoned response be made to the options when the evidence base is incomplete? <p>Further there appears to be no reference to mixed use development which should form part of any urban extension in order to achieve a sustainable pattern of development. We therefore request that all the potential options for urban extensions are considered on a common, agreed evidence base which involves developers and landowners and which takes account of the sustainability of the respective locations and includes an assessment of the costs and benefits to be derived from each option.</p>		

Issue: UE18	Contact ID: XED2401	Surname: PARKER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16339
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Yes		
General comments:			

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments: - Supporting a mix and range of uses within each of these areas, including substantive provision of high quality employment sites and premises to address well-evidenced shortages and meet the needs of business;
- Ensuring that a comprehensive approach to settlement growth is adopted, with urban extensions designed to actively support regeneration of existing urban areas by exploring concepts such as cross-subsidy, etc;
- Promoting a low carbon approach to their development, including high standards of design and construction and incorporating sustainable mobility solutions;
- Making links with Higher and Further Education facilities to encourage sustainable economic development through a focus on skills development in individuals and businesses, and;
- Expeditious identification and production of bespoke delivery mechanisms, such as Area Actions Plans, SPDs and masterplans, to promote comprehensive and timely development of these areas.

With a view to planning for sustainable and successful communities, a key strategic objective of the RES, it is critical that the urban extensions are brought forward in tandem with development and regeneration at the existing urban areas. This is a position reinforced by the independent panel conducting the Examination in Public of the draft RSS which found that sequentially phasing development of previously developed land ahead of greenfield land "would put delivery of both the quantitative and distributional aspects of the draft RSS at risk"2. The Core Strategy and any supporting LDF documents should seek to ensure that issues of deprivation and regeneration within the existing urban areas are actively addressed through the process of planning for the urban extensions.

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue:	UE18	Contact ID:	XED2726	Surname:	WALLIS	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-34860
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:					
Response:	Yes						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE18	Contact ID:	XED2230	Surname:	WEBSTER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-08754
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	Barratt Homes				
Response:	Cast your area of search wider						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE18	Contact ID:	XED2318	Surname:	WEST	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-04805
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:					
Response:	Yes						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE18	Contact ID:	XED0211	Surname:	WILDING	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-19948
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	Government Office for the South West				
Response:							
General comments:	P.28 1st sentence right hand column - it would have been really helpful if you had shown this and how this is influencing the development of the spatial strategy. 2nd paragraph right hand column - presumably these have also been mapped? Again, how is this influencing the development of the spatial strategy? 4th paragraph - this is also needs to be done more generally, especially for the more rural areas of the districts - bearing in mind potentially changing patterns of service provision and (potential) impact on your approach to the rural areas. As such liaison with the education authority is particularly relevant, e.g. also on p. 30 concerning Lockyers School - what are the plans of DCC for this school?						

Issue:	UE18	Contact ID:	XED2702	Surname:	WOOLF	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-31633
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd / Bodorgan Properties CI Ltd represented by Woolf Bond Planning				
Response:	Yes						
General comments:							

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED0250 Surname: Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22239
Option: A Organisation: Ringwood Town Council
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2333 Surname: ALDRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07746
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2326 Surname: ANONYMOUS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06429
Option: A Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2604 Surname: ANONYMOUS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24982
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2404 Surname: ATFIELD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17475
Option: A Organisation: Persia Homes Ltd (represented by Goadsby Ltd)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2609 Surname: LAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35461
Option: A Organisation: Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2460 Surname: LOFTHOUSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19677
Option: A Organisation: The Dampney Trust (represented by Savills) Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2614 Surname: MADDOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-30745
Option: A Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1161 Surname: MATHER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04455
Option: A Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2259 Surname: MAWBEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02854
Option: A Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1864 Surname: COLLARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26953
Option: B Organisation: Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2744 Surname: COPPING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36107
Option: B Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2720 Surname: COSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33511
Option: B Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2719 Surname: COSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33245
Option: B Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2461 Surname: CRADDICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20160
Option: B Organisation: Beagle Aerospace (represented by Savills) Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED0031 Surname: DAVIES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-11911
Option: B Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2320 Surname: DENNIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-05750
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1130 Surname: DIXON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-00074
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2327 Surname: DODSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06602
Option: B Organisation: Dorset County Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1945 Surname: EDE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-13750
Option: B Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Agree
General comments: get rid of the power lines to underground

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1345 Surname: HODGES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10607
Option: B Organisation: Christchurch Local History Society
Response: No Opinion
General comments: get rid of overhard power lines

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2025 Surname: HOLDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29322
Option: B Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response:
General comments: put power lines underground

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2613 Surname: HOUSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-30273
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2606 Surname: HOWARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26056
Option: B Organisation: Corfe Mullen P C
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED0267 Surname: JACOBS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32189
Option: B Organisation: St Leonards & St Ives Parish Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2614 Surname: MADDOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-30746
Option: B Organisation: Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1161 Surname: MATHER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04456
Option: B Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED0042 Surname: MAWBIEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-13171
Option: B Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2259 Surname: MAWBIEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02855
Option: B Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1529 Surname: MELLETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16036
Option: B Organisation: Morley Fund Management (represented by Nathaniel Litchfield & Partners) Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2646 Surname: TATTERSFIELD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26420
Option: B Organisation: The Christchurch Antiquarians
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2182 Surname: TERRY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22769
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2323 Surname: THOMAS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06162
Option: B Organisation: IBEX
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2184 Surname: THOMPSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19397
Option: B Organisation: Sheiling Trust (represented by Terence O'Rourke)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2740 Surname: TIZZARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35342
Option: B Organisation: Christchurch Antiquarians
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED0033 Surname: HALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10701
Option: C Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2319 Surname: HALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-05422
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2158 Surname: HANHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09321
Option: C Organisation: D Stewart & Sons
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED0987 Surname: HEDGER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17214
Option: C Organisation: Mr M Hedger (represented by Goadsby Ltd)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED0464 Surname: HELICAR Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35032
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments: relocate where? Get real

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED0156 Surname: BODELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20483
Option: D Organisation: Dorset County Council
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1014 Surname: BOURTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02573
Option: D Organisation: Keep Wimborne Green
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1731 Surname: BURRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-00407
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1342 Surname: CANTLIE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-11032
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Conservation Trust
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2410 Surname: CHITTENDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21102
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2614 Surname: MADDOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-30748
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1161 Surname: MATHER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04458
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2259 Surname: MAWBIEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02857
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED0042 Surname: MAWBIEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-13173
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1529 Surname: MELLETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16038
Option: D Organisation: Morley Fund Management (represented by Nathaniel Litchfield & Partners)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1318 Surname: MORTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21480
Option: D Organisation: Bournemouth Sports Club Limited
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1866 Surname: NEWMAN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33787
Option: D Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED0018 Surname: NOTTAGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12281
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2034 Surname: PACKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27270
Option: D Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2401 Surname: PARKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16329
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2702 Surname: WOOLF Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31621
Option: D Organisation: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd / Bodorgan Properties CI Ltd represented by Woolf Bond Planning
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED0250 Surname: Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22243
Option: E Organisation: Ringwood Town Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2326 Surname: ANONYMOUS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06433
Option: E Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2604 Surname: ANONYMOUS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24985
Option: E Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2404 Surname: ATFIELD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17479
Option: E Organisation: Persia Homes Ltd (represented by Goadsby Ltd)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1864 Surname: COLLARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26956
Option: E Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2744 Surname: COPPING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36110
Option: E Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2720 Surname: COSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33514
Option: E Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2719 Surname: COSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33248
Option: E Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2461 Surname: CRADDICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20163
Option: E Organisation: Beagle Aerospace (represented by Savills)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2331 Surname: DAVENPORT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07437
Option: E Organisation: Dorset County Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED0031 Surname: DAVIES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-11914
Option: E Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2320 Surname: DENNIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-05753
Option: E Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1130 Surname: DIXON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-00077
Option: E Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2327 Surname: DODSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06603
Option: E Organisation: Dorset County Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1014 Surname: BOURTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02576
Option: F Organisation: Keep Wimborne Green
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1014 Surname: BOURTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02575
Option: F Organisation: Keep Wimborne Green
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2324 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08377
Option: F Organisation: A&R Developments (represented by Sibbett Gregory)
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1731 Surname: BURRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-00409
Option: F Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1342 Surname: CANTLIE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-11033
Option: F Organisation: Christchurch Conservation Trust
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED0024 Surname: VICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16951
Option: F Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2726 Surname: WALLIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34848
Option: F Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2230 Surname: WEBSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08732
Option: F Organisation: Barratt Homes
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2318 Surname: WEST Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04784
Option: F Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2006 Surname: WILLIAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08084
Option: F Organisation: Tanner & Tilley
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE2 **Contact ID:** XED1432 **Surname:** CHITTENDEN **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-03423

Option: G **Organisation:** Environment TAG (East Dorset)

Response: The emphasis here must be on sustainability so that there is no need for car use for short journeys to eg first schools, shops, surgery, post office, banking facilities: any development must be "zero carbon" from its planning stage.

General comments:

Issue: UE2 **Contact ID:** XED1432 **Surname:** CHITTENDEN **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-03422

Option: G **Organisation:** Environment TAG (East Dorset)

Response: The emphasis here must be on sustainability so that there is no need for car use for short journeys to eg first schools, shops, surgery, post office, banking facilities: any development must be "zero carbon" from its planning stage.

General comments:

Issue: UE2 **Contact ID:** XED2410 **Surname:** CHITTENDEN **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-21105

Option: G **Organisation:**

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: UE2 **Contact ID:** XED1833 **Surname:** COCKAIN **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-02056

Option: G **Organisation:**

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: UE2 **Contact ID:** XED1864 **Surname:** COLLARD **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-26958

Option: G **Organisation:**

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2744 Surname: COPPING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36112
Option: G Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2719 Surname: COSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33250
Option: G Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2720 Surname: COSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33516
Option: G Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2461 Surname: CRADDICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20165
Option: G Organisation: Beagle Aerospace (represented by Savills) Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED0180 Surname: DAVENPORT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19992
Option: G Organisation: Dorset Wildlife Trust Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED0018 Surname: NOTTAGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12284
Option: G Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2034 Surname: PACKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27273
Option: G Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2401 Surname: PARKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16332
Option: G Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED0027 Surname: PETRIE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10492
Option: G Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2226 Surname: PIENAAR Unique Response Ref: CSIO-00661
Option: G Organisation: Bournemouth & West Hants Water
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2552 Surname: WOODCOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22564
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Environment Agency

Response:

General comments: General Comments – We are happy with the criteria given providing that the following points (not specifically mentioned within your criteria) are incorporated within the options given:

- Provide adequate foul water drainage infrastructure.
- Ensure all surface water run-off from new development is controlled as near to its source as possible with sustainable drainage systems (SuDS). This reduces flood risk through the use of soakaways, infiltration trenches, permeable pavements, grassed swales, ponds etc.
- Maximise the use of water efficiency measures.
- Ensure all development includes sustainable design and construction measures, which comply with the Code for Sustainable Homes (residential) or BREEAM (industrial).
- Ensure contaminated sites are appropriately remediated.
- Incorporate waste management within development.

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED0250 Surname: Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22246
Option: H Organisation: Ringwood Town Council

Response: No Opinion

General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2326 Surname: ANONYMOUS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06436
Option: H Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2604 Surname: ANONYMOUS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24988
Option: H Organisation:

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2404 Surname: ATFIELD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17482
Option: H Organisation: Persia Homes Ltd (represented by Goadsby Ltd)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2443 Surname: BAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18301
Option: H Organisation: Linden Homes (Southern) Ltd represented by Lennon Planning
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2666 Surname: BALDOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28818
Option: H Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED0976 Surname: BANTOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01377
Option: H Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED0156 Surname: BODELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20487
Option: H Organisation: Dorset County Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1432 Surname: CHITTENDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03424
Option: H Organisation: Environment TAG (East Dorset)

Response: The emphasis here must be on sustainability so that there is no need for car use for short journeys to eg first schools, shops, surgery, post office, banking facilities: any development must be "zero carbon" from its planning stage.

General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1833 Surname: COCKAIN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02057
Option: H Organisation:

Response: Disagree

General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1864 Surname: COLLARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26960
Option: H Organisation:

Response: No Opinion

General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2744 Surname: COPPING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36113
Option: H Organisation:

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2720 Surname: COSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33517
Option: H Organisation:

Response: Disagree

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED0464 Surname: HELLICAR Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35038
Option: H Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1576 Surname: HEMSLEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01114
Option: H Organisation: Ramblers Association (East Dorset Group) Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2396 Surname: HODGES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14116
Option: H Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1345 Surname: HODGES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10613
Option: H Organisation: Christchurch Local History Society Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2025 Surname: HOLDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29329
Option: H Organisation: East Dorset District Council Response:
General comments: nice idea but how probable

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1161 Surname: MATHER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04462
Option: H Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED0042 Surname: MAWBEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-13177
Option: H Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2259 Surname: MAWBEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02861
Option: H Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED0296 Surname: MEADOWS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20949
Option: H Organisation: National Trust Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1529 Surname: MELLETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16042
Option: H Organisation: Morley Fund Management (represented by Nathaniel Litchfield & Partners) Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1318 Surname: MORTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21484
Option: H Organisation: Bournemouth Sports Club Limited
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1866 Surname: NEWMAN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33791
Option: H Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED0018 Surname: NOTTAGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12285
Option: H Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2034 Surname: PACKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27274
Option: H Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2401 Surname: PARKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16333
Option: H Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2318 Surname: WEST Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04786
Option: H Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2006 Surname: WILLIAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08086
Option: H Organisation: Tanner & Tilley
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2702 Surname: WOOLF Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31625
Option: H Organisation: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd / Bodorgan Properties CI Ltd represented by Woolf Bond Planning
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2296 Surname: WRIGHT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03486
Option: H Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Provide adequate parking. Minimise hardcore in developments
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2296 Surname: WRIGHT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03482
Option: H Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:

Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:

Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:

Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:

Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:

Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED0464 Surname: HELICAR Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35039
Option: I Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments: only for these not industry

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1576 Surname: HEMSLEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01115
Option: I Organisation: Ramblers Association (East Dorset Group) Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2396 Surname: HODGES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14117
Option: I Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1345 Surname: HODGES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10614
Option: I Organisation: Christchurch Local History Society Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2025 Surname: HOLDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29330
Option: I Organisation: East Dorset District Council Response: if needed
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2318 Surname: WEST Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04787
Option: I Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2006 Surname: WILLIAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08087
Option: I Organisation: Tanner & Tilley Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2702 Surname: WOOLF Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31626
Option: I Organisation: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd / Bodorgan Properties CI Ltd represented by Woolf Bond Planning Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2296 Surname: WRIGHT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03483
Option: I Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED0250 Surname: Ringwood Town Council Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22248
Option: J Organisation: Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2410 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED1833 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED1864 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2744 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2719 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2609 Surname: LAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35471
Option: K Organisation: Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2460 Surname: LOFTHOUSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19687
Option: K Organisation: The Dampney Trust (represented by Savills) Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2614 Surname: MADDOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-30755
Option: K Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1161 Surname: MATHER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04465
Option: K Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED0042 Surname: MAWBEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-13180
Option: K Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2006 Surname: WILLIAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08089
Option: K Organisation: Tanner & Tilley
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2702 Surname: WOOLF Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31628
Option: K Organisation: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd / Bodorgan Properties CI Ltd represented by Woolf Bond Planning
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2296 Surname: WRIGHT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03485
Option: K Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED0250 Surname: Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22252
Option: L Organisation: Ringwood Town Council
Response:
General comments: Solutions/provisions will be site specific and the above are a wish list that will be realised to a varying degree - some facilities need a critical mass to be effective

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2158 Surname: HANHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09329
Option: L Organisation: D Stewart & Sons
Response: Allow for expansion Stewarts Garden Centre
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED0042 Surname: MAWBEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-13181
Option: L Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council

Response: retain employment land already designated in Christchurch - resist change of use

General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED1866 Surname: NEWMAN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33795
Option: L Organisation:

Response:

General comments: that by implementing urban extensions you will create a planning precedent and nothing will be sacred. Don't do it please. The demand is from incomers, why should they spoil our environment? The more you provide the more we will become a retirement saturation pre-cemetery

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED0018 Surname: NOTTAGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12290
Option: L Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council

Response: streets should be designed under shared space considerations

General comments:

Issue: UE2 Contact ID: XED2230 Surname: WEBSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08738
Option: L Organisation: Barratt Homes

Response:

General comments: Much of the above is very wide in policy and will need to be considered on site by site basis

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2817 Surname: ALLCOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36514
Option: A Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2977 Surname: ALLCROFT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36932
Option: A Organisation:

Response: The views and panorama from the north end of Waterloo Valley are too precious to block with housing.

General comments: Consideration should be given to possible housing on the unused fields along Merley Park Road and Ashington Lane. Road would need improving but much of that land is idle and unkept.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED3020 Surname: ANONYMOUS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37059
Option: A Organisation:

Response: I am opposed to any sites but feel option A would be the best of the bunch. However before any building takes place

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED3019 Surname: ANONYMOUS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37056
Option: A Organisation:

Response: Pardys Hill is not suitable for extra traffic and loss of the Southern end of the valley would be less detrimental to the village and countryside.

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2913 Surname: BALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36756
Option: A Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2852 Surname: BRYANT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36601
Option: A Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2811	Surname:	CARLOW	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36496
Option:	A	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:							

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2824	Surname:	COOKSON	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36533
Option:	A	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:							

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2972	Surname:	CORNWALL-WATKINS	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36920
Option:	A	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:							

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2965	Surname:	DAMON	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36902
Option:	A	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:	<p>A broad brush enforcement of urban housing as proposed by the inspectors negates all of the bulleted factors in the leaflet. As currently enforced Affordable Housing is clearly a nonsense. Infrastructure or the lack of it must be considered along with any justifiable development not left to late. Views of the Stour Valley and beyond should not be impaired looking from any part of the Corfe Mullen plateau.</p> <p>Corfe Mullen is a unique and attractive village and great care must be taken not to spoil the location. Much attention was given to the southern aspects in the village plan but the best views in my mind are those of and beyond the Stour Valley seen from the east and north. View this from the footpath at the back of the recreation grounds and elsewhere. There is also a very attractive view towards Wimborne from the footpath above the old railway cutting that starts from the junction of Pine Road with Lambs Green. The farmland north and south of Candys Farm should be preserved and is probably unsuited to building, a scrap yard and sewerage works are sited on this land already.</p>						

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED1197 Surname: HANHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36830
Option: A Organisation:

Response:

General comments: In allowing the appeal in respect of the residential development at Naked Cross the inspector stated Waterloo Road was the natural boundary to development west of Corfe Mullen. The Poole, Corfe Mullen and Upton draft Local Plan 1973 identified the areas for possible post 1981 development of the Poole conurbation. Contrary to what is stated in the Core Strategy Issues and Options March 2008 it is the land in the southern part of the Waterloo Valley that is closest to the major cluster of village facilities, not the land to the north. The major cluster of facilities is situated on Wareham Road at its junction with Albert Road and Froud Way. There are a total of 27 facilities in this southern section compared with only 13 in the northern section (this includes 3 churches).
The Core Strategy Issues and Options March 2008 states that there are important nature conservation sites in the southern and middle parts between Naked Cross and Brook Lane. Infact these are 'pastures' SSSI's which are in private ownership and where the public have no access rights. These sites do not currently prevent more intensive residential redevelopment proposals in the earby urban area, nor should they restrict housing development in the future in the same way Heath SSSI's do. It is accepted that the 400 metre zone around Upton Heath SSSI impinges on the south east corner of the above site.
Various appendices were attached.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2865 Surname: HANHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36630
Option: A Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2841 Surname: HANHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36576
Option: A Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2836 Surname: HANHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36568
Option: A Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2973	Surname:	HARDING	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36922
Option:	A	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:							

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2953	Surname:	HEALEY	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36866
Option:	A	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:	Homes should be built if they really must around the Pardys Hill area and towards the co-op so people would be more in the heart of the village and within walking distance of local shops.						

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2954	Surname:	HEALEY	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36868
Option:	A	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:	To build in North Corfe Mullen will cause more grid lock and pollution. The traffic queues from Lake Gates to Windgreen from 7.30am - 9am. To build a new school at Corfe Hills will mean more traffic and pollution. To comply with requirements to get out of our cars new homes and schools should be in close proximity to each other and not be the result of some county official distanced from this area. Any new school should be built close to Lockyers so children can walk to school. New homes should be sited as close to the facilities of Wareham Road as possible. How can the northern part of Waterloo Valley be less important to wildlife than the southern part of the same valley. If houses have to be built in the country some countryside has to go. What about green belt that was suppose to be sacrosanct.						

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2935	Surname:	HOAR	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36813
Option:	A	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:							

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2859	Surname:	HOLLAND	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36618
Option:	A	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:							

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2860 Surname: HOLLAND Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36619
Option: A Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2328 Surname: JENKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06887
Option: A Organisation: Dorset County Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2609 Surname: LAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35472
Option: A Organisation:
Response: invalid response - more than one option selected
General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2256 Surname: LAMBERT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02382
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Preferably nowhere on green belt
General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2921 Surname: MARTIN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36774
Option: A Organisation:
Response: The block of land between Waterloo Road, Chapel Lane and Hillside Road
General comments: I am led to believe for some years has been asking for development it has already first time homes on its corner of Wareham Road and Waterloo Road. Easy access for facilities and distribution of traffic out of Waterloo Road into WAREHAM Road with a roundabout to slow traffic coming down Wareham Road.

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2886	Surname:	FAGAN	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36691
Option:	B	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:	In regard to option C there is currently considerable noise and pollution including loud explosions from Sims Metal this would be exacerbated by the mass removal of trees required considering the large number of houses being considered. These trees are essential for reducing the noise from Sims Metal and A31. There is also a large amount of wildlife, we regularly find slow worms in the garden and on one occasion counted 15 of various ages.						

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2960	Surname:	GIBBS	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36883
Option:	B	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:							

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2942	Surname:	GREEN	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36835
Option:	B	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:							

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED1576	Surname:	HEMSLEY	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-01118
Option:	B	Organisation:	Ramblers Association (East Dorset Group)				
Response:	Disagree						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2842	Surname:	HEWINS	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36578
Option:	B	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:							

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2894 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2895 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2767 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2987 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2807 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED3012	Surname: MARSH	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37030
Option: B	Organisation:		
Response:			
General comments:			

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED1189	Surname: O'CONNELL	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36693
Option: B	Organisation:		
Response:	<p>I note the plan states that housing should be sustainable and located close to doctors,schools etc the upper northern slopes of the Waterloo Valley being south facing and closer to the amenities and main body of the village appear to be the only site that embraces the majority of points. The steep hill is noted but as Corfe Mullen is built on slopes this covers a lot of pockets of housing. To cut down on dwellings in that area I feel that if Lockyers school was relocated to the recreational ground side of Wimborne Road this will provide a flat site which could possibly take 200 dwellings thus reducing the amount to be built on the upper Waterloo Valley site. It is important to keep as much of the recreation and playing fields as possible. If the school is built on old Violet Farm fields I trust it will be possible to use the pitches for school matches etc which will make optimum use of the facilities. The view from the playing fields is superb and it would be a mistake to obscure this which brings me to proposal on the north slopes of the Stour Valley as a possible site. The idea that this area is a possible site is flawed - by the very point that north facing hills do not provide sustainable housing especially in this time of green issues and global warming. Apart from the fact that it is a long way from the amenities on the Wareham Road and the main body of the village it also borders Brog Street a newly designated Conservation area and in my opinion it would be contrary to the concept to build many dwellings in such close proximity to this site.</p>		
General comments:			

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED2889	Surname: O'CONNELL	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36696
Option: B	Organisation:		
Response:	<p>I note the plan states that housing should be sustainable and located close to doctors,schools etc the upper northern slopes of the Waterloo Valley being south facing and closer to the amenities and main body of the village appear to be the only site that embraces the majority of points. The steep hill is noted but as Corfe Mullen is built on slopes this covers a lot of pockets of housing. To cut down on dwellings in that area I feel that if Lockyers school was relocated to the recreational ground side of Wimborne Road this will provide a flat site which could possibly take 200 dwellings thus reducing the amount to be built on the upper Waterloo Valley site. It is important to keep as much of the recreation and playing fields as possible. If the school is built on old Violet Farm fields I trust it will be possible to use the pitches for school matches etc which will make optimum use of the facilities. The view from the playing fields is superb and it would be a mistake to obscure this which brings me to proposal on the north slopes of the Stour Valley as a possible site. The idea that this area is a possible site is flawed - by the very point that north facing hills do not provide sustainable housing especially in this time of green issues and global warming. Apart from the fact that it is a long way from the amenities on the Wareham Road and the main body of the village it also borders Brog Street a newly designated Conservation area and in my opinion it would be contrary to the concept to build many dwellings in such close proximity to this site.</p>		
General comments:	<p>Being a Corfe Mullen resident all my life I feel very sad that a decision like this will inevitably set one end of the village against the other as to where these dwellings are to be located. I trust the decision will be judged on the better location and not on the loudest shout as certain parts of the village being less populated will inevitably be less vocal.</p>		

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2890 Surname: O'CONNELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36698
Option: B Organisation:

Response: I note the plan states that housing should be sustainable and located close to doctors, schools etc the upper northern slopes of the Waterloo Valley being south facing and closer to the amenities and main body of the village appear to be the only site that embraces the majority of points. The steep hill is noted but as Corfe Mullen is built on slopes this covers a lot of pockets of housing. To cut down on dwellings in that area I feel that if Lockyers school was relocated to the recreational ground side of Wimborne Road this will provide a flat site which could possibly take 200 dwellings thus reducing the amount to be built on the upper Waterloo Valley site. It is important to keep as much of the recreation and playing fields as possible. If the school is built on old Violet Farm fields I trust it will be possible to use the pitches for school matches etc which will make optimum use of the facilities. The view from the playing fields is superb and it would be a mistake to obscure this which brings me to proposal on the north slopes of the Stour Valley as a possible site. The idea that this area is a possible site is flawed - by the very point that north facing hills do not provide sustainable housing especially in this time of green issues and global warming. Apart from the fact that it is a long way from the amenities on the Wareham Road and the main body of the village it also borders Brog Street a newly designated Conservation area and in my opinion it would be contrary to the concept to build many dwellings in such close proximity to this site.

General comments: I am extremely anti and against any development near Brog Street which has been classed a Conservation Area, this area should remain untouched.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2988 Surname: PAGRAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36966
Option: B Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2881 Surname: PARFFETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36677
Option: B Organisation:

Response: Further from heathland, Waterloo Road remains as defensible green belt boundary and is closer to village facilities.

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2248 Surname: PATRICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17813
Option: B Organisation: Harry J Palmer & Perry Family Trust (represented by Pro Vision Planning and Design)

Response: Agree

General comments: In support of this proposal, please find the following documents attached:
• Area of Search "N" North West Corfe Mullen Strategic Feasibility Study April 2007
• Letter from Environment Agency dated 10 October 2006 confirming no "in principle" objection to development of land in the northern Waterloo Valley

Note that Harry J Palmer Holdings Ltd (and Canford Estate) also own associated land immediately north of Lockyers School. This should also be included for development.

With regard to deliverability, we confirm that there are development agreements in place between Harry J Palmer Holdings Limited and the Perry Family Trust and between Harry J Palmer Holdings Limited and the Canford Estate. Between them these control most of the land shown on the Diagram C with the exception of two small areas either side of Newtown Lane (and two of the fields west of Waterloo Road). The area between Newtown Lane and Blandford Road is within 400m of the SPA, and would therefore not be suitable for built development, although it could provide some SANGS and an easily graded pedestrian route to the village facilities.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2815 Surname: SMITH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36508
Option: B Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2827 Surname: VERNON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36542
Option: B Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2825 Surname: BAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36536
Option: C Organisation:

Response:

General comments: It is difficult to make informed comments on the options for Corfe Mullen in the absence of a map of the area under discussion. Such a map is missing from both this publication and the general core strategy document. Also missing is an indication of the dwelling density and the mix of houses and flats in the new development. In the absence of this information it is impossible to estimate the area required for the 700 dwellings. Waterloo Valley is referred to but does not appear on the 1:50000 OS map of the area. It is assumed that it is the area west of Waterloo Road. In view of the lack of information referred to in the first paragraph above no more than a rough indication of preferences can be given these are
1) rebuild Lockyers school on or near the recreation ground and put new dwellings on the present school site.
2) site the rest of the development along the north facing slope of the Stour Valley.
What is sustainable housing and why are north facing slopes not good for it?

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2805 Surname: BEARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36479
Option: C Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED3006 Surname: BISHOP Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37015
Option: C Organisation:

Response: Chosen as last resort

General comments: Corfe Mullen cannot cope with such a large development, only one supermarket, doctors surgery and dentist. Limited unreliable public transport and mainly dormitory so roads at a standstill during rush hour. There is no village centre and our only asset is the countryside within walking distance eg Waterloo Valley. Build on that and we become another urban extension of Poole instead of a rural village. Corfe Mullen is full.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2790 Surname: BONA Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36442
Option: C Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2331	Surname:	DAVENPORT	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-07438
Option:	C	Organisation:	Dorset County Council				
Response:	Agree						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2768	Surname:	DAVIS	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36380
Option:	C	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:	The KNOLW and surrounding area should be designated area of outstanding natural beauty and also important conservation and wildlife interests which needs to remain, although aspects need proper landscaping, fencing and management which could incorporate some special features supporting wild life. This is subject to a trade off for building in the village.						

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2765	Surname:	DERRICK	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36374
Option:	C	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:							

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2981	Surname:	DIX	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36943
Option:	C	Organisation:					
Response:	A prime site for housing expansion would be the area between the back of Violet Farm Close and the allotments. This appears to comprise of scrub land and a field used for pasture and owned by the Canford estate. All major community facilities are located within walking distance and have the advantage of avoiding the steep hills found within Corfe Mullen. Also the housing would have good access to the A31 and Blandford Road.						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2327	Surname:	DODSON	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-06609
Option:	C	Organisation:	Dorset County Council				
Response:	Agree						
General comments:							

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2855 Surname: EDWARDS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36608
Option: C Organisation:

Response: Reasons not to build in the Waterloo Valley area

- 1) Landscape of Waterloo Valley is of great value to all residents of Corfe Mullen, it is the beauty of the countryside that makes Corfe Mullen the special village that it is.
- 2) Roads, pathways and fields of this area are used daily for recreation, increased traffic would destroy this and make it denagerous to pursue activities like walking, horseriding etc and ripping out the heart of our countryside.
- 3) The outstanding views from the top of Corfe Mullen out across Waterloo Valley will be destroyed by new housing on the scale being discussed.
- 4) The existance of the protected Orchid Meadow would be threatened by new development.
- 5) Existing roads in the valley are narrow and bordered by mature trees and hedgerows meaning that they could not be widened and could not support increased traffic.
- 6) There are many mature trees and woodlands many of which are protected, these should not be compromised by any building.
- 7) There will be significant increase in risk of flooding at bottom of Pardys Hill and Haywards Lane - already after moderate rainfall the water flows like a river, it could not support the increase in water coming from new housing.
- 8) Very steep hill to access the top of Corfe Mullen and unsuitable for easy walking access to the amenities.
- 9) Corfe Mullen land was designated green belt area for a reason, it should not be destroyed the Waterloo Valley should be preserved for all Corfe Mullen residents to enjoy.

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED3011 Surname: EVANS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37027
Option: C Organisation:

Response:

General comments: I suggest along Waterloo Road between Chapel Lane and Coventry Close, also around Brog Street area and East End Halt railway line down to Lake Gates. In my eyes Corfe Mullen is already much too large and over populated.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED0902 Surname: HASKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15253
Option: C Organisation:

Response: Agree

General comments: Corfe Mullen is a village located on that sensitive band between the heaths and the chalk which still supports much attractive and intrinsically wildlife friendly habitat of small fields, hedges and sopses. It is going to be inevitably difficult, to find substantial areas which are not going to have a serious impact on landscape and wildlife. Careful environmental impact assessment, including wildlife surveys, should be undertaken BEFORE any area in Corfe Mullen is confirmed as being appropriate for further development.

A & B strongly disagree. On even preliminary impression it is plain to see that both south and north Waterloo Valley has the exact landscape type which should be avoided and the certain knowledge that at least parts are of very special value. Further they are down a substantial slope from the main Corfe Mullen facilities making them unattractive for walking and cycling. Conversely the northern slopes overlooking the Stour appear to be a much more denuded landscape with fewer hedges and trees. This area should be considered in conjunction with the POS on the top of the hill to devise the most sustainable and acceptable provision for POS and development

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2828 Surname: HENOCQ Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36545
Option: C Organisation:

Response:

General comments: This is awful, an elected body should and must not dictate to our local council and MP.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2851 Surname: HORSMAN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36599
Option: C Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2870 Surname: HOUSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36645
Option: C Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2869 Surname: HOUSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36640
Option: C Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2899 Surname: JOYCE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36723
Option: C Organisation:

Response: Candys Lane area.

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED3008 Surname: KWAN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37020
Option: C Organisation:

Response: Brook Lane/Hayward Lane area

General comments: The northern part of the valley is indeed on a very steep hill and imagine there are more problems created in this environment than a flat one. Already Sleight Lane is used as a short cut making the road which is winding and narrow unpredictable. The turning from the north end of Sleight Lane is an accident waiting to happen and any turning created on Pardys Hill will be the same. Cars speed down the hill, any more cars entering/leaving this area would be a terrible hazard.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2799 Surname: LEE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36460
Option: C Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2771 Surname: MACDONALD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36389
Option: C Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED3004 Surname: MAIDMENT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37009
Option: C Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED3015 Surname: MARSHALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37038
Option: C Organisation:

Response: We are very much opposed to any development in the Waterloo Valley its to good to lose. The Brog Street area seems to be the least bad option as its near the main road.

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED3017 Surname: MURE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37044
Option: C Organisation:

Response:

General comments: I believe the development should not go ahead and that the majority of people in Corfe Mullen are against this proposal. I cannot believe an inspectors panel supports this urban extension. It has obviously ignored the feelings of the village in order to meet targets set by a government with no interest in maintaining the standard of living of current residents. My main objection is due to the infrastructure of the village, it cannot sustain an extra 700 homes. By increasing the infrastructure you take away the village ambience and there will also be detrimental social effects - something which is currently on the increase and is not being addressed. In summation the village has already reached saturation point.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2814 Surname: PARKES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36506
Option: C Organisation:

Response:

General comments: I am 50 years old and have lived in Corfe Mullen all my life, of course I don't want the countryside spoiled. I have noticed it getting harder and harder to pull out of Heckford Road due to ever increasing traffic.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2856 Surname: ROBERTS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36611
Option: C Organisation:

Response: I have selected this site as it would have the least impact on the rural wildlife and beauty of Corfe Mullen. It would also allow additional traffic to disperse without affecting the rest of the village.

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2818 Surname: SMITH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36517
Option: C Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED3016 Surname: SMITH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37041
Option: C Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2443	Surname:	BAKER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-18305
Option:	D	Organisation:	Linden Homes (Southern) Ltd represented by Lennon Planning				
Response:	Agree						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2820	Surname:	BARKER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36522
Option:	D	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:							

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2834	Surname:	BARLOW	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36562
Option:	D	Organisation:					
Response:	Area 1 - square of land bounded by Haywards Lane, Pardys Hill and Orchard Lane precedent set by houses on Pardys Hill. Central Avenue houses sufficiently elevated to look over roof tops to view beyond. Plant trees to minimise effect. Area 2 - Between Brog Street, church and A31 (triangle) adjacent to main road only this end of Blandford Road would need upgrading. Corfe Mullen is already a scattered community - the comment that this area is isolated is slanted to dissuade residents to choose this option it is no more isolated than other parts of the village.						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2864	Surname:	BROWN	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36627
Option:	D	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:							

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2759	Surname:	BULLIVANT	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36357
Option:	D	Organisation:					
Response:	3 smaller developments preferred to 1 block but better still non at all.						
General comments:							

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2931 Surname: DEADMAN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36803
Option: D Organisation:

Response: By spreading the number of houses over several smaller sites the impact on the roads (most of them narrow lanes) and community would be less intrusive and fairer to all.

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2861 Surname: FISHER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36620
Option: D Organisation:

Response: Not in areas likely to flood.

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2951 Surname: GASTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36859
Option: D Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2831 Surname: GILLINGHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36553
Option: D Organisation:

Response: If there has to be building (which I whole heartedly oppose) then Waterloo Valley North and South would be my sorry choice.
Could the number of properties not be obtained by infilling and brown field sites.

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2992 Surname: GOODRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36976
Option: D Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2779 Surname: HARDYMAN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36412
Option: D Organisation:

Response:

General comments: I would support new housing on the fields at top of Haywards Lane near Brook Lane and on the Corfe Mullen side of Waterloo Road, splitting the sites would lessen the impact on existing housing and infrastructure and both new sites have good access to schools, doctors etc.
The Sleight Lane/Pardys Hill/Broadmoor Road and Waterloo Road provide an important and heavily used green route which gives access for cyclists, walkers, riders, runners to Wimborne one way and the Lytchetts and Purbecks the other. The number using these routes for leisure activities especially in warmer months is literally constant. Building on these routes would be a major loss of leisure facilities for the whole village.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2756 Surname: HARGREAVES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36350
Option: D Organisation:

Response: Two areas

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2884 Surname: HARKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36685
Option: D Organisation:

Response:

General comments: Our family moved to this area from Parkstone at great expense to enjoy a nice village environment and an abundance of green space. We are fairly disgusted to find this area now becoming a mass of extra housing.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED1205 Surname: HARRINGTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36946
Option: D Organisation:

Response: Options A and C

General comments: The government keep stating that they want more decision making at grass roots, the parish plan, parish council and the well supported keep Corfe Mullen green campaign all said No to the proposed 700 homes development.
The SW region committee is unelected and unresponsive to all the strong and well thought out objections put to it - their ears were closed.
It is not just a nimby matter, plenty of unattractive countryside is available but not the very attractive area put forward in the Haywards Lane/Orchard Close church in the valley area.
Did all the villagers in Corfe Mullen survey and plan waste their time? And also the parish and parish chairman at the hearings in Exeter - plenty of sites in Poole and Bournemouth not pretty green belt.

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2612 Surname: JEFFERIES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37053
Option: D Organisation: D C C

Response:

General comments: I am against building on greenbelt in principle. If we have to accept it then we must make sure it is sustainable, we need to insist that building is attractive and eco friendly .

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2876 Surname: JONES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36661
Option: D Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED3005 Surname: JONES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37012
Option: D Organisation:

Response: Southern part of Waterloo Valley and northern slope overlooking Stour.

General comments: We do not consider that Corfe Mullen has a specific area that can be called the cluster of facilities since its varied facilities are spread throughout eg doctors surgery at one end and recreation ground at the other. We do not consider that Brog Street area is relatively isolated from the main part of Corfe Mullen due to the playing fields. The area has better access to A31 and building here would have less impact upon the whole village. We do not agree that North facing slopes are not good places for sustainable housing. In recent years development took place at Cogdean Elms and Roman Heights which is largely a north facing slope.
The area around the northern part of the Waterloo Valley(Haywards Lane, Pardys Hill is totally inappropriate for new homes due not only to the steep hill to access facilities by road and foot in all directions) but fills in key gaps. The inspectors report states that key gaps must be maintained. Green spaces should also be maintained. The very steep gradient up and down Pardys Hill is already dangerous for traffic at present. How can a hill road be improved to accommodate additional volumes of traffic whilst maintaining an acceptable level of safety for road users and pedestrians. Pedestrians/cyclists require a certain level of fitness to access village facilities if ascending Pardys Hill due to its steep gradient. A new road by-passing this hill will have a far greater impact upon the environment, landscape and resources than if homes were to be built nearer the trunk roads in the first place. In addition would a new road by passing Pardys Hill effectively take new homeowners away from village facilities. This area is not at all close to the doctors and Henbury View first school only has pedestrian access from this area.
We hope that building upon any green belt land in Corfe Mullen which is already owned by property developers is not given precedence over any other area. The Council must take every effort to ensure they are seen to be unbiased in this regard.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2127 Surname: JONES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34295
Option: D Organisation: Alderholt Parish Plan Steering Group

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2862 Surname: KEARNS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36623
Option: D Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED3014 Surname: KITSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37035
Option: D Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2772 Surname: LAISHLEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36391
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Smaller developments in all three areas.
General comments: I do not agree with any significant development of Corfe Mullen. Only extensive upgrading of the village facilities would support the amount of housing that is proposed. I feel that this leaflet is a subversive act by the local council to get the residents of Corfe Mullen to agree to significant development.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2207 Surname: LEE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36428
Option: D Organisation:
Response: South and North Waterloo Valley down to Waterloo Road, Broadmoor Road from existing development.
General comments: Extend green belt to firm boundary and connect to existing development and improve Broadmoor Road, Waterloo Road and Pardys Hill.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2933 Surname: LUSH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36808
Option: D Organisation:
Response:
General comments: Whilst we appreciate there is going to be a need for further development in Corfe Mullen we are concerned that the village will turn into a suburb of Poole and lose its village status. Also any housing that is built will supposedly be affordable but as yet we have not noticed any.

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2826	Surname:	MALPASS	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36539
Option:	D	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:	Designated green belt land should stay as green belt. People have specifically chosen to live in these areas because of the green belt otherwise they would have moved to a town. I doubt if we would be compensated for the loss of countryside. They should use up different areas first eg unsightly areas which would be improved by housing eg the junk yard near Holme Bush or disused industrial parks.						

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2927	Surname:	MARCHANT	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36791
Option:	D	Organisation:					
Response:	Three smaller developments with affordable housing would not impact greatly upon wildlife. Mindful that the landfill site on Wareham Road is nearing the end of its life and that Corfe Mullen was promised restoration of the SSSI area.						
General comments:	For such an important issue a clearer map identifying specific locations would have been helpful.						

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2956	Surname:	MAYO	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36874
Option:	D	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:							

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2874	Surname:	MERCIERE	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36655
Option:	D	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:							

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2833	Surname:	MORRISON	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36559
Option:	D	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:							

Issue: Contact ID: XED2879 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2924 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2889 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2898 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2994 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED0938	Surname: REVILL	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36706
Option: D	Organisation:		
Response:	1) Small ribbon development on top of hill around Meadow Farm Lane, Ridge Way and Newtown Lane. 2) On Lockyers school grounds 3) Fields south of Chapel Lane and east of Waterloo Road (has easy access to Wareham Road) 4) Springdale Road south as far as Corfe Lodge Road.		
General comments:	Corfe Mullen has very little employment opportunities and public transport fails to be economic even with 700 further homes therefore cannot fulfill 4th factor. Fields on north part of Waterloo Valley very wet and prone to waterlog with water flowing out of sandstone hills above. Major drainage problems and needed as part of local water supply feeding. 700 homes will create at least 1200 cars whatever the planners estimate. Road access totally impractical in north part of Waterloo Valley.		

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED2928	Surname: REYNOLDS	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36794
Option: D	Organisation:		
Response:			
General comments:	This is vandalism of our green belt, the only people who will benefit from this raid will be the developers and not the local people.		

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED2776	Surname: ROBINSON	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36403
Option: D	Organisation:		
Response:			
General comments:	Bearing in mind that Corfe Mullen is to all practical purposes part of the Poole/Bournemouth conurbation, consideration is needed as to if this should be formalized or more effort given to keeping it as an independent village.		

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED2795	Surname: RODGERS	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36452
Option: D	Organisation:		
Response:	B and C		
General comments:			

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2754	Surname:	SEWELL	Unique	
Option:	D	Organisation:		Response Ref:		CSIO-36344	
Response:	A and C						
General comments:							

Issue: UE3

Contact ID: XED3025

Surname: SEWILL

Unique

Option: D

Organisation:

Response Ref: CSIO-37216

Response: A and C

General comments:

As you state Waterloo Valley is very attractive landscape which is enjoyed by walkers etc for its beauty. Only few years ago it was proposed by the council that the roads in the Waterloo Valley joined a quiet road scheme. It is totally inappropriate to be building new housing in this area as once they are built Corfe Mullen will lose the feel of a country village which so many people love. I know that EDDC has opposed this development and I ask that you continue to do all in your power to stop this development.

If development has to take place the decision should be based on what has the smallest visual impact on the countryside. I would therefore suggest splitting the development to reduce the impact and also not to create a sink development the following suggestions are made;

- 1) Use land between Dairy Close and Holme Bush inn including Naked Cross Nursery and scrap yard. This would enhance the area and put pub in middle of a small community of houses. The road infrastructure is excellent and the whole area needs to be rethought when the tip ceases to be in use.
- 2) Continue development in Coventry Close all the way to Chapel Road, there are a few houses here already but most of the area is badly kept, this is basically infilling. The land is flat and is close to the shops, doctors and school.
- 3) Move Lockyers school to other side of Wimborne Road using part of recreation ground then fill in the triangle between Wimborne Road, Lockyers Road and Blandford Road with houses. Further houses could be built on road using allotment field, Canford Estate field and the wasteland which is opposite Lockyers.
- 4) Continue Candys Close development although this is north facing it is better to build on north facing land rather than on countryside which is used by so many. It is a misconception that north facing slopes are not environmentally sustainable. With climate change, houses facing the sun will become too hot and need air conditioning and cause even more damage to the environment.
- 5) Fill in the square above Lambs Green Pub.

I would strongly not recommend building near Pardys Hill or the fields between Haywards Lane and Brook Lane for the following reasons

- 1) This is the heart of the Waterloo Valley and lots of people come and enjoy these lanes at weekends and evenings. They choose this area because of its beauty and wildlife, building on it would destroy that. Has the Council done a survey at weekends and evenings to see the recreational value of the area. If development is carried out near a heath a corresponding area must be set aside for public use. I would suggest land between Brook Lane and Joiners Copse. This would keep the heart of Corfe Mullen green. Surely the Council need to choose an area for setting aside that is well used and loved and that is readily accessible. As Cllr Dyer said 'we need to plan for future demands without ruining what we already have' part of the land could be used for new allotments, a bridle and cycle way could be made alongside Broadmoor Road. Trees could be planted and a feature could be made of the stream coming out of Joiners Copse.
- 2) The RSS Authority has also stated that flood plains must be avoided. If this area were built on this would dramatically increase surface run off. The valley is very steep and water at the moment runs down the roads from all directions ending up at the junction of Broadmoor Road and the Christian Mission Lane. The Waterloo stream cannot cope with this amount of water. This area already floods badly with water covering the road for 20 metres and also coming dangerously near the houses at the bottom of the valley. The existing sewers cannot cope at the moment and sewerage has to be taken away by lorries. The builders did their own water survey in the summer of 2006 when we were in the middle of a drought. This data is obviously therefore unreliable. If more houses were built on the slopes the outcome would be that existing houses would be flooded.
- 3) The existing beautiful flower filled country lanes would not be able to cope with the extra traffic that would inevitably come with development. The valley does not have the appropriate infrastructure. A few years ago it was going to be designed a quiet lane.

Wherever development does happen a considerable amount of money is going to be made by those who own the land, which is now green belt. Those who are built next to will not only have the huge inconvenience of the noise and traffic associated with building work and the value of their houses will also drop considerably. It would seem fair that those who are most affected by development were compensated by those who are going to profit. It would be up to the council to ensure that people affected were given the opportunity to sell their houses to developers at market value or be compensated financially. With an economic downturn likely and house prices dropping, are the houses really necessary, won't the over supply just lead to further drop in house prices in the area. Finally it is hoped that the decisions about where to build will be based on keeping the heart of Corfe Mullen green and not on whether the land is owned by builders.

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED3018	Surname:	TYE	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-37047
Option:	D	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:							

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2755	Surname:	VERGE	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36347
Option:	D	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:	Wimborne Road has continuous traffic from about 6am between 8.20 and 9am it is stop -go week days to turn right out of our drive is impossible before 9am. Turning left is fine until you reach Broadstone, where traffic queues back from the roundabout almost to Corfe Hills school. We have no readily available employment in the village so everyone who works has to travel. The housing we need is affordable accommodation so getting to work cheaply is important. The recently started service 3 bus is usually delayed before the 10.35 service from Wimborne due to the volume of traffic. We are constantly exhorted to a) use water, fuel etc sparingly, not flush unsuitable objects down the toilet - it blocks drains, b) not to concrete gardens - prevents rain from soaking away causing floods. c) Cant feed ourselves from domestic suppliers - to great a population and d) recycle as much as possible or allowed by local collections as landfill sites are at a premium.						

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2710	Surname:	WALL	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-32495
Option:	D	Organisation:					
Response:	Agree						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2726	Surname:	WALLIS	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-34851
Option:	D	Organisation:					
Response:	Agree						
General comments:							

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2998	Surname:	ADAMS	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36992
Option:	E	Organisation:					
Response:	Lockyers school						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2333	Surname:	ALDRIDGE	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-07751
Option:	E	Organisation:					
Response:	Area not known to me						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2959	Surname:	ALLISON	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36880
Option:	E	Organisation:					
Response:	Wareham Road between Holme Bush and Naked Cross Nursery.						
General comments:	It would be an environmental disaster to develop the green areas of Corfe Mullen. There are alternatives - most of the Wareham Road an area suitable for development with no true green areas plus the road links are much better - direct access to A350 Poole to Blandford to A31 rather than through residential areas of Corfe Mullen. There are also some unattractive areas on the Wareham Road that would actually benefit from development.						

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2958	Surname:	ALLISON	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36877
Option:	E	Organisation:					
Response:	Wareham Road between Holme Bush and Naked Cross						
General comments:	This process is flawed and seems to take no account of local peoples needs and requirements. It is also to simplistic in that it takes no account of existing infrastructure (or lack of it) in imposing a blanket number of homes. The scale of the proposals will simply overwhelm existing developments and the feel of the area. Please get the panel to think again.						

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED3021	Surname:	ANONYMOUS	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-37062
Option:	E	Organisation:					
Response:	Sedgfield						
General comments:	We have already petitioned against this development. Services would not cope with any increase it is green belt land. England only needs such extra housing mainly due to single families and immigrants . This is just another order from Brussels where 75% of our laws are made.						

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED2858	Surname: ARMITAGE	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36615
Option: E	Organisation:		
Response:	Wareham Road between Holme Bush and Naked Cross Nursery and Lockyers school site.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED2745	Surname: BABER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36323
Option: E	Organisation:		
Response:	Between Holme Bush and Naked Cross Nursery on Wareham Road		
General comments:	Building an estate off the main Wareham Road between Holme Bush and Naked Cross would be ideal because a) It is on a main road and would provide easy access to Corfe Mullen or Poole/Bournemouth. B) The ground is flat and would tidy up a scruffy area of Corfe Mullen c) It would have easy access to the doctors, first school and post office. D) It would not congest Corfe Mullen as much as other sites would do.		

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED2443	Surname: BAKER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18306
Option: E	Organisation: Linden Homes (Southern) Ltd represented by Lennon Planning		
Response:			
General comments:	The locations are environmentally sensitive and development would significantly impact upon the character and landscape quality of the area. However, if an allocation is required, Option D may be appropriate providing the locations are selected to minimise impact		

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED2989	Surname: BEE	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36968
Option: E	Organisation:		
Response:	Holme Bush to Naked Cross Wareham Road		
General comments:			

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED0299 Surname: BLOOMFIELD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23409
Option: E Organisation: Royal Society for the Protection of Birds
Response:
General comments: The RSPB made comments on the RSS proposed SE Dorset urban extensions in our response to the EiP panel. These comments still apply. In short these related to the potential damage to nationally and internationally important wildlife sites

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2999 Surname: BOOKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36995
Option: E Organisation:
Response: North of Broadmoor Road within the valley - there are 35 acres that have been put forward for development.
General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED1014 Surname: BOURTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02582
Option: E Organisation: Keep Wimborne Green
Response: No part of green belt
General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2997 Surname: BOWLEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36989
Option: E Organisation:
Response: Wareham Road where the Holme Bush ub is.
General comments:

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED2782	Surname: BRANSON	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36420
Option: E	Organisation:		
Response:	<p>Alternatives to proposed development at Pardys Hill</p> <p>a) The wasteland between Waterloo Road and old abbatoir on the Wareham Road a mish mash of small poor fields used for horse grazing and an eyesore car/lorry scrap yard.</p> <p>B) Build adjacent A31 ie the tenanted fields south of the road between St Huberts and beyond Brickyard Lane conveniently owned by the 'admiralty'. The area already has some industrial development plus 2 old sandpits and more horse grazing etc.</p> <p>You have the power to ruin Corfe Mullen or with the right decision make it just bearable - there is always Furzehill just awaiting development!</p>		
General comments:	<p>We are expected to suffer as a result of this governments 11 year failure to build new towns, to control immigration and a paranoid reluctance for large development in the labour heartlands of the North and Scotland. The south and south west are already to overcrowded. Dorset does not even have a motorway and the A31 and A350 are a disgrace for the 21st century.</p>		

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED2991	Surname: BROWN	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36973
Option: E	Organisation:		
Response:	<p>Building should be built on the road side of Corfe Mullen tip</p>		
General comments:			

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED2978	Surname: BROWN	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36935
Option: E	Organisation:		
Response:	<p>Near the landfill tip and heath surrounding it down to limberlost.</p>		
General comments:			

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED2963	Surname: BURBIDGE	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36893
Option: E	Organisation:		
Response:	<p>The greyhound yard Hillside Road, Corfe Mullen it would allow continuity of building through the Waterloo Valley plus the access from these directions. Also between Holme Bush and Naked Cross. The main priority has to be volume of travel/traffic to which these developments are going to occur. The increase would surely benefit from smaller developments rather than on mass. I truly hope that the government take lots of consideration to leave enough recreational space for all these developments to be successful. Having been in the village for over 40 years to which the population has grown at least 600% the facilities are bursting. Traffic and crime are major concerns.</p>		
General comments:			

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2945 Surname: BURT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36844
Option: E Organisation:
Response: Area adjacent to Holme Bush pub - good road connections
General comments: Northern part of the valley is unsuitable because of very poor road access. Narrow green lanes and dangerous junction on steep hill with main road at top of Pardys Hill.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2971 Surname: BURT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36918
Option: E Organisation:
Response: Area around Holme Bush Inn seems the most suitable for regeneration and would support this.
General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2926 Surname: CHAMPION Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36787
Option: E Organisation:
Response: Lockyers school site once its been rebuilt satisfactorily opposite where it is currently situated. Build on land on the Wareham Road between Holme Bush and Naked Cross Nursery, this is presently an eyesore and the road is already in situ, no flooding and disruption to existing residential area.
General comments: If development has to take place the government must think about how it can destroy village life bringing in all sorts of people, cars, pollution and noise. We don't have enough facilities in Corfe Mullen for our existing and ever increasing population. To many people can create unrest and lead to many problems including violence to others, property and wildlife. We are a struggling yet fairly happy village why must it change? Can they not look at other areas in the UK to house people where sufficient facilities exist - we are becoming so over developed that future generations will never be able to benefit from village life and green belt areas and all that it provides.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2331 Surname: DAVENPORT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07439
Option: E Organisation: Dorset County Council
Response: Offers best opportunity to link public transport services
General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2943 Surname: DEDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36838
Option: E Organisation:
Response: Wareham Road and close to Naked Cross Nursery.
General comments:

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED2980	Surname: DIX	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36941
Option: E	Organisation:		
Response:	I feel the best area for development would be the land along the Blandford Road between the Esso garage at Windgreen and the Corfe Mullen cemetery. All major facilities are within walking distance. Also housing here would avoid many of the hills in Corfe Mullen which can be quite steep. Also being on Blandford Road the dwellings offer good access to the A31 for traffic moving to other locations.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED2969	Surname: DODWELL	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36914
Option: E	Organisation:		
Response:	Between Holme Bush and Naked Cross Nursery.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED2949	Surname: DOLLERSON	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36853
Option: E	Organisation:		
Response:	Wareham Road from Naked Cross towards Beacon Hill or land backing onto A31.		
General comments:	Development on the Wareham Road would give easy access to the A350 and the A35/A31 which would hopefully keep some of the greatly increased traffic out of the centre of Corfe Mullen especially the road that goes past Corfe Hills school to Broadstone. The land on the north end of the Waterloo Valley has no good roads to carry a lot more traffic and Pardys Hill could not cope with a large increase in traffic. This certainly would not be a green solution, I also thought that all these new houses were to be close to places of work to cut down the number of cars/length of journeys. How does Corfe Mullen fit into that criteria.		

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED2950	Surname: DOLLERSON	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36856
Option: E	Organisation:		
Response:	Wareham Road between Naked Cross and Holme Bush - large area leading up to Rushall Lane.		
General comments:	We have a responsibility to future generations to fight green belt building. Pardys Hill, Blackmoor Lane and Haywards Lane provide an incredibly beautiful valley much used as recreation by walkers, horseriders and cyclists. It tems with wildlife , trees and flowers and peace is easily accessible and much enjoyed by many. It would be unthinkable to deprive present and future generations of this natural beauty. Additionally Pardys Hill could not cope with hundreds more cars. If building must be done please select places which are not stunningly beautiful, also please select places where road infrastructure is already in place - eg from Naked Cross towards Holme Bush excluding an existing estate providing cheaper housing for locals on a good road network - here is easy access to A350 and A35 and directlt attached to Corfe Mullen village with doctors surgeries,schools and nice walking at Springdale and Waterloo Valley. My second choice would be overlooking Stour or all 3 - Stour, Naked Cross and Lockyers because neither of these necessitate major road development, we do not want to lose our lovely lanes.		

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED2597	Surname: DORNING	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36387
Option: E	Organisation:		
Response:	Beyond Naked Cross Nursery/Garden centre		
General comments:	Protect and safe guard green belt as it stands today. Better approval of planning permission to approve accommodation plans should be approved. Do not build on flood plain areas or areas prone to flooding.		

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED2855	Surname: EDWARDS	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36609
Option: E	Organisation:		
Response:	Off Wareham Road between Holme Bush and Naked Cross Nursery or the area further north towards A31. The road access is already in place, it is flat ground easier to build on and less likely to cause flooding on other areas. It is within easy access to shops, post office and doctors surgery. It will allow village dwellers to still enjoy the precious countryside and quiet lanes in the Waterloo Valley for recreation etc.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED2783	Surname: EVANS	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36423
Option: E	Organisation:		
Response:	None		
General comments:	We do not need anything like 700 new homes in Corfe Mullen. We do need about 20 homes for young married couples and a similar number of arden assisted homes for pensioners. EDDC must say no to the EU who are imposing this on our community.		

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED2845	Surname: EVANS	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36585
Option: E	Organisation:		
Response:	The area between Holme Bush and Naked Cross Nursery on Wareham Road		
General comments:	We would vote for any building to be done on the scrub land between Holme Bush and Naked Cross Nursery. We believe this would have the least detrimental affect on the Corfe Mullen village as a whole. The proposed 700 houses would impinge on very few buildings already in that area. Commuting from those 700 homes would almost certainly be mainly to the Poole/Bournemouth/Christchurch conurbations and from this position there is easy access to the A350 without the majority of vehicles moving to travel through the main part of the village. Again anyone commuting to Blandford would have quick easy access to A350. From there gives easy access to all facilities including schools, shops, the new surgery and chemists and easier access to Broadstone. There is also quick and easy access to A35 at Upton and the A31 at Roundhouse. Finally this area of land is by far the best aesthetically pleasing in proposed areas.		

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2753 Surname: FEAR Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36342
Option: E Organisation:

Response: Wareham Road between The Holme Bush and Naked Cross Nurseries.

General comments: Wareham Road between Holme Bush and Naked Cross Nursery would be a far preferred option, children would be in catchment for Springdale school and not over stretch Lockyers school. Access from the main road as opposed to using narrow lanes currently enjoyed by horseriders and walkers. Although opposite the tip no real difference than homes already built in Waterloo Road. Easy walking distance of doctors and post office etc. Provides access directly onto main road significant advantage in winter as opposed to narrow icy lanes. By utilizing the area in Wareham Road which is currently in a degenerative state would save the beautiful areas such as the Broadmoor Road Valley which should remain for all our community to appreciate.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2787 Surname: FELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36435
Option: E Organisation:

Response: On site of present Lockyers school and playing fields

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2802 Surname: FRANKLIN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36470
Option: E Organisation:

Response: Between Naked Cross Nursey and Limberlost.

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2832 Surname: GAMBLIN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36556
Option: E Organisation:

Response: Lockyers school

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED1109 Surname: GAMBLIN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36896
Option: E Organisation:

Response: Corfe Mullen has a huge recreation ground much of which is primarily used by dog walkers. If we must have development why not use a small part of the recreation ground. I definatley oppose development of the Pardys Hill area.

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2951 Surname: GASTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36860
Option: E Organisation:

Response: Could the patch of land opposite Hillview post office at the roundabout be used for some low cost housing its close to all the amenities and currently of used for anything.

General comments: Some building on the scrappy land on Wareham Road between Holme Bush and Naked Cross Nursery would be an improvement to Corfe Mullen as that area is an eyesore. Children could go to school at Springdale and reduce the strain on Henbury. The area around Broadmoor Lane, Haywards Lane and Brook Lane bridle path is used as countryside by walkers, horseriders and cyclists and would be a great loss of natural amenity to the village if this area was urbanised. It would also be a great loss to the area if the preserved oak lined bridle path were lost or affected.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2975 Surname: GEDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36927
Option: E Organisation:

Response: Infill around existing housing and Wareham Road near Holme Bush Pub.

General comments: Our main concerns around future development are as follows
1) Current infrastructure may not be adequate.
2) Loss of village and semi rural feel of location
3) Impact to attractive landscape and wildlife/nature

We would like to see Lockyers school relocated and land used for redevelopment.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2929 Surname: GODDARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36797
Option: E Organisation:

Response: Poor Common and Naked Cross

General comments: Northern part of Waterloo Valley is prone to flooding from water run off from fields adjacent to Haywards Lane and Pardys Hill. Further development will inevitably make this worse. Development here is unsustainable as the roads are already overcrowded and poor state. Access to the area is available only by car - imagine another 700 households.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2901 Surname: GREGG Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36728
Option: E Organisation:

Response: Naked Cross and Limberlost area.

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE3 **Contact ID:** XED2297 **Surname:** HOSKINSON **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-03250
Option: E **Organisation:** Canford Estate (represented by Savills)

Response: Land to the north of Wimborne Road (SHLAA ref 304/0210) between Lockyers School and the playing fields is well related to existing facilities. The site is level and free from physical and environmental constraints. Existing tree planting has already taken place along the northern edge of the site to screen development and provide a strong boundary. The site has the potential to provide a mix of dwelling types including family homes. The site can be developed without the need for extensive infrastructure works and come forward in advance of a larger urban extension to the west of Corfe Mullen. Development has the potential to provide contributions to the off-site provision of new or improved community facilities.

General comments:

Issue: UE3 **Contact ID:** XED2750 **Surname:** JONES **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36334
Option: E **Organisation:**

Response: The area between Holme Bush and Naked Cross Nurseries

General comments: This area needs development, the current occupiers of this land have previously applied for permission to build. New housing would link in well with the Coventry Close estate and the main road would be easier to extend and have good links to Bournemouth and Poole allowing the traffic away from narrow country lanes. I feel there would be little objection to this site there is little relevance with regards to the tip close by as a housing estate is already in close proximity.

Issue: UE3 **Contact ID:** XED2853 **Surname:** KING **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36603
Option: E **Organisation:**

Response: On Wareham Road between the Holme Bush and Naked Cross Nursery.

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED0201 Surname: KITE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18597
Option: E Organisation: Natural England

Response:

General comments: The southern part of the AoS is close to Upton Heath SSSI (also SPA|SAC|Ramsar) and adjacent to parts of Corfe Mullen Pastures SSSI (also SAC and Ramsar).
We believe that the Core Strategy should avoid housing allocations in the southern part of the AoS. The proximity to Upton Heath would make it extremely difficult to avoid impacts on the heath through the provision of alternative greenspace (and could surround the heath with urban development as mentioned above).
In the northern part of the AoS, effects on designated heath lands is still an issue but the greater distance from designated sites is an advantage and makes it easier for alternative greenspace to function as a counter attraction.
There are also nature conservation interests outside designated sites in this area, particularly in parts of the area originally identified for housing by the JSA work. Any housing allocation in this area needs be based on recent survey information and to fully take these interests into account. From our present knowledge of the nature conservation interest of this land (the area considered by the JSA) we believe that nature conservation interests (chiefly the quality of the grasslands) significantly constrain development potential in this area so that it would only be possible to accommodate part of the RSS allocation (and possibly only a small part). Housing closer to the centre of Corfe Mullen would have both a better relationship with the existing settlement and harm fewer nature conservation interests. The DPD should seek to achieve nature conservation management to enhance the existing grassland interests; fields should be left intact rather than partially developed so as to safeguard the landscape context of the grasslands and allow viable grazing management.
Lockyers School and areas opposite the school (north of the Wimborne Road) do not have the intrinsic nature conservation interests of much of the area considered above. They also have a good relationship with the existing settlements so have significant advantages as potential housing sites. The role of the recreation ground should be critically examined in terms of the overall provision of sports pitches and its function as green space. In our view, its attractiveness as greenspace could be significantly improved both by better management for nature conservation and potentially by expanding the area to the north.
Other areas that should be examined for green infrastructure provision include Henbury and areas east of Corfe Mullen in the direction of Ashington.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2835 Surname: LANGFORD-BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36565
Option: E Organisation:

Response: Wareham Road between the Holme Bush and Naked Cross Nursery.

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2749 Surname: LEE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36332
Option: E Organisation:

Response: Wareham Road between The Holme Bush and Naked Cross Nursery.

General comments:

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2872	Surname:	LEWIS	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36650
Option:	E	Organisation:					
Response:	Lockyers school site						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2970	Surname:	LEWIS-EVANS	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36915
Option:	E	Organisation:					
Response:	Development only be infilling within existing boundaries and only to the number allowed under current planning rules.						
General comments:	When I was born over 80 years ago there were approx 40 million people in the Uk and few cars, now there are over 60 million + and population is growing at the rate of a new Birmingham every 21/2 years (govt. figs). This country with the highest population density in Europe has the absolute moral right now to restrict immigration and encourage the reverse and promote contraception by fiscal encouragement and information and all legal humanitarian ethical means. It is time for politicians and planners of all persuasion to wake up and face the possibility with rising fuel, food and transport prices that for our children and grandchildren unemployment may rise dramatically and their standard of living be very much reduced. This country could not sustain itself in the last war and far less so now. Food supplies from hungry third world contries could be mmuch readily available especially when transporting it is taken into account. Yet another possibility which should be faced by all right minded people before it is to late.						

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2990	Surname:	LONG	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36970
Option:	E	Organisation:					
Response:	Beacon Hill area						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2897	Surname:	MACEY	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36717
Option:	E	Organisation:					
Response:	Wareham Road between Holme Bush and the Nursery.						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2747	Surname:	MATTHEWS	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36329
Option:	E	Organisation:					
Response:	Wareham Road between The Holme Bush and Naked Cross Nursery						
General comments:							

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED2848	Surname: MAUGHAN	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36593
Option: E	Organisation:		
Response:	Naked Cross Nursery		
General comments:	Sites to the west of Corfe Mullen are unsustainable. There is no employment in the village and any new residents will commute to Poole. The highway infrastructure in the village will not support additional vehicle movements.		

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED2846	Surname: MCDONAGH	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36587
Option: E	Organisation:		
Response:	Wareham Road between Holme Bush and Naked Cross Nursery		
General comments:	The approach to Corfe Mullen on the Wareham Road near the Holme Bush is a scruffy area and not a good advert for Corfe Mullen. Developing this site would benefit the area whilst not destroying some of the villages more pretty green belt land. Residents of the new properties would not have to drive through the village when commuting to Poole and Bournemouth, The tip opposite would not be a problem as it has never affected properties in Arrowsmith Road.		

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED2922	Surname: MCNEIL	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36778
Option: E	Organisation:		
Response:	Fields along Waterloo Road either side.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED2839	Surname: MIDDLEDITCH	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36572
Option: E	Organisation:		
Response:	On Wareham Road between The Holme Bush and Naked Cross Nursery.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED2847	Surname: MOGER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36590
Option: E	Organisation:		
Response:	wareham Road between Holme Bush and Naked Cross Nursery.		
General comments:	Naked Cross Nursery/Holme Bush site has good road access, is near doctors surgery, post office and other local amenities and is within easy reach of Springdale and Henbury first schools. It is also not the most picturesque area of Corfe Mullen and needs tidying up.		

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2746	Surname:	MORGAN	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36326
Option:	E	Organisation:					
Response:	Wareham Road						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2891	Surname:	OSBOURNE	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36699
Option:	E	Organisation:					
Response:	Between Naked Cross Nurseries and the Limberlost T junction						
General comments:	Corfe Mullen is a good place to live as it is but it could be ruined so easily. I have been disabled for 4 years and have not been to Poole, but I am hearing shocking news about how it has been ruined with flats etc crammed in everywhere - please do not do that to Corfe Mullen. We have already seen so much building of green fields - gone to housing estates - let the children have somewhere to play,breathe and to be educated. If you need more homes think carefully where you are going to put them, where people can enjoy their new homes instead of just being resented by people already living there. Our roads are already gridlocked, what is the A31 and Wimborne/Ferndown bypass going to look like a car park. If we have to have new homes why not let people who have lived here have the chance to get on the property ladder instead of holiday homes.						

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2868	Surname:	PARKES	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36637
Option:	E	Organisation:					
Response:	Not green belt						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED0917	Surname:	PARKES	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-37050
Option:	E	Organisation:					
Response:	Limited development within existing village boundaries and not in green belt.						
General comments:	Resist any moves to impose additional housing in Corfe Mullen and certainly not in the green belt. This was the response in the parish plan and should be respected by central government.						

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2974 Surname: PEAKE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36924
Option: E Organisation:

Response: Area between Holme Bush Inn and Naked Cross is an eyesore, the council has failed to take action in getting the scrap yard cleared and it's the ideal area to redevelop without losing vital good quality green fields. The occupiers of the properties in question are both likely to accept development and their land backs onto another 50 acres owned by retired farmer Mr Gordon Young and he would also accept the idea of new homes being built on it. If this area is a 'no goer' then I feel option D involving A,B and C is the better solution.

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2962 Surname: RANFORD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36889
Option: E Organisation:

Response: Between Naked Cross Nursery and the Holme Bush

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2893 Surname: REVILL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36704
Option: E Organisation:

Response: On Wareham Road between the Holme Bush and Naked Cross Nursery

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2883 Surname: RICHARDS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36682
Option: E Organisation:

Response: Wareham Road between Holme Bush and Naked Cross

General comments: Please do not take away our beautiful countryside, once it has gone it can not be brought back. Are there not other unused/run down developments that can be demolished and rebuilt.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2968 Surname: SEARLEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36911
Option: E Organisation:

Response: Ring fence the old brickyard from heathland scrap yard the old abbatoir Wareham Road.
Infill the streets and use roads that are already there.

General comments: No building should be allowed on green belt land. The dead brains who want to do this should go and get their heads seen to. It is beyond belief that they would like to build 700 dwellings and concrete over our beautiful countryside and destroy it for ever. Taking away all this beauty that have been given us this generation but also all the enjoyment and pleasures that should be passed on for generations to come. If anyone has an ounce of knowledge about this village they would know that there are only two main roads running through it, at peak times there are lots of holdups, if more vehicles start using the rooad it will be a disaste. Where is the infrastructure and environment in all this. What are the housing needs for the village, first time homes or retirement homes there are lots of other types for sale in the village. If these homes are to be built they should be spread throughout the village so that the infrastructure can take it and when they are built there should be a reassurance that they remain for that purpose and not sold for second homes or profit. It should be for EDDC to plan this and adhere to the policy opposing building in the green belt, not for them to ignore us but who ride rough shod over what we say and want. Where os the democracy in that.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2810 Surname: SMEDLEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36493
Option: E Organisation:

Response: Lockyers site and Pardys Hill

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2878 Surname: SMITH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36667
Option: E Organisation:

Response: Wareham Road between Holme Bush and Naked Cross Nursery.

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2948 Surname: SNOOK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36850
Option: E Organisation:

Response: Any old building etc should be used knock down and start again opposite Corfe Hills school. The golf course area as not all can enjoy this sport. Relocate in the back lands of the edge of Delph woods.

General comments: If building goes ahead it must not be in green belt (or what is the point) plus it must be only for first time buyers within our community not 2nd homes for fat cats from London etc. They must always remain first time homes and set criteria must be met to ensure this and overseen by us the rate payers and people from the village who have precedent. If run and monitored correctly the infrastructure would be fine and just need updating from time to time. The money that would be taken in rates etc if new housing did go ahead would this be reflected in our rates? Or put back into the village or update our existing schools, give us wheely bins etc. Whatever is due is the future for our children and Corfe Mullen so think people not cash.

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED3009	Surname: TALMAN	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37023
Option: E	Organisation:		
Response:	Wareham Road between Holme Bush and Naked Cross Nursery.		
General comments:	Brook Lane/Haywards Lane/Orchard Lane and Sleight Lane are all areas of natural beauty supporting a wide variety of plant and wildlife. The area is rural, beautiful and is representative of a green Dorset. There are other more logical options for development in the area particularly Wareham Road between Holme Bush and Naked Cross Nursery. Why isnt the proposed development to be sited here.		

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED3010	Surname: TALMAN	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37025
Option: E	Organisation:		
Response:	Wareham Road between Holme Bush and Naked Cross Nursery.		
General comments:	Brook Lane/Haywards Lane/Orchard Lane and Sleight Lane are all green belt protected areas of atural beauty with a wide range of plant and wildlife. The area is tranquil, beautiful and should be respected, especially in these times when ecological issues matter so much. Lack of infrastructure is a further issue but if there must be development why not the area of Wareham Road between Holme Bush and Naked Cross Nursery. This seems a far more suitable area to consider.		

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED2844	Surname: TATE	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36583
Option: E	Organisation:		
Response:	Wareham Road between the Holme Bush and Naked Cross Nursery.		
General comments:	We strongly support all the comments made in this leaflet, the suggested site is not the right place - Please re-think.		

Issue: UE3	Contact ID: XED2938	Surname: TREVETT	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36821
Option: E	Organisation:		
Response:	None - infill in existing housing areas only.		
General comments:	I do not want to see significant extra housing in Corfe Mullen - development should be limited to infill only in existing housing areas. The map on this leaflet is to vague o be of any real use and the questionnaire wording is poor as implies acceptance of further development in this area.		

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2940 Surname: TREVETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36827
Option: E Organisation:

Response: Infill of existing areas only

General comments: Do not want significant new housing in Corfe Mullen any new houses should be infill to existing areas.
The questions imply acceptance of new development there is no option for no thanks.
What are the other issues - ue3,5 and 4 implies there is UE 1,2, AND 6.
Would not support any loss of the recreation ground unless equivalent or better facilities were provided first.
The key diagram is useless, if possible areas of development are N. Waterloo Valley, S. Waterloo Valley etc they should be shown on the diagram.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2854 Surname: TUCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36606
Option: E Organisation:

Response: Wareham Road - Beacon Hill - Limberlost.
Why Corfe Mullen anyway what about land north of Wimborne either side of the Cranborne Road, this is nearer shops etc and businesses with better transport facilities also its on higher and drier ground.

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2863 Surname: TURNER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36624
Option: E Organisation:

Response: Wareham Road between knacker yard and scrap yard.

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2748 Surname: VICKERS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36330
Option: E Organisation:

Response: Wareham Road between The Holme Bush and Naked Cross Nursery and Lockyers School

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2979 Surname: WEBB Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36938
Option: E Organisation:

Response: On the existing site of Lockyers school where there will be minimal effect on the local nature conservation areas and the landscape of Corfe Mullen.

General comments: We strongly oppose any further development in Corfe Mullen the green belt should stay. There is already too much traffic through the village. Traffic to Castle Court school is a major problem, drivers do not have any consideration for walkers or cyclists. Every morning I see wildlife, their habitats would be destroyed with further development. We should be able to enjoy our attractive landscape and nature conservation sites not have them destroyed for houses. The flooding along the Waterloo Valley is another major problem which would make it totally unsuitable for further development. We have already petitioned for speed humps along this valley to control the traffic and make it safer for cyclists, walkers and horse riders but nothing was ever done.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2955 Surname: WILSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36871
Option: E Organisation:

Response: A31

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2957 Surname: WILSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36876
Option: E Organisation:

Response: A31

General comments: At the moment Blandford Road is congested at most times, it holds 2 schools which are already overfilled. It is only a B road yet is used as a main A road, the traffic thunders past our house at all times the area could not cope with anymore. When Lockyers school finishes at 3pm it is a nightmare and how any child has not been killed I just don't know. It is very dangerous people already use this road as a cut through. This area could not cope with any more housing we already have had a huge increase in housing development. There is hardly any countryside left, the government have taken it all from us. I hope that this time what little is left around the Blandford Road area can be saved. Thankyou.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2976 Surname: WITTS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36930
Option: E Organisation:

Response: Wareham Road between Holme Bush pub and Naked Cross Nursery - better road and easier build site less prone to flooding.

General comments: Having worked in public affairs it is easy to recognise this as standard issue consultation document to channel residents anger in a controllable way, complete with suggestions of extra facilities etc. However nimbysism aside it seems pretty clear that any development in the Pardys Hill area would require immense investment. The hill acts as a drainage pathway it is too steep and too narrow to allow bus services to any proposed development without serious grading work. The fields are prone to flooding and all the village facilities are at the top of the hill and some distance away presenting the elderly or disabled with no access, residents vehemently opposed etc. The land currently occupied by a scrap yard along the Wareham Road in comparison has a direct decent road into the village is flat and can be served by public transport and seems inherently better suited. I will assume my concerns have been noted and will be filed as the place is concreted over.

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2813	Surname:	WOOD	Unique Response Ref:	
Option:	E	Organisation:				CSIO-36503	
Response:	North of Blandford Road. Could include Lockyers, the works area and north of Wimborne Road.						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2763	Surname:	WOOD	Unique Response Ref:	
Option:	E	Organisation:				CSIO-36369	
Response:	The building to take place on the Wareham Road between The Holme Bush and Naked Cross Nursery.						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2920	Surname:	ANDREWS	Unique Response Ref:	
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:				CSIO-36771	
Response:	Building should not be allowed on green belt land. Haywards Lane/Orchard Lane area						
General comments:	We have always understood the term green belt meant that no development would ever be considered and certainly never be permitted. We bought our property deliberately next to green belt so we would never have any development imposed on us. Now the politicians and authorities have broken that agreement without any reference to the public. Every existing resident we have spoken to is strongly against this development, so why is it being imposed/forced on us? This is not our idea of democracy. We would like you to identify the political parties and organisations who are responsible for these actions so that we can on the future ensure we vote against them in future elections. That is democracy. Can you also tell us in the so called idea of planning how many of these properties will be inhabited by Corfe Mullen residents and how many will be outsiders ie immigrants, non Corfe Mullen and second/holiday homes.						

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2919	Surname:	ANDREWS	Unique Response Ref:	
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:				CSIO-36768	
Response:	Building should not be allowed on Green Belt Land Haywards Lane/Orchard Lane area.						
General comments:	We have always understood the term green belt meant that no development would ever be considered and certainly never be permitted. We bought our property deliberately next to green belt so we would never have any development imposed on us. Now the politicians and authorities have broken that agreement without any reference to the public. Every existing resident we have spoken to is strongly against this development, so why is it being imposed/forced on us? This is not our idea of democracy. We would like you to identify the political parties and organisations who are responsible for these actions so that we can on the future ensure we vote against them in future elections. That is democracy. Can you also tell us in the so called idea of planning how many of these properties will be inhabited by Corfe Mullen residents and how many will be outsiders ie immigrants, non Corfe Mullen and second/holiday homes.						

Issue: UE3 **Contact ID:** XED2961 **Surname:** ARNOLD **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36886

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: Not in Corfe Mullen

General comments: Corfe Mullen is quite large enough and to introduce up to 700 more dwellings would place far too much strain on the community and infrastructure.

Issue: UE3 **Contact ID:** XED2762 **Surname:** BEST **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36366

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: No more housing

General comments: []

Issue: UE3 **Contact ID:** XED2788 **Surname:** BLAKE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36438

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: None

General comments: []

Issue: UE3 **Contact ID:** XED2797 **Surname:** BROOK **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36457

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: We are in agreement with local council and councillors in that no green belt land should be used for development.

General comments: Any houses built are likely to be 4/5 bed detached and only available to 2nd homers or people from privileged background. As more and more gardens are being built on by greedy property developers our children are losing vital contact with nature. We need green belt land to encourage our children and indeed adults too, to be more healthier by walking and cycling in beautiful surroundings. We do not want to live in an urban sprawl, we have only just moved from an area where the surrounding countryside was over developed. We are forced to use the car to reach open countryside - how can this government profess to be 'green'. No development on green belt land.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2600 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36642
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: None of the above - purely by high density infilling within existing village habitation as sites become available.

General comments: There are many older dwellings often bungalows on relatively large plots which could be used for higher density housing of the required type (presumably affordable flats, semi's and town houses) recent examples along Wareham Road show the way forward. Ramshackle scrap yards and old farm buildings (between Waterloo Road and Holme Bush) would look better with small scale housing developments than the existing derelict state. No doubt there are other examples through the widespread village, over the next 10 years several hundred new dwellings could be added without the negative impact of 700 new homes going up in a few number of years.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED1432 Surname: CHITTENDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03428
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Environment TAG (East Dorset)

Response: The impact on biodiversity, landscape and flood risk are critical in determining the location of any large scale development here. As discussed in NE6 above, the intricate pattern of small fields, hedgerows and copses are likely to support important wildlife and should be valued for their biodiversity and the role that they play in the linking of the heaths and chalk landscapes. Impact assessment of potential areas is vital and, if at all possible, an embargo placed on damaging practices leading to the destruction of existing wildlife assets within the "areas of search". ETAG has not formed a consensus view on the location of any possible development in Corfe Mullen. One suggestion is the area bordered by Blandford Road, Wimborne Road and Lockyers Road along with some additional land to the east of Lockyers Road and north of Wimborne Road (bordering the playing fields). This would be less damaging to the important landscape and the wildlife interest in Waterloo Valley. Another suggestion proposed is that if the school site is redeveloped, the historic school building should be conserved and form a focal community point for the village.

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED3023 Surname: DACKE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37072
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: We think Corfe Mullen is already over developed.

General comments: We do not agree at all that Corfe Mullen should have any more development. Until this month we were without a proper bus service to Wimborne. There are a few small shops which are adequate for the existing population, therefore we think that Corfe Mullen cannot support any more housing or development.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED0180 Surname: DAVENPORT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19993
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Dorset Wildlife Trust

Response:

General comments: The area of search includes DWT's nature reserve at Corfe Mullen Meadows (part of Corfe Mullen Pastures SSSI) therefore we would be extremely concerned were any future development lead to impacts, including increased recreational pressure, on that reserve. The southern part of the Waterloo Valley is highly sensitive, including Corfe Mullen Meadows SSSI and several woodland SNCIs. The area of search also lies within 5 km of the Dorset Heaths International sites, including another DWT reserve at Upton Heath. In addition there is thought to be potential for significant further conservation interest (BAP habitats including lowland meadows) elsewhere within the area, for example grasslands either side of Haywards Lane and north of Pardy Lane, and woodland at Joiners Copse. The land itself should be examined for any nature conservation interests prior to allocation for development (there may be biodiversity priority habitats for example which have not yet been recorded previously as the site has not yet been surveyed)

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2923 Surname: DIXON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36780
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: No building should be allowed in green belt.

General comments: Green belt land should stay green belt and no development should be built. Every existing resident spoken to is against this development.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2791 Surname: EAST Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36445
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: This whole proposal is by an unelected undemocratic body and they should be opposed in all instances and all locally elected councillors serving on this body should be withdrawn.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2128 Surname: FINLAY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10176
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Holt Parish Plan Action Committee

Response: Invalid response - more than 1 option selected

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2930 Surname: GODDARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36800
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Poor Common and Naked Cross the road communications are much better.

General comments: If green belt developments is given the go ahead there will be no curbs on unscrupulous development. The northern part of the Waterloo Valley is very prone to flooding as all the water runs off from the surrounding slopes and settles on the fields, the roads are frequently flooded. The land slopes towards north west in much of the area - not good places for sustainable housing your words. Wildlife depends on the brooks and streams criss crossing the area in particular the deer but also badgers, foxes etc all of which are seen frequently. The delightful country lanes would of necessity be lost were this area to be developed. The extreme hilly nature of this area would make road re-structuring a costly exercise and this would then push up house prices in the development.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2442 Surname: HELLIER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18121
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Highways Agency

Response:

General comments: The siting of the Corfe Mullen urban extension will need to be carefully considered to ensure that future residents are mainly employed either locally or in the centres of Poole and Bournemouth. New residents should be encouraged to gravitate southwards for access to services and facilities not available locally in order to prevent additional journeys on the SRN. The Agency is aware that without such a holistic approach development at Corfe Mullen could attract significant journeys on the SRN towards Dorchester to the west. Given the extension of network stress to the A31/A350 junction by 2026 this additional pressure must be avoided.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2769 Surname: HUNT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36382
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: We are opposed to any further development in Corfe Mullen in the green belt area.

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2785 Surname: JOYCE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36431
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Not wanted anywhere I though EDDC was against it as well.

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2789 Surname: LACEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36441
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: I am totally opposed to further development anywhere being imposed by faceless beauraucrats living nowhere near proposed developments.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2921 Surname: MARTIN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36775
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: The site of the north side of Pardys Hill and Blandford Road is not suitable for development with its steep ground, if planning permission was given for homes here we would see all back and front of buildings and any untidyness as its on a steep hill infact steeper than Pardys Hill itself.
The site of Haywards Lane is suitable for building and the ground is not so steep and has some housing at both ends. The increase of traffic is the problem here and lack of road infrastructure. There might not be a opening to Central Avenue or Hillside Road. Would it be possible to come out between the two cemeteries Newtown Lane. That would be possibly less expensive. The cost of roads would depend on how many more houses had to be built after using the piece of the Waterloo Road, Chapel Lane and Hillside site. Being born in Corfe Mullen I can say we have had so much building done and really now is the time to stop before spoiling Corfe Mullen. The infrastructure cannot take it.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2967 Surname: MAXTED Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36908
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: We do not want any further development Corfe Mullen is big enough as it is.
General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2721 Surname: MICHAEL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34555
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: invalid response - more than one option selected
General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2556 Surname: NASH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23499
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: The numbers and location of new houses should be derived from a detailed analysis of environmental, social, economic and infrastructure capacity. It is a failing of the new LDF process to identify a 'broad brush' area of search/numbers through the Core Strategy ahead of a real understanding (i.e. PPS12 sound evidence base and meaningful community involvement) of what is possible within the area of search and elsewhere within the village.
It is likely that North and South Waterloo Valley and the 3 existing school sites (especially Lockyer's) need to be reviewed (A, B and E)

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED3013 Surname: NEALE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37034
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Green belt is exactly what it says green belt and should remain so, it's a fresh air lung for humans and wildlife. This village is unable to cope with this development we have narrow lanes and already large lorries and fast cars, we don't need any more. It could turn out that these houses are 2nd homes no use to the village life and economy, even the doctors surgery could not cope with more.

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2892 Surname: OSBOURNE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36702
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Naked Cross to Limberlost

General comments:

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2088 Surname: PACKHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23095
Option: GENERAL Organisation: White Young Green

Response:

General comments: An urban extension must be well related to the urban area of Wimborne Minster which is one of the key locations for additional new development in the sub region.

The Study of South East Dorset informed the RSS but was conducted on the basis of a limited analysis of the options for accommodating growth. Not all options have been fully explored particularly an urban extension well related to the Town Centre and to employment areas to the east of the town.

Given the difficulties of accommodating additional growth within the urban area and the need for urban extensions it is also important that the strategy being promoted for the sub region makes a specific reference to employment needs and it is a significant omission is that in the identified areas of search N,O and P, there is no reference to the need for an employment site.

It is not transparent how the South East Dorset Authorities came to conclusions that the urban extensions area of search should be where they have been shown in RSS and therefore on the Core Strategy options paper. In our view the options for growth should be fully tested through the Core Strategy in the absence of thorough testing of the alternatives. There is an alternative potential extension to the east of Wimborne.

Our proposal
The particular area that Gleeson Land will be promoting through the Local Development Framework Wimborne South is referred to in page 143 of the options document. It states that
"Wimborne South and the area between Coalhill and Wimborne has no absolute constraints"

There is a Local Nature Reserve but this does not lie within the land which is controlled by Gleesons. Similarly we are aware of the buffer zone around the Sewage Treatment Works. The site is capable of delivering a mix of uses and is well related to existing employment areas. Land under Gleeson's control can also deliver a large area of open space which can relieve pressure on the heathlands by providing a well located informal recreation facility. We are undertaking a number of studies of the site which we can share with the Council. We estimate that about 1,000 houses can be developed in this location and we stress that the site is available and deliverable in relation to the criteria of PPS3. In sustainability terms the site is well related to the public transport corridor between Wimborne and Coalhill where bus services are a minimum every 15 minutes.

If it is determined that there is a need to find land for development of the additional 1,000 houses which meets the criteria of PPS3 then land to the east of Wimborne could deliver a substantial proportion of that requirement.

Issue: UE3 Contact ID: XED2775 Surname: ROBERTS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36400
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: There should be no new developmen in Corfe Mullen and no relaxing the green belt.

General comments:

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2801	Surname:	SCHOOLING	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36468
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:	I think over the years Corfe Mullen has been built enough, it is supposed to be a village with development it will be a town which brings its own social and economic problems. Affordable housing - it never is affordable to the young person wanting to get on the property ladder. Leave the green fields alone allow people the freedom to walk and enjoy the countryside.						

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2925	Surname:	TOOKER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36785
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:					
Response:	No building should be allowed on green belt land.						
General comments:	I understood that the use of green belt land for development would never be permitted. It should not be possible for green belt land to be freed up for housing development in such a beautiful area which oresently gives pleasure to us all and is an environmental plus.						

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2936	Surname:	TYE	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36817
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:	700 houses being built in the Corfe Mullen area is far to many.						

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2798	Surname:	WAITE	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36459
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:					
Response:	There should be no development on the scale proposed in any part of Corfe Mullen village.						
General comments:	EDDC position is to oppose the development proposals. EDDC should not assume the development will take place. The premise of predict and build is flawed and no time or money should be spent in developing any preferred development option.						

Issue:	UE3	Contact ID:	XED2230	Surname:	WEBSTER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-08739
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	Barratt Homes				
Response:							
General comments:	Undertake a full review of ALL sites						

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2963 Surname: BURBIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36895
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Most definatley not its part of the history of Corfe Mullen offering excellent location for safety and access. If it were to move to the playing fields, this cuts down on recreation for the larger volume of people coming into the area. There is hardly any open space as it is.
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2945 Surname: BURT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36846
Option: A Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2939 Surname: CAVE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36826
Option: A Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2926 Surname: CHAMPION Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36790
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Keep any developments small so we wont get gangs of mindless youngsters causing havoc within our community. Should be located where it is but rebuilt to accommodate children in a creative ad structured learning environment.
If Lockyers school is re sited to somewhere near the recreation ground the school can use the recreation ground for its sports facilities without any further loss of public sports facilities.
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2796 Surname: CHRISTIAN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36456
Option: A Organisation:
Response: There has already been a public inquiry to decide this.
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2843 Surname: GRETTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36582
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Rebuild the school on the road and road side behind the school.
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2866 Surname: HANHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36633
Option: A Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2849 Surname: HANHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36595
Option: A Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED1197 Surname: HANHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36831
Option: A Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED1197 Surname: HANHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09145
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2828 Surname: HENOCQ Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36547
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Do not know
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2935 Surname: HOAR Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36815
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Redevelop the school on site and discourage parents from driving to any of the schools especially Corfe Hills.
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2026 Surname: HOLLAND Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27829
Option: A Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: Agree
General comments: any decision on Lockyers is years away - maybe 2 tier

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2995 Surname: HONEYWOOD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36985
Option: A Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2606 Surname: HOWARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26071
Option: A Organisation: Corfe Mullen P C
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2946 Surname: PICKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36848
Option: A Organisation:
Response: The school has a fine site with good access, to move and use the site for housing is both a form of corruption and to cheat the green belt regulations. There is no such thing as a free lunch - councillors should know this.
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2867 Surname: PICKERING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36636
Option: A Organisation:
Response: If the Lockyers site were to be developed this would add greatly to the congestion of traffic leaving Corfe Mullen along the Wimborne Road to A31.
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2823 Surname: POTTS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36532
Option: A Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2663 Surname: PROWSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28311
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2883 Surname: RICHARDS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36684
Option: A Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue:	UE4	Contact ID:	XED2773	Surname:	WILSON	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36396
Option:	A	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:							

Issue:	UE4	Contact ID:	XED2955	Surname:	WILSON	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36873
Option:	A	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:	Corfe Mullen is an area of outstanding natural beauty and I would like to keep what little space of open country for future generations to enjoy. If the area of fields surrounding Pardys Hill were to go for housing a beautiful view would disappear for ever. Furthermore the traffic congestion and chance of accidents would be much greatly increased on what has become a very busy Blandford Road. Travel for work into Wimborbe, Poole and Bournemouth would also further congest the busy roads, amidst all of this the wildlife will suffer and diminish.						

Issue:	UE4	Contact ID:	XED2296	Surname:	WRIGHT	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-03487
Option:	A	Organisation:	Highcliffe Residents Association				
Response:	Agree						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE4	Contact ID:	XED2284	Surname:	ADAMS	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-26781
Option:	B	Organisation:	Nature Watch Corfe Mullen				
Response:	Agree						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE4	Contact ID:	XED2998	Surname:	ADAMS	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36994
Option:	B	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:							

Issue: Contact ID: XED2800 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2959 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2958 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2932 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2780 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2873 Surname: EVANS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36654
Option: B Organisation:
Response: On recreation field
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2857 Surname: EYRE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36614
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Near present land available by recreation fields
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2753 Surname: FEAR Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36343
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Recreation Ground
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2787 Surname: FELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36437
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Move to land adjacent Corfe Hills school - not to anywhere on the recreation ground.
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2861 Surname: FISHER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36622
Option: B Organisation:
Response: On heathland adjoining Corfe Hill school, joint use of facilities, progressive educational facilities/resources.
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2986 Surname: HYLAND Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36962
Option: B Organisation: Response: North of Wimborne Road
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2328 Surname: JENKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06888
Option: B Organisation: Dorset County Council Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2876 Surname: JONES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36663
Option: B Organisation: Response: As suggested on the playing fields north of Wimborne Road
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2750 Surname: JONES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36336
Option: B Organisation: Response: The site where the council allotments are.
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2127 Surname: JONES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34296
Option: B Organisation: Alderholt Parish Plan Steering Group Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2899 Surname: JOYCE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36725
Option: B Organisation:

Response: The playing field is sufficiently large to take the school and still leave significant area for recreation.

General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2853 Surname: KING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36605
Option: B Organisation:

Response: Corfe Mullen recreation ground

General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2894 Surname: KINGSMILL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36710
Option: B Organisation:

Response: As above but preserving majority of playing fields.

General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2895 Surname: KINGSMILL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36713
Option: B Organisation:

Response: But reserve the recreation ground for public use.

General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED3014 Surname: KITSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37037
Option: B Organisation:

Response: Uncertainty about Corfe Hills admissions means don't know what kind of school - so not immediately must be central.

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2872 Surname: LEWIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36652
Option: B Organisation:

Response: Recreation ground and share facilities

General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2987 Surname: LOADER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36965
Option: B Organisation:

Response: Playing fields

General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2897 Surname: MACEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36719
Option: B Organisation:

Response: Utilise part of Corfe Mullen recreation ground, the latter is used by a small percentage of the village population.

General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED3004 Surname: MAIDMENT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37011
Option: B Organisation:

Response: On land north of Wimborne Road opposite existing school.

General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2826 Surname: MALPASS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36541
Option: B Organisation:

Response: North of Wimborne Road

General comments:

Issue: UE4 **Contact ID:** XED2927 **Surname:** MARCHANT **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36793
Option: B **Organisation:** []

Response: New build on playing field site to north of Wimborne Road similar to previous plans drawn up by school governors. Consideration given to preserving the old building for use by British Legion and or Red Cross.

General comments: []

Issue: UE4 **Contact ID:** XED2807 **Surname:** MARSDEN **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36486
Option: B **Organisation:** []

Response: If the school was to be moved could the original features be used in a new building?

General comments: []

Issue: UE4 **Contact ID:** XED3012 **Surname:** MARSH **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-37032
Option: B **Organisation:** []

Response: Opposite present site in Wimborne Road in disused gravel pit or in Lord Wimborne's field.

General comments: []

Issue: UE4 **Contact ID:** XED3015 **Surname:** MARSHALL **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-37040
Option: B **Organisation:** []

Response: Other side of Wimborne Road behind Violet Farm. We would like the school to stay where it is but how do you build a new school and provide a school all on the same site. Agree Lockyers school is worn out and ideally a new school could be built on the same site, but where do the pupils go during the rebuild. The only option appears to be to build a new school over the road unless temporary classrooms could be sited across the road whilst the school is rebuilt. The land behind Violet Farm could be used for development.

General comments: []

Issue: UE4 **Contact ID:** XED2846 **Surname:** MCDONAGH **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36589
Option: B **Organisation:** []

Response: Area adjoining Corfe Mullen recreation ground.

General comments: []

Issue:	UE4	Contact ID:	XED2839	Surname:	MIDDLEDITCH	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36574
Option:	B	Organisation:					
Response:	Corfe Mullen recreation ground and that land used to create new homes.						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE4	Contact ID:	XED2847	Surname:	MOGER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36592
Option:	B	Organisation:					
Response:	Land adjoining Corfe Mullen recreation ground						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE4	Contact ID:	XED2833	Surname:	MORRISON	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36561
Option:	B	Organisation:					
Response:	Recreation ground						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE4	Contact ID:	XED2556	Surname:	NASH	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-23500
Option:	B	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:	A replacement for Lockyer's School is urgently needed (they are using the same 'temporary' structures I went through 30+ years ago). The School site provides the potential for 80-100 new houses to be built, within the existing settlement boundary, with potentially a small courtyard of employment use and a new community facility (via the re-use of the historic school building). This would have the significant benefits of funding education improvements, reducing green belt land-take in the Waterloo Valley, a better integrated mixed development, better prospects for underpinning the use of nearby shops and facilities and probably less highway change.						

Issue:	UE4	Contact ID:	XED2924	Surname:	NELSON	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36784
Option:	B	Organisation:					
Response:	On part of the playing fields north of Wimborne Road.						
General comments:							

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2770 Surname: PRANTL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36386
Option: B Organisation:

Response: Replacing the school to the north of Wimborne Road sounds feasible as you suggest the housing would then be quite central and would hopefully enable any new children to the area to be able to walk to school rather than driving through the village and lanes or along Wareham Road.

General comments: It is some 20 - 25 years since our sons attended Lockyers School, we considered the school to be totally outdated all those years ago so it surely must be at the least as your leaflet states 'outworn' now. If we have to have more properties built surely it would be favourable if our school children could in some way benefit from the plan. This would maybe soften the blow to many of us who are anti these developments. Encroachment on our green fields, we have lived in this area for over 40 years (and I personally over 50 years). Once was the time we could emerge from a side road onto Wareham Road quite easily, now there is a constant stream of traffic which will only get worse. We also feel as regular users that Waterloo Road is already dangerous enough.

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2882 Surname: PRICE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36681
Option: B Organisation:

Response: Nearby in the Wimborne Road area.

General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2962 Surname: RANFORD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36891
Option: B Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED0938 Surname: REVILL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36707
Option: B Organisation:

Response: Playiing field north of Wimborne Road

General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2893 Surname: REVILL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36705
Option: B Organisation:

Response: Playing fields north of Wimborne Road

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2810 Surname: SMEDLEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36495
Option: B Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED3016 Surname: SMITH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37043
Option: B Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2815 Surname: SMITH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36510
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Land next to the recreation ground.
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2818 Surname: SMITH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36518
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Playing fields
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2878 Surname: SMITH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36669
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Recreation ground
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2880 Surname: TAYLOR Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36676
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Playing fields north of Wimborne Road
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2808 Surname: THOMPSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36489
Option: B Organisation:
Response: As suggested above on the playing fields.
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2940 Surname: TREVETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36829
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Between Naked Cross and Holme Bush Inn.
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2938 Surname: TREVETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36823
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Between Naked Cross and Holme Bush Inn. If it were to go to recreation ground would not support unless suitable new recreation ground created first.
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2877 Surname: TUDOR-THOMAS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36666
Option: B Organisation:
Response: North of Wimborne Road
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2863 Surname: TURNER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36626
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Corfe Mullen recreation ground.
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2827 Surname: VERNON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36544
Option: B Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2748 Surname: VICKERS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36331
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Adjoining Recreation ground.
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2710 Surname: WALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32496
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2726 Surname: WALLIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34852
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2813 Surname: WOOD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36505
Option: B Organisation:

Response: Lockyers school needs replacing and it should be done with housing developments in mind and the possibility of proper shopping facilities into the future. This would make for sustainability, maybe in due course we might get some jobs as well.

General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2763 Surname: WOOD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36370
Option: B Organisation:

Response: Lockyers school should be moved and relocated eg to Corfe Mullen recreation ground and that land used to create new homes.

General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2896 Surname: WRIGHT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36716
Option: B Organisation:

Response: A new school could be built on the ground next to the allotments it would also be next to the village playing fields.

General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2284 Surname: ADAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26782
Option: C Organisation: Nature Watch Corfe Mullen

Response:

General comments: Playing field / rec

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2741 Surname: DAWSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35362
Option: C Organisation: Citizens Advice Bureau

Response: School could be propose built within new development in the northern part of Waterloo Valley.

General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2992 Surname: GOODRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36979
Option: C Organisation:

Response: On the allotment site, also first grassed area adjacent. Pardys Hill for allotments resiting.

General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2297 Surname: HOSKINSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03251
Option: C Organisation: Canford Estate (represented by Savills)

Response: The option of refurbishing and replacing existing school buildings on the present site should be considered. If the school is to be re-located, the playing fields to the north of Wimbourne Road could provide a suitable site for the buildings, with the retention of the existing school playing fields.

General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2328 Surname: JENKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06889
Option: C Organisation: Dorset County Council

Response: Site close to present location

General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2556 Surname: NASH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23501
Option: C Organisation:

Response:

General comments: With a clear vision and community support it would be appropriate for a new school to be located in the green belt to the north side of Wimborne Road (the new school could have dual use of the recreation ground facilities).

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2710 Surname: WALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32497
Option: C Organisation:

Response:

General comments: on part of the playing fields north of Wimborne Road

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED3020 Surname: ANONYMOUS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37061
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: The school should be re-built on the present site.

General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2996 Surname: BOUGH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36987
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Lockyers school should not be demolished it could be extended and then make it a listed building. We have lost to many old buildings in Corfe Mullen, The Mount Pleasant, The Towers and now Pardys the bakers. How amny more, all these builings should have been listed.

General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED0902 Surname: HASKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15254
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: It is difficult to see how relocating the school is actually going to assisst housing provision, as a new site for the school is going to have to be found. However, if the school is relocated it is vital that the old historic school building is conserved for an appropriate use and placed within an attractive setting in any new development.

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2870 Surname: HOUSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36646
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Wimborne Road

General comments:

Issue: UE4 Contact ID: XED2746 Surname: MORGAN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36328
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: N/A

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2800 **Surname:** ALLEN **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36464
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____
Response: New shops bakers and butchers
Buses.
General comments: _____

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2958 **Surname:** ALLISON **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36878
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____
Response: Existing roads and supporting infrastructure are totally inadequate to support proposed developments.
General comments: _____

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2959 **Surname:** ALLISON **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36881
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____
Response: New infrastructure,roads,medical centre and schools.
General comments: _____

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2919 **Surname:** ANDREWS **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36769
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____
Response: Roads to permit reasonable flow of traffic for existing residents.
Jobs for existing residents to cut down on traffic congestion caused by those who work outside the village.
Police station (manned) to deal with existing levels of anti social behaviour and crime. Confining older people to their homes after dark. Schools,doctors,shopping,library, bus services, fire station etc.
General comments: If this proposed development is allowed to go ahead then the existing problems we have mentioned are going to be multiplied many times over. Reducing the quality of our living standards to the level of some third world countries. 700 houses = 1400 extra vehicles,1400 extra jobs and more gangs and crime.

Issue: UE5	Contact ID: XED2920	Surname: ANDREWS	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36773
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Roads to permit reasonable flow of traffic for existing residents. Jobs for existing residents to cut down on traffic congestion caused by those who work outside the village. Police station (manned) to deal with existing levels of anti social behaviour and crime. Confining older people to their homes after dark. Schools,doctors,shopping,library, bus services, fire station etc.		
General comments:	If this proposed development is allowed to go ahead then the existing problems we have mentioned are going to be multiplied many times over. Reducing the quality of our living standards to the level of some third world countries. 700 houses = 1400 extra vehicles,1400 extra jobs and more gangs and crime.		

Issue: UE5	Contact ID: XED3021	Surname: ANONYMOUS	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37063
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Silly question everything definat No		
General comments:			

Issue: UE5	Contact ID: XED3019	Surname: ANONYMOUS	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37057
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Reduction in traffic		
General comments:			

Issue: UE5	Contact ID: XED3020	Surname: ANONYMOUS	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37060
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Consideration needs to be given to transport,roads,schools,shopping and leisure facilities. The A31 from Corfe Mullen to Ferndown cannot cope at present. As far as Corfe Mullen is concerned something needs to be done about the lorries and other vehicles using Pardys Hill/Broadmoor Road as a cut through/rat run.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2858 **Surname:** ARMITAGE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36616

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: Improved public transport including school shuttles to Poole/Wimborne/Broadstone especially to minimise use of private cars at peak times.
Extended shops, medical services and other community facilities at both ends of Wareham Road.
More dedicated policing targeting especially youth hooliganism and crime.

General comments: []

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2961 **Surname:** ARNOLD **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36887

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: A transport system that would enable the working population to attend their place of work easily without having to use personal transport.

General comments: []

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2745 **Surname:** BABER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36324

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: Better roads

General comments: []

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED3000 **Surname:** BAKER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36998

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: More buses to Bournemouth, doctors services, pre school nurseries and first school places.

General comments: []

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2825 **Surname:** BAKER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36537

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: Bus services and NHS dentists

General comments: []

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED2777 Surname: BALCHIN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36407
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Bus service to Wimborne

General comments: I am user of a mobility scooter so find using footpaths useful but not if large concrete bollards are placed there, as in Springdale Road. The metal frames used elsewhere can be negotiated. Any routes that make journey time less is a bonus as you tire easily. Please check routes still accessible for scooter or wheelchair.

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED2834 Surname: BARLOW Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36563
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Redesign junction at Pardys Hill and Blandford Road.
Allow access/egress direct to A31 from Northern site adjacent Brog Street.
Pavement on road past St Huberts to A31

General comments: We already suffer very low water pressure at times. Mains water and drainage need to be considered particularly as some properties have no mains drainage but have septic tanks. All properties built must have adequate off road parking (2 per property) and must include affordable housing for young and old. Small apartment blocks if tastefully done would be acceptable.

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED2805 Surname: BEARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36480
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Public transport improvements to Wimborne and Poole.
Better access roads, more facilities for teenagers and more shops and parking

General comments:

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED2762 Surname: BEST Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36367
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: The infrastructure of Corfe Mullen is incapable of catering for any more housing, roads, drains and schools are already over stretched. Rush hour in the mornings are at saturation point and to add to this is bordering on insane. The destruction of the rich wild life would be criminally uncaring, we do not want or need any more housing.

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2926 **Surname:** CHAMPION **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36788
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: Recreational facilities, schools, doctors, dentists, shops for food stuffs etc.
 Nature reserves to preserve whats left, green belt must be kept green with flora and fauna, trees, library, bridle paths for horse riding and cycling. Fields to accommodate horses, ponies, cattle etc. and wildlife needs support to.

General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2871 **Surname:** CHELLINGWORTH **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36648
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: Transport and a centre (use art of old Lockyers site)

General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED1432 **Surname:** CHITTENDEN **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-03429
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Environment TAG (East Dorset)

Response: The retail and social services at Corfe Mullen do not form a true village centre but are spread out along the ridgeway. This makes it difficult for most residents to shop without using a car and is therefore unsustainable. These services should be consolidated and a definite village centre created before further housing developments are built.

General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2796 **Surname:** CHRISTIAN **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36455
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: Infrastructure - I do not believe the existing infrastructure is capable of supporting the new housing. The closest proximity to the A31 would be the least bad, provide a wildlife corridor as part of it.

General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2757 **Surname:** CHURCHES **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36354
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response: Butchers shop, more doctors and keep the 2 post offices.
General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2824 **Surname:** COOKSON **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36534
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response: Road infrastructure not good enough.
Doctors,school,banks,policing , shops,petrol stations etc - you would need to develop a complete town.
General comments: The whole idea of the urban expansion of Corfe Mullen has not really been though through properly. By adding extra housing the already congested roads will become even more of a gridlock at various times. There will not be enough schooling or basic facilities to support the influx of people and cars etc. It will be extra pressure on police, waste collection and other servicesand destroy any community values that exist. As more and more people come and go turning a once friendly little village into the same cold unfriendly and faceless towns created due to expansion throughout the country. I wont even comment on crime.

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED3003 **Surname:** COURTNEY **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-37007
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response: Doctors,dentists, more shops and increased bus service.
General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2821 **Surname:** CRISP **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36526
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response: I am very concerned at the planning developments, the roads in Corfe Mullen could not sustain more development. The narrow country lanes are already very busy. The new development should have links to good roads able to take extra traffic.
General comments:

Issue: UE5	Contact ID: XED2965	Surname: DAMON	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36904
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Frequent buses, road improvements and social facilities.		
General comments:	Wherever situated a viable infrastructure will need to be planned and costed along with the development. Where will the new and existing population be employed and will industry be brought in and where will it be sited. Will people commute long distances by car as many already do. This highly inefficient, costly and environmentally unfriendly practice is growing less acceptable by the day with excessive pressure on the infrastructure even now. Perhaps we should bring back the railway that Beeching so carelessly threw away.		

Issue: UE5	Contact ID: XED2944	Surname: DAMON	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36841
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Frequent buses to main towns and similar shopping facilities to Broadstone ie butchers, baker etc. Dentists, doctors and education needs to be met.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE5	Contact ID: XED2331	Surname: DAVENPORT	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07440
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: Dorset County Council		
Response:	Bus service to employment and recreational centres Bournemouth and Ferndown Industrial Estate		
General comments:			

Issue: UE5	Contact ID: XED2819	Surname: DAVIS	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36520
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Shops - without more shopping there will be more pressure on roads as people drive to alrge supermarkets. Where would there be more spaces for shops apart from the new development. Will the present school be able to cope with the influx of new pupils.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE5	Contact ID: XED2741	Surname: DAWSON	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35338
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: Citizens Advice Bureau		
Response:	Transport to co-incide with work and evening leisure. Post offices and evening leisure activities to keep youngsters occupied. Organised sports in evenings eg using school facilities		
General comments:	Bearing in mind there are no banks in Corfe Mullen it will be vital to maintain both post offices one at each end of the village. Please make representations to Post Office on this.		

Issue: UE5	Contact ID: XED2931	Surname: DEADMAN	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36804
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Most needed - adequate roads to accommodate increased traffic volumes and improved bus service to tempt people to use public transport and leave their cars at home.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE5	Contact ID: XED2983	Surname: DENNIS	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36953
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	More car parking outside library and village hall now that a number of spaces have been taken up with recycling.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE5	Contact ID: XED2765	Surname: DERRICK	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36375
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Retention of Corfe Mullen post office and if possible partial diversion of traffic from Wimborne Road.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE5	Contact ID: XED2981	Surname: DIX	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36944
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	More facilities for youngsters, more policing and improved road access onto the major road ways.		
General comments:	The congestion in the morning on the Old Wareham Road and Pardys Hill junction on the A31 would need attention, also the bottle neck between Springfield Road traffic lights and Broadstone.		

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2886 **Surname:** FAGAN **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36692

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: Facilities for youth and elderly.
NHS dentist

General comments: []

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2787 **Surname:** FELL **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36436

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: Doctors, dentist and decent supermarket and shops.
Continue to keep library and buses.
Reduce road speeds to 20mph

General comments: Speed from increased volume of traffic on Blandford particularly from Brog Street turning to Lockyers school excessive in many cases - needs some effective speed reduction enforced. The road surface is appalling and now road sweepers in use every couple of weeks to reduce loose stones - more expense.

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2861 **Surname:** FISHER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36621

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: Improved shopping/retail facilities, provision for banking more than cash machines.
Improved leisure facilities eg there are only 2 public houses.

General comments: []

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2802 **Surname:** FRANKLIN **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36471

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: Better public transport.
Pull in to overtake raffic turning right into Higher Merley Road.
Somewhere for teenagers to go in the evening and weekends.

General comments: I believe we are doing our children and grandchildren and all future generations a grave dis service by not supplying them with decent affordable homes and leisure facilities also poublc transport. It is no good having lovely scenery if you don't have a decent standard of living. How are youngsters supposed to leave home and start a family if they cant afford a house. Its time we sttarded looking after the youth of today and stop pondering to the 'grey vale'. Our kids are bored and disheartened and need help form us. It is our duty to look after all future generations.

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED1205 **Surname:** HARRINGTON **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36947

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: Road improvements to A31 and connection to any mass building.
Dentists, schools and employment

General comments: _____

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2752 **Surname:** HARRIS **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36340

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: Dentists, doctors and roads

General comments: _____

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2816 **Surname:** HART **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36512

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: Decent bus service not to be taken away as soon as building work complete.

General comments: _____

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2557 **Surname:** HAVELOCK **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-23527

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: _____

General comments: Within the centre of the settlement, around the Wareham Road/Blandford Road roundabout facilities and services should be enhanced and added to in order to provide the services needed to support the urban extension. Existing unbuilt frontage on Blandford Road adjacent the garage is ideally suited to accommodate a range of commercial uses including retail office and sui generic uses

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2954 **Surname:** HEALEY **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36869

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: Parking - when will councils realise they cannot legislate people out of their cars.
Road improvements.

General comments: _____

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED2804 Surname: HELLIER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36477
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Bus service and better middle school
General comments:

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED2442 Surname: HELLIER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18122
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Highways Agency
Response:
General comments: Any infrastructure improvements to the A31 that are required as a result of the Corfe Mullen urban extension would need to be fully funded by the development, as the Agency does not have the funds in place for such infrastructure requirements

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED2941 Surname: HEMSWORTH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36833
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Shops,schools,doctors surgeries,roads and transport links.
General comments:

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED2828 Surname: HENOCQ Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36546
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Sewerage and drains, roundabouts, roads 'how to get to Poole and work at rush times' advice? And more schools.
General comments:

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED2842 Surname: HEWINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36579
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Improved bus service, larger medical centre and more NHS dental services.
Continued funding of our library service and new building for Lockyers school.
General comments:

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED2904 Surname: HILL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36738
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: More shops and better transport
General comments:

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED2935 Surname: HOAR Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36814
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Buses especially into the evening for young people to get to Tower Park and back.
Through traffic to be discouraged by signs and calming measures. A31 widened from Lake Gates to Canford Bottom.
Cycling to be made safer and recreation area at Naked Cross end of village. Police presence greatly increased and litter patrols at black spots.
Currently dangerous cycling up Blandford Road from Broadstone. This is prime route and should have proper cycle lanes preferably off the road. Also to Wimborne, Lake Gates very dangerous could have crossing lights or could we pay pay for access to the bridge used by cattle. Pavement from Hillview to Holme Bush could be joint use with cyclists.
General comments:

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED2026 Surname: HOLLAND Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36900
Option: GENERAL Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: More allotments, larger youth club, more green spaces and informal recreation, more shops,café and usable footpaths/cycleways in the lanes.
General comments:

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED2026 Surname: HOLLAND Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27830
Option: GENERAL Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response:
General comments: Dualling A31 at least as far as A350
More allotments
More shops
More open spaces
Cycle lanes
more buses

Issue: UE5	Contact ID: XED2778	Surname: HORN	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36410
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Upgrade A31 from Ferndown to Dorchester to dual carriageway. This is already heavily congested and new development would worsen the situation. Reinstatement of appropriate bus service. Youth centres/facilities for young people, this is inadequate for current youth population.		
General comments:	There is a real need to upgrade A31 to dual carriageway (from Ferndown to Dorchester). This road suffers from extremely heavy congestion on a daily basis. Any addition to the local population will exacerbate the situation. This needs to be addressed. New housing must be attractive in design and in keeping with the general look of the village.		

Issue: UE5	Contact ID: XED2606	Surname: HOWARD	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26072
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: Corfe Mullen P C		
Response:			
General comments:	Dualling A31 (past C M to A350) footpaths Trailways & retail outlets		

Issue: UE5	Contact ID: XED2822	Surname: HUGHES	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36528
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	A full major rebuild of all infrastructure, this is a village and should reflect this in the design.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE5	Contact ID: XED2806	Surname: HUGHES	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36482
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	New first and middle schools		
General comments:			

Issue: UE5	Contact ID: XED2769	Surname: HUNT	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36383
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: [REDACTED]		
Response:	Would require more public transport, more public services dentists, doctors and library. More roads to cope with increased traffic flow.		
General comments:	The impact of 700 dwellings in Corfe Mullen would result in at least 1000 more cars on the road, on an already congested road network. Families moving into Corfe Mullen would require medical services, dentists, etc and more places would be required in local schools which are already full. The provision of services would need to be implemented before the housing.		

Issue: UE5	Contact ID: XED2986	Surname: HYLAND	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36961
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: [REDACTED]		
Response:	Direct bus to Bournemouth. Improve post offices and general stores, supermarkets and library. Provide ironmongers		
General comments:	[REDACTED]		

Issue: UE5	Contact ID: XED2612	Surname: JEFFERIES	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37054
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: D C C		
Response:	Recreation ground with space for sport. Schools, buses, faith facilities and small industrial units.		
General comments:	Plenty of green spaces and trees, investment in schools and additional space for sport and recreation. Investment in roads and additional youth facilities, small industrial units and more allotments.		

Issue: UE5	Contact ID: XED2612	Surname: JEFFERIES	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29975
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: D C C		
Response:	[REDACTED]		
General comments:	more buses, cycle lanes, allotments, youth facilities , open spaces, foot foot paths		

Issue:	UE5	Contact ID:	XED2328	Surname:	JENKINS	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-06890
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	Dorset County Council				
Response:	Employment and improved bus services						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE5	Contact ID:	XED2750	Surname:	JONES	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36335
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:					
Response:	Everything - schooling, doctors, shops, public transport, playgrounds, libraries and of course better infrastructure.						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE5	Contact ID:	XED3005	Surname:	JONES	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-37013
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:					
Response:	Improved public transport directly to Wimborne - not via Broadstone						
General comments:	If the Regional Spatial Strategy considers that Corfe Mullen is part of the Poole conurbation, then consideration should be made to further development where there is close easy access to the major trunk roads without infringing on the existing road networks in the village. The existing roads through the centre of Corfe Mullen are inadequate and can never be improved enough to take the impact of additional traffic from the suggested 700 new homes. Traffic needs to connect easily to the major trunk roads so homes on its furthest perimeters (without infilling) eg around St Huberts church area to connect to the A31, or the Naked Cross area to connect with the A35 would have less of a traffic and environmental impact on the village. Also there are no steep hills to negotiate in these areas. Realistically the new home owners will be working outside the village and require good transport links to main towns.						

Issue:	UE5	Contact ID:	XED2902	Surname:	JONES	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-36732
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:					
Response:	Transport - more buses						
General comments:							

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2876 **Surname:** JONES **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36662

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: Increased public transport with links between Wimborne and Poole

General comments: I am totally against this development as I do not believe the village has the facilities to support 700 new homes - doctors surgery, shops, schools and petrol station are already at capacity, bus services are reduced and the library still under threat of closure.

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2903 **Surname:** JONES **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36735

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: Buses

General comments: _____

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2875 **Surname:** JOWSEY **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36659

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: Retention of new Wimborne Flyer bus service is essential. New development should be located to be close to village hall, supermarket and library.

General comments: The priority should be to locate the new development so that it is convenient for the existing community, retail and transport facilities that already exist in Corfe Mullen.

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2899 **Surname:** JOYCE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36724

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: There should be no development of green belt land in line with local wishes.

General comments: _____

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2853 **Surname:** KING **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36604

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: Additional schools and health services.
A big improvement to public transport and roads.
Additional shops with parking

General comments: _____

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2894 **Surname:** KINGSMILL **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36709
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: 700 houses = at least 1400 people, where is the infrastructure to support them - doctors, dentists,schools, roads, facilities and amenities. Also nature conservation must not be ignored.

General comments: _____

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2895 **Surname:** KINGSMILL **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36712
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: Need more public transport and facilities for all the extra people moving in.

General comments: _____

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED3014 **Surname:** KITSON **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-37036
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: Widen B3074 to A31, dual carriageway A31 at least to Lake Gates.
Small shop/workshop units to allow town centre to develop.
Bigger and better school, retain post office and extend library. Provide youth meeting places.

General comments: School problems if QE is catchment secondary school all pupils need motor transport.
Length of village requires many people to use motor transport so more parking required at shopping centre. Recent improvements to bus services must be retained and better centralisation of facilities and shops could help.

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED3008 **Surname:** KWAN **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-37021
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: New school and suitable bus links to Wimborne and Poole

General comments: _____

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2772 **Surname:** LAISHLEY **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36392
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: Doctors surgery at the Windgreen end of Corfe Mullen.

General comments: _____

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2256 **Surname:** LAMBERT **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-02383

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: A Health Centre to provide small ops - blood tests etc other health-related services at the north end of the village, thus avoiding car travel where possible.

General comments: []

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2835 **Surname:** LANGFORD-BROWN **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36566

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: Improved infrastructure, priority road links.
More medical facilities and dentists.
More buses

General comments: []

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2767 **Surname:** LEARY **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36379

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: Schools, doctors, shops and transport

General comments: []

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2799 **Surname:** LEE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36461

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: Public transport, village parking and road widening

General comments: []

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2207 **Surname:** LEE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36429

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: Cycleways, footpaths to lanes and 30mph limit in all lanes.
The lanes around Corfe Mullen need improving and all should be 30mph or 20mph limit particularly Waterloo Valley. Pathways required along all 30mph stretches and cycle ways.

General comments: []

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2897 **Surname:** MACEY **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36718
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response: Public transport ie buses. Medical and retail facilities
General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED3004 **Surname:** MAIDMENT **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-37010
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response: Major road improvements, extending A31 dual carriageway from Ferndown to Wimborne Road turn off. Flyover at Ham Lane and dual carriageway from Gravel Hill roundabout to Darbys Corner. New health centre and extension of existing schools.
General comments: Corfe Mullen has a very poor infrastructure which has not kept pace with recent developments. Future expansion should be in the area alongside the A31 and Blandford Road as this would probably provide the lowest outlay for road improvements and communications to Wimborne, Poole and Ringwood/Southampton. Investment for the roads via the Lower Blandford Road (which is currently subsidising and breaking up) and Old Wareham Road and other supplying roads may prove to be costly and would only serve to connect to Poole and Wareham.

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2826 **Surname:** MALPASS **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36540
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response: Extra/larger doctors surgery and more shops
General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2927 **Surname:** MARCHANT **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36792
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response: Improved and more frequent public transport options.
More car parking near shops and takeaway outlets at centre/south of village.
General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2807 **Surname:** MARSDEN **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36485
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response: New School, road improvements and public transport
General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED3012 **Surname:** MARSH **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-37031
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: Improved road access particularly from A31.
The recently reintroduction of a bus service to Poole and Wimborne may need to be enlarged.

General comments: Access to main village would also need drastic improvement. Would provision of new housing put undue strain on present sewer and storm water facilities. There is insufficient industry in the village to absorb significant numbers of workers so adding extra congestion at rush hour on already crowded roads. Are we likely to lose the post office near Lockyers school before any of this development takes place.

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED3015 **Surname:** MARSHALL **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-37039
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: A decent bus service to Poole and Wimborne.
A properly run shop at the co-op premises - co-op is expensive and badly managed.

General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2967 **Surname:** MAXTED **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36909
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: We do not want any further development.

General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2846 **Surname:** MCDONAGH **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36588
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: Better roads to prevent traffic from using the country lanes.

General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2922 **Surname:** MCNEIL **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36779
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: More areas for teenagers to meet and gather

General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED0296 **Surname:** MEADOWS **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-20954
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** National Trust

Response: _____

General comments: Improved highway infrastructure

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2874 **Surname:** MERCIERE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36656
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: Very concerned about impact on local schools and roads therefore more and bigger schools and correct infrastructure roads etc.

General comments: We have just recently moved to Corfe Mullen from Parkstone specifically to be somewhere with good school and to be in semi rural location. We are really worried that our son will struggle to get a place in our preferred school, we are also really concerned about all the extra traffic and how the area will cope with this. Finally we hope that our council tax bill will not have to go up as a result of extending Corfe Mullen providing greater services - that would be outrageous.

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2721 **Surname:** MICHAEL **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-34557
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: _____

General comments: Corfe Mullen is well served but lacks a centre

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2839 **Surname:** MIDDLEDITCH **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36573
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: Doctors and dentists.
Public transport and more community police officers to patrol Corfe Mullen area.

General comments: _____

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2847 **Surname:** MOGER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36591
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: More public transport

General comments: _____

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED2556 Surname: NASH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23502
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: Fundamentally this question should be about what the development can do for the facilities and transport for the whole village not what is needed just for the development.
See the Corfe Mullen Parish Plan as a starting point.
Other comments are as follows:
o Existing facilities and services need underpinning before new ones are provided (capacity and demand needs to be understood).
o A replacement for Lockyer's School and a review of future education needs is required urgently as this inter-relates with the fundamental question of where and how much housing development should be permitted in the green belt.
o Green Networks and SANGS are needed.
o An audit of renewable energy potential should be undertaken.
o SUDs are needed to ensure development surface water impact is at least neutral.
o A network of routes is required through and around the new housing to maintain ecological, physical, and visual links between the village and the open countryside.
o Corfe Mullen has limited employment provision so the opportunity for small business start-up units and investment in (for instance) building trades education/training needs to be explored.
o Opportunities for local resources, supplies, trade and labour to benefit from the development needs to be explored.
o Funding potential for environmental works and streetscape (village heart, gateways and identity) to be explored.

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED2924 Surname: NELSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36783
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: There is so little employment in Corfe Mullen the major need is for much improved roadway to Poole.

General comments: If only it were possible to survey all house holders in Corfe Mullen and find out once and for all where everybody has to travel each day to reach their work place and how many children there are going to be needing early education.

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED1189 Surname: O'CONNELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36694
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Street lighting between Lake Gates and Julians Bridge

General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2891 **Surname:** OSBOURNE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36700
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2088 **Surname:** PACKHAM **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-23097
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** White Young Green

Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2881 **Surname:** PARFFETT **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36678
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED0917 **Surname:** PARKES **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-37051
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2868 **Surname:** PARKES **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36638
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2898 **Surname:** PARROTT **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36721
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2248 **Surname:** PATRICK **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-17815
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Harry J Palmer & Perry Family Trust (represented by Pro Vision Planning and Design)
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2974 **Surname:** PEAKE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36925
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2885 **Surname:** PHARAOH **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36689
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2946 **Surname:** PICKER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36849

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: Corfe Mullen really uses Broadstone and Wimborne facilities to agument the low level in Corfe Mullen itself. It is very difficult to see how things can be improved without major change. Roads are a problem and will be more so, but before drastic plans are made please consider the short morning and afternoon periods when this is so, school holidays are no problem. Don't do it.

General comments: []

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2867 **Surname:** PICKERING **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36635

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: Additional improvement in access to A31 and A350 (These are main holiday routes to South).
More doctors surgeries

General comments: []

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2823 **Surname:** POTTS **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36531

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: More buses and larger doctors surgery

General comments: []

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2770 **Surname:** PRANTL **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36385

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: No more traffic on our once quiet roads, 700 new houses is far to many for our lanes as each house will have at least one car per family.

General comments: []

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2882 **Surname:** PRICE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36680

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: Development may require additional shops and medical facilities.

General comments: []

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED2962 Surname: RANFORD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36890
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Bus service and shops
General comments:

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED2928 Surname: REYNOLDS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36795
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Transport (buses), keep post office, doctors surgery and better schooling facilities.
General comments:

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED2883 Surname: RICHARDS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36683
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Better roads and bigger schools.
Improved drainage and sewerage facilities.
More shopping and parking.
General comments:

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED2775 Surname: ROBERTS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36401
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Doctors surgery is insufficient and schools not able to cope. Bus services too poor to accommodate more people.
General comments:

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED2776 Surname: ROBINSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36404
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Public transport must be pre arranged for any new developments with traffic calming to deter the increasing rat runners.
General comments: HGV's are now much more common along the Blandford Road and the Windgreen roundabout has become a traffic choke point. If this additional housing is going to undemocratically forced upon us, then compensation in the form of upgraded transport infrastructure must be made a pre condition. The parade of shops would also require an upgrade.

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2795 **Surname:** RODGERS **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36453
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response: A bus link to Kinson, Winton, Charminster, Blandford and in due course to the airport as well.
General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2801 **Surname:** SCHOOLING **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36466
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response: Improved, cheaper and more regular bus service.
A place for young people to meet and somewhere for the older person to meet with friends.
General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2968 **Surname:** SEARLEY **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36912
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response: Present shops, doctors surgery, schools, roads, paths and hedgerows all kept up to date and well maintained to provide the proper requirements for the people of the village. Like wie with the sports and recreation ground. Provide wheely bins.
General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2754 **Surname:** SEWELL **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36345
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response: Bridle and cycle way along Broadmoor Road and Waterloo Road
General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED3025 **Surname:** SEWILL **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-37217
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response: Bridle ways, cycle ways and footpaths along Broadmoor Road and Waterloo Road.
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2948 **Surname:** SNOOK **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36851
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: Better transport facilities and buses. All infrastructure will need to be re-enforced and made more effective. Refuse/green bins needs a big rethink, more communal areas and facilities. A bigger local doctors surgery.

General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2764 **Surname:** STOCKS **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36372
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: Improved bus service and new roads or improvement in particular at the roundabout by the garage.

General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2880 **Surname:** TAYLOR **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36675
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: More public transport and reduce traffic along the country lanes to allow people and horseriders to enjoy what is left of Corfe Mullen.

General comments: It is important to maintain the country lanes, Waterloo Road and Knoll Lane to allow people and horseriders to enjoy what is left of Corfe Mullen. The nearer the houses are built to the A31 the better, as this will reduce some of the traffic through the village. Candys Lane and Corfe Halt Close area would be a good place to build some of the houses as it has access.

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2803 **Surname:** TAYLOR **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36474
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: Affordable and accessible public transport
Central services

General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2786 **Surname:** TEECE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36433
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: Improved road system and more off road parking

General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2808 **Surname:** THOMPSON **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36488
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: Wider roads and better drainage in the development area.
NHS dentists.

General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED1892 **Surname:** THORNE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-23366
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Thornes Chartered Surveyors

Response:

General comments: better public transport

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2784 **Surname:** TIMMS **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36426
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: Easy traffic access from the main roads to the new development.

General comments:

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2925 **Surname:** TOOKER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36786
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: Existing problems will be increased, 700 homes will bring double in vehicles and more opportunities for crime.

General comments: We do need roads fit for reasonable traffic flow for present dwellers and adequate policing to kern anti social behaviour and also so that seniors can feel safe on the streets at night.
To ease traffic congestion already being experienced employment opportunities are needed for present local people. Present problems will only increase with development and quality of living standards decrease.

Issue: UE5 **Contact ID:** XED2938 **Surname:** TREVETT **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-36822
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: Road improvements, expansion of healthcare facilities.
Improvement to electric, gas, water and phone services.

General comments:

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED2940 Surname: TREVETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36828
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Better road links, more gas, water, electric, telephone and education facilities.
More shopping in the village and additional healthcare facilities.
General comments:

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED2854 Surname: TUCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36607
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Better roads and footpaths. More schools, doctors, dentists and other medical facilities.
More and much better public transport. More police and a greater police presence. Retain both post offices and the existing excellent recreation ground which will become even more essential as will facilities for young people.
General comments: Inadequate number of infant and middle schools. Inadequate medical facilities eg doctors, dentists etc. Inadequate roads and other infrastructure, drainage, transport facilities etc. The area teems with wildlife and their habitats will be destroyed. With lack of local employment travelling will necessitate much increase in traffic, both private and commercial which the village will not be able to sustain. This is also a horseriding area and the roads and lanes are regularly used by heavy vehicles as well as farm machinery, tractors, haycarts etc.

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED2877 Surname: TUDOR-THOMAS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36665
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Better and safer roads, possibly a new access to the northern Waterloo Valley through Newtown.
General comments:

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED2863 Surname: TURNER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36625
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Roundabout at bus stop at top of Pardys Hill and Lockyers school to one way system.
General comments:

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED3018 Surname: TYE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37048
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Ensure bus service is not removed along the Wimborne Road to Wimborne as it was prior to 6 April 08
General comments:

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED2755 Surname: VERGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36348
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Improved infrastructure - roads etc before development begins
General comments:

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED2827 Surname: VERNON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36543
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Better refuse collection and more recycling.
Public transport - buses
General comments:

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED3002 Surname: VIVIAN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37004
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Doctors surgery and better bus service
General comments:

Issue: UE5 Contact ID: XED2710 Surname: WALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32498
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: schools
GP surgeries
public transport

Issue: UE5	Contact ID: XED2760	Surname: WALLIS	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36361
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	A31 must be upgraded to dual carriageway. Cannot comment on capacity in the schools but imagine they are all currently full.		
General comments:	It is nonsense to expect the existing village facilities (which are few) to cater for 700 new homes which equates to about 2000 people. The major roads in the area are in need of significant upgrade. The Wimborne/Ferndown bypasses and the A31 are single carriageway and a nightmare most of the time. More housing in Corfe Mullen and in particular the northern end would make the situation intolerable.		

Issue: UE5	Contact ID: XED2809	Surname: WASTELL	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36491
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Improved road network B3074 and Wimborne Road cant cope with the volume of traffic - a new road is needed. Another doctors surgery and school		
General comments:			

Issue: UE5	Contact ID: XED2979	Surname: WEBB	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36939
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Medical facilities and better bus services.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE5	Contact ID: XED3007	Surname: WILKES	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37019
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Poor public transport, unreliable bus service. Off peak transport to Bournemouth		
General comments:			

Issue: UE5	Contact ID: XED2982	Surname: WILLETT	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36950
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	We do not need more traffic (pollution). Speed limits on lanes parking on junctions and bends.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE5	Contact ID: XED2812	Surname: WILLIAMS	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36500
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Road system, school, more shops, bigger doctors surgery or new surgery, dentists, more buses and more for teenagers.		
General comments:	No doubt you are aware that if you put affordable (I agree we do need it) housing near an area of detached houses there will be social problems, that is arguments and or anti social behaviour from both areas of residents which will require more policing etc etc research has shown this. Also good road access in and out of housing areas as driving in Corfe Mullen is already a problem through village to access the A31 (heavy slow mving congestion) which will cause possible serious risks to children and elderly mving around. Therefore moving Locykers school and putting affordable housing on this site might greatly alleviate this, as access could be made from both sides in and out from different roads.		

Issue: UE5	Contact ID: XED2774	Surname: WILLIAMS	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36398
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Better bus services, larger capacities at both first and middle schools. Both post offices and larger number of doctors.		
General comments:	With Corfe Mullen already withstanding several extensions to its boundaries, without drastic action to improve its infrastructure additional housing of the magnitude planned will only serve to exacerbate current problems. Roads that are struggling to cope with the increased volume of traffic, schools that are already oversubscribed, NHS services that are not accepting new patients. With the possibility of losing more open playing fields to rebuild Lockyers school, the increased numbers of young people moving into the area will habe less access to leisure/recreational facilities therefore leading to threat of loitering in other areas of the village.		

Issue: UE5	Contact ID: XED2955	Surname: WILSON	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36872
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Ease of traffic build up during rush hour and traffic calming along Blandford Road.		
General comments:			

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED1014 Surname: BOURTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29230
Option: A Organisation: Keep Wimborne Green

Response: Only because it was democratically voted to use this site otherwise I am against all green belt development.

General comments: The building of thousands of new houses in Wimborne area is completely unsustainable and would lead to chaos on our narrow roads and swamp our schools, doctors etc.

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED1014 Surname: BOURTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02584
Option: A Organisation: Keep Wimborne Green

Response: Agree only because it was democratically decided to build there.

General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED0273 Surname: BRADBURY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29844
Option: A Organisation: Vale of Allen Parish Council

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2410 Surname: CHITTENDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21113
Option: A Organisation:

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2576 Surname: CONSTABLE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24100
Option: A Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2577 Surname: PAYNE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24148
Option: A Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED1240 Surname: SCOTSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29041
Option: A Organisation:
Response:
General comments: Concern for wildlife seems to have been overlooked.

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2607 Surname: SHRUBB Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28076
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2182 Surname: TERRY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22780
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2042 Surname: WALLACE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27546
Option: A Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response:
General comments: Development in north west Colehill (Burts Hill) would exacerbate traffic problems in Middlehill Road. Residents working in Bournemouth would understandably opt to travel east and south (rather than west and south) to eliminate journeys through Wimborne town.

Issue:	UE6	Contact ID:	XED2230	Surname:	WEBSTER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-08741
Option:	A	Organisation:	Barratt Homes				
Response:	Agree						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE6	Contact ID:	XED2727	Surname:	WILLIS	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-34896
Option:	A	Organisation:					
Response:	As a Wimborne resident living adjacent to the Cuthbury site I can well remember some 25 years ago when the Barratt Estate was built on half the allotment site, it was then said that it was not possible to build on more of the site due to being near the river and the risk of flooding this was despite the fact that this side of the river never floods. What has changed to now make this area more suitable to build on. If this land at Cuthbury is built on special care should be taken to ensure visual impact from the approved roads to the town from A31 is not spoilt. Could the eyesore properties in Cuthbury Close council estate be included in any redevelopment. The flats there are a blight on the landscape for as far away as Corfe Mullen.						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE6	Contact ID:	XED2671	Surname:	YATES	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-29045
Option:	A	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:							

Issue:	UE6	Contact ID:	XED2601	Surname:	CHERRY	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-24773
Option:	B	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:							

Issue:	UE6	Contact ID:	XED0946	Surname:	COSSINS	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-01857
Option:	B	Organisation:					
Response:	Agree						
General comments:							

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2621 Surname: JONES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25198
Option: B Organisation:

Response:

General comments: I realize the need for more affordable housing but to create some 1700 homes in such a small area (it has been suggested 600+ homes in the field overlooked by our garden) would ruin the very nature of Wimborne which is a small market town. The traffic levels have greatly increased in recent years along the Cranborne Road and Burts Hill, more housing here would only add to this. I do not think the facilities in the town could sustain such a massive increase in population - the queues in Somerfield are legendary. A few more homes are needed perhaps but 1700 - no way. Furthermore the decision to build has been made by an unelected body - so much for democracy.

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2628 Surname: KAY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25730
Option: B Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2629 Surname: KEMPSHALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25781
Option: B Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2630 Surname: KEMPSHALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25791
Option: B Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2335 Surname: KENYON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07798
Option: B Organisation: William Lester Architects

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2583 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED1251 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2182 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2681 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2587 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2572 Surname: CORNISH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24089
Option: C Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2650 Surname: COURTNEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26895
Option: C Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2674 Surname: COWARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29056
Option: C Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED0031 Surname: DAVIES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-11923
Option: C Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2647 Surname: ELCOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26469
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE6	Contact ID: XED2665	Surname: MCCARTNEY	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28755
Option: C	Organisation:		
Response:			
General comments:			

Issue: UE6	Contact ID: XED2309	Surname: MILLER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03543
Option: C	Organisation: Stone Park Estate (represented by Terence O'Rourke)		
Response:	Agree		
General comments:	<p>The urban extension to the west and north of Wimborne should take place solely on land to the north of Walford Bridge. A single urban extension here will deliver housing and additional community facilities in close proximity to a wide range of employment, retail and leisure facilities in Wimborne Town Centre, and will therefore maximise opportunities for walking, cycling and public transport use. The land to the north of Walford Bridge is unconstrained in landscape and nature conservation terms, and an acceptable drainage strategy for the site has been devised in accordance with the Environment Agency to ensure that the development would not have any adverse impacts on the underlying groundwater protection zones. The urban extension represents an excellent opportunity to promote sustainable development and should be fully supported.</p> <p>We are opposed to the development of the Cuthbury Allotments site to the west of Wimborne. Although the site is indeed located close to the town centre, part of the site lies within the functional floodplain of the River Stour, whilst all of it is constrained in terms of PPG17 and the associated policy presumption against its development, unless various tests can be satisfied. Should the Council wish to locate some development to the west of Wimborne, then our Client wishes you to consider the development potential of just over two hectares of greenfield land on the eastern periphery of the Stone Park estate, which adjoins the urban area and which represents a much more sustainable and deliverable alternative to the Cuthbury Allotments site. Located adjacent to the main entrance to Stone Park and to the east of the principal outlook from the building, this site lies within easy walking and cycling distance of the town centre and the Queen Elizabeth School and Leisure Centre. With careful planting of the western boundary (some of which has already been implemented), we consider that it would be possible to bring forward a small sustainable urban extension to the west of Wimborne without adversely affecting the setting of the Grade II listed Stone Park House or its principal outlook. Although the site is located within the Green Belt, the Panel Report on the draft Regional Spatial Strategy acknowledges that the Green Belt boundary will need to be reviewed in order to accommodate additional residential development on sites such as this, and therefore overall, the site is less constrained than the Cuthbury Allotments site and would perform well in any comparative or sequential assessment.</p>		

Issue: UE6	Contact ID: XED2586	Surname: PAGE	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24310
Option: C	Organisation:		
Response:			
General comments:			

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue:	UE6	Contact ID:	XED2596	Surname:	HATT	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-24659
Option:	D	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:							

Issue:	UE6	Contact ID:	XED2626	Surname:	HINTON	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-25666
Option:	D	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:							

Issue:	UE6	Contact ID:	XED2592	Surname:	HOYLE	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-24456
Option:	D	Organisation:					
Response:	Specifically the area bounded by t the south west by smugglers lane, to the south east by Long Lne, to the north east by Broomhill and Gods Blessing Lane to the north west by the Holt Road this area is mainly in grid square 0202 and within the grid square should overspill the Holt Road and Long Lane t fill the grid square 0202. The area is in excess of 1.5 ks enough land for more than 3500 plots of 1/10 acre. This is simplistic and does not take into account access roads but as the target is 1700 housing units shared between Ferndown and Wimborne the area North of Colehill could easily accommodate a fair share.						
General comments:	Building on the sloes of Pamphill would present a view of modern urban development to passing traffic not desirable for a town which tries to encourage tourism, as would building North of Walford Bridge. A housing development on the plateau location of the area described around grid square 0202 would not be particularly obtrusive. A few residents would suffer and should be compensated. Another good reason for building there in the current ploitical climate, is that by no shadow of imagination could it be considered at risk of flooding.						

Issue:	UE6	Contact ID:	XED2628	Surname:	KAY	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-25735
Option:	D	Organisation:					
Response:	Some of the development should be in Colehill but that would require more facilities to be provided.						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE6	Contact ID:	XED2595	Surname:	LOWING	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-24641
Option:	D	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:							

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue:	UE6	Contact ID:	XED2683	Surname:	CLARKE	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-29201
Option:	E	Organisation:					
Response:	But not Cuthbury allotments						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE6	Contact ID:	XED2660	Surname:	CLEMENTS	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-27465
Option:	E	Organisation:					
Response:	New housing should be split up into smaller areas. There are many small pockets of green belt areas in Colehill which could be used, these are areas in close proximity to other houses in which new properties could be blended in without affecting huge areas of countryside. The impact of this on services would be minimal as only small areas would be compromised and sporadically placed. I own a piece of land which is situated at top end of Colehill Lane is opposite and adjacent to properties and has its entire boundary alongside the road. It is 5 minutes walk to the middle school, shop, bus stop and church all paved.						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE6	Contact ID:	XED2585	Surname:	COLLYER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-24286
Option:	E	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:							

Issue:	UE6	Contact ID:	XED2645	Surname:	COTTINGHAM	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-26271
Option:	E	Organisation:					
Response:	I do not think that any further housing should be built on flood plains						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE6	Contact ID:	XED2641	Surname:	CRAIGMYLE	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-26112
Option:	E	Organisation:					
Response:	Fill in between Leigh Road and Wimborne Road West						
General comments:	It is a disgrace to ruin anymore of the beautiful area around Wimborne. I run self catering holiday lets that employ local people and bring much needed tourism to the town. Any more development will be unattractive for tourists and detract from the rural setting that they have come to enjoy.						

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED1010 Surname: EARP Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25568
Option: E Organisation:
Response: A and D
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2696 Surname: FRANCIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31178
Option: E Organisation:
Response: A and area north of John Bennis garage and west of Cranborne Road
General comments: I do see the need for more affordable housing and I regret the loss of greenbelt around towns.

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2692 Surname: FRANCOMBE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31044
Option: E Organisation:
Response: A,B and C
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2619 Surname: GERRARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25088
Option: E Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2716 Surname: GLOVER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32656
Option: E Organisation:
Response: Cuthbury allotments and Walford Bridge
General comments: The new homes must be for local people.
Wimborne is small community and would not cope with the additional cars.
The town could be engulfed by faceless housing estates and loose its ancient appeal.
The impact on the face of Wimborne and living in Wimborne needs to be top priority which is why I would have thought it better to build on several small sites rather than a new large township.

Issue:	UE6	Contact ID:	XED2661	Surname:	GUY	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-27538
Option:	E	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:	Part of our share of the 1700 homes should be affordable to locals before outsiders and blend in with and be named after the surroundings and any famous people associated with the town.						

Issue:	UE6	Contact ID:	XED2571	Surname:	HANSON	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-24086
Option:	E	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:							

Issue:	UE6	Contact ID:	XED2672	Surname:	HUNT	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-29049
Option:	E	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:							

Issue:	UE6	Contact ID:	XED1214	Surname:	JACKSON	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-25000
Option:	E	Organisation:	Wimborne Civic Society				
Response:							
General comments:	Better to have bulk housing development than sell off garden space piecemeal fro houses dotted about.						

Issue:	UE6	Contact ID:	XED1919	Surname:	JAMES	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-34969
Option:	E	Organisation:					
Response:	A,B and D						
General comments:	Land west of John Bennis Garage at Cranborne Road, along Leigh Road, any allotments or brown sites. Obvious need to preserve green belt and limit scale of any development to avoid destroying character of Wimborne town by overtaxing infrastructure. Need to ensure nature of any housing development does not detract from but adds to attractiveness of area. Need to ensure scale of development is comparent with current economic and financial environment which is now much worse that other original housing forecasts made eg availability of housing finance and scale of job creation in recessioned environment.						

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2609 Surname: LAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35473
Option: E Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2694 Surname: MARKS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31060
Option: E Organisation:
Response: A and C
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED0296 Surname: MEADOWS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20955
Option: E Organisation: National Trust
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2632 Surname: MILES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25838
Option: E Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2722 Surname: MOGG Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34728
Option: E Organisation:
Response: B and D
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2578 Surname: MULLINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24201
Option: E Organisation:
Response:
General comments: We both believe that RSS panel (not elected by us) has no idea of amount of green belt land required to fulfil their plans for our area (do they even live here). We hope that you as our elected council will fight the plan tooth and nail.

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2642 Surname: NEWMAN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26163
Option: E Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2677 Surname: NEWMAN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29067
Option: E Organisation:
Response: Between areas C and D
General comments: There are 3/4 million empty houses in the Uk how many in Wimborne?

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2033 Surname: OSNER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25020
Option: E Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2226 Surname: PIENAAR Unique Response Ref: CSIO-00668
Option: E Organisation: Bournemouth & West Hants Water
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2697 Surname: POLDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31232
Option: E Organisation:
Response: B and C
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED1155 Surname: POLKINGHORN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31073
Option: E Organisation:
Response: A and C
General comments: Nothing should be built on green belt areas

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2643 Surname: PRINCE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26223
Option: E Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2663 Surname: PROWSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28312
Option: E Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2689 Surname: RODWAY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31014
Option: E Organisation:
Response: B and C
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2724 Surname: SPEARS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34787
Option: E Organisation:
Response: C and D
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2625 Surname: STEVENSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25643
Option: E Organisation:
Response: A and C
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2182 Surname: TERRY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22784
Option: E Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2591 Surname: WALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24418
Option: E Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2726 Surname: WALLIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34853
Option: E Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2732 Surname: Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35115
Option: F Organisation:
Response: None of these areas

General comments: I doubt whether any of the originators of the Regional Spatial Strategy live any where near the areas of proposed house building.
If I tick any of these proposals, the authorities will consider I agree with whichever option I tick. I don't want this beautiful county robbed of its character.

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED0899 Surname: ADSHEAD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25486
Option: F Organisation:
Response: Nowhere

General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED1228 Surname: BARNES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19116
Option: F Organisation:
Response:

General comments: Urban extensions should not be built

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED0299 Surname: BLOOMFIELD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23410
Option: F Organisation: Royal Society for the Protection of Birds
Response:

General comments: The RSPB made comments on the RSS proposed SE Dorset urban extensions in our response to the EiP panel. These comments still apply. In short these related to the potential damage to nationally and internationally important wildlife sites

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED1363 Surname: BURDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18095
Option: F Organisation: Cranborne Chase & West Wiltshire Downs AONB
Response:

General comments: Linked to this we note that there is the potential for development, flowing from the Regional RSS, to the north and west of Wimborne Minster, Theme 6 page 27. Clearly this could encroach on the setting of the AONB and possibly even the AONB itself. We are therefore very concerned and would wish to be involved in the consideration of any potential development areas at the earliest opportunity

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE6 **Contact ID:** XED2692 **Surname:** FRANCOMBE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-31046
Option: F **Organisation:** []

Response: Fill the gap between A31 and Colehill

General comments: []

Issue: UE6 **Contact ID:** XED2619 **Surname:** GERRARD **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-25090
Option: F **Organisation:** []

Response: Fields above John Benns Garage.
Leigh Road and Stapehill

General comments: Our main comment is there should be no building on green belt land, but having no choice in the matter areas could be found which would not interfere with existing residents and greatly reduce the value of their properties.

Issue: UE6 **Contact ID:** XED2590 **Surname:** GOOCH **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-24388
Option: F **Organisation:** Also member of Colehill Parish Concl

Response: MOD land at St Leonards

General comments: []

Issue: UE6 **Contact ID:** XED2709 **Surname:** GOWEN **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-32227
Option: F **Organisation:** []

Response: Any brown field site within the specified area or in adjacent areas - Christchurch, Poole and Bournemouth.

General comments: There are ample brown field sites locally and nationally to provide additional dwellings. Buildes wont build on brown field sites as they can not make as much profit as with greenfield sites, the government is in big business and builders pockets and wont enforce the use of brown field sites.
The area is green belt and should remain so

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED0902 Surname: HASKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15256
Option: F Organisation:

Response:

General comments: Wimborne is located on that sensitive band between the heaths and the chalk which still support much attractive and intrinsically wildlife friendly habitat of small fields, hedges and copses. It is not going to be easy to find substantial areas which are not going to have serious impact on landscape and wildlife. Careful environmental assessment, including wildlife surveys, should be undertaken before any area around Wimborne is confirmed as being appropriate for development. However, even on preliminary impression it is plain to see that Pamphill and Colehill have exactly the landscape type which should be avoided and the certain knowledge that at least parts are of very special value

Areas should be sought which have an intrinsically lower wildlife interest. For example land between the B3073 and the Wimborne bypass has been intensively improved, limiting wildlife interest, and could have good sustainability access to Wimborne since the route is flat. Although this area is not in the area of search, it is difficult to see why it should not be. The bypass would make a very firm new greenbelt boundary. Land between the bypass and the River Stour has much scope for attractive Open Space

Land between the Blandford Road and Stone Lane above the River Allen is heavily improved limiting wildlife interest. Although up some incline from the centre of Wimborne it is opposite Queen Elizabeth School. Additionally when the school is rebuilt, the old site will be available for reuse

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED0987 Surname: HEDGER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17223
Option: F Organisation: Mr M Hedger (represented by Goadsby Ltd)

Response:

General comments: In the location shown on the attached plan

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2584 Surname: HUNT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24284
Option: F Organisation:

Response: Neither of these qualify according to the information on this leaflet.

General comments: The green belt should be kept intact and further intrusion should be resisted at all costs.

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2335 Surname: KENYON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07800
Option: F Organisation: William Lester Architects

Response: Flight refuelling, increase density off Leigh Road / Rugby Ground / north of airport

General comments:

Issue:	UE6	Contact ID:	XED1031	Surname:	KENYON	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-24758
Option:	F	Organisation:					
Response:	Flight refuelling site north of the airport.						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE6	Contact ID:	XED2637	Surname:	KING	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-25983
Option:	F	Organisation:					
Response:	Make a completely new town						
General comments:	Wimborne cannot support the swamping of its resources by 1700 new homes. If we do not build a completely new town, Wimborne will become just another higgledy-piggledy mess of new incomers squabbling over who has what. Make this decision now before the developers move in.						

Issue:	UE6	Contact ID:	XED0201	Surname:	KITE	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-18598
Option:	F	Organisation:	Natural England				
Response:							
General comments:	<p>This AoS is situated between heathland SSSIs at Corfe and Barrow Hills and Holt Heath (both also SAC/SPA and Ramsar). It is some 2km from Holt Heath at its nearest point. Car access to Holt Heath is straightforward and this would be the most obvious large open space available for dog walking. In these circumstances, providing alternative greenspace that would avoid development increasing pressure on Holt Heath is a challenge. It is only likely to be possible if a large and sufficiently attractive greenspace can be developed that can both cater for the new use from the development and divert some of the existing use away from Holt Heath. This is because residents of the new development are bound to use areas like Holt Heath to some extent whatever SANGs are provided.</p> <p>Housing south of the Blandford Road should be ruled out because of nature conservation interests in this area. Housing in the eastern part of the AoS is too remote from the town centre and would be less sustainable; there may also be intrinsic nature conservation interests in this area. However, areas between Blandford Rd and River Allen and north of Walford Bridge do not appear to be particularly constrained provided the River Allen is safeguarded and they are nearer to the town centre. There are good opportunities for green infrastructure and SANGs.</p>						

Issue:	UE6	Contact ID:	XED2657	Surname:	LISTER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-27184
Option:	F	Organisation:					
Response:	Brown field sites						
General comments:	We do not feel this leaflet offers us true consultation it merely seeks to force the public to give a mandate for development to take place. One of the tick options on this leaflet should be - No development in the green belt.						

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2668 Surname: MAUDE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28920
Option: F Organisation:
Response: Leigh Lane Between Wimborne by pass
General comments: Environment and character of Colehill needs to be preserved, stop this continuous building infill in back gardens. There needs to be maintenance of its separation from Wimborne. New building should not be allowed to spread into attractive landscape of small fields/meadows and hedgerows abound with wildlife. Please find areas of farm land (improved land is not so wildlife friendly) already in existence.

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2631 Surname: MAUNDER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25804
Option: F Organisation:
Response: Nowhere, there is insufficient infrastructure, schools, roads and it is all an area of natural beauty.
General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED0296 Surname: MEADOWS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20956
Option: F Organisation: National Trust
Response:
General comments: Infill within greenfield areas is preferable to development outside of town and village boundaries

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2725 Surname: MOON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34799
Option: F Organisation:
Response:
General comments: This form is misleading as by ticking /commenting on the questions it can be assumed you agree with the proposals. Insead of addressing symptonns you and the government should look at cause, on this basis the issues to be tackled first are
1. Signifacant reduction in immigration
2. Policies that d not reward large families but penalise.
3. Use of brown field sites.
4. Laws to prohibit ownership of 2nd homes which are only used at weekends/holidays (there are many of these in Dorset)
Then tackle new homes if required.

Issue:	UE6	Contact ID:	XED2575	Surname:	MUIR	Unique	
Option:	F	Organisation:		Response Ref:		CSIO-24097	
Response:	North of Leigh Road to Cutlers Place.						
General comments:							

Issue: UE6

Contact ID: XED2261

Surname: MULLINER

Unique

Option: F

Organisation: Terence O'Rourke (representing Bloor Homes)

Response Ref:

CSIO-19020

Response:

General comments:

The urban extension to the west and north of Wimborne should take place solely on land to the north of Walford Bridge. A single urban extension here will deliver housing and additional community facilities in close proximity to a wide range of employment, retail and leisure facilities in Wimborne town centre, and will therefore maximise opportunities for walking, cycling and public transport use.

The site is relatively unconstrained in planning, landscape and environmental terms, as confirmed through a number of preliminary background studies commissioned by J S Bloor (Newbury) Ltd since they acquired development options on the land. In response to concerns expressed by the Environment Agency about the potential impact of development on the underlying groundwater source protection zone, preliminary hydrogeological studies of the two land parcels located to the east and west of the B3078 Cranborne Road have been undertaken. Their reports concluded that

> the use of an appropriate based surface water drainage system would ensure that the risks to groundwater pollution would be minimised and that groundwater recharge would be maintained

> it would be possible to serve the proposed development with an adoptable positive foul drainage system

> the proposed development would not be at risk of flooding and would not exacerbate any existing flooding problems in the area, and

> overall, there were no significant hydrogeological reasons as to why the site should not be allocated for residential development

The Environment Agency acknowledged in July 2006 that this information provided assurances regarding the understanding of potential impacts of the proposed development. No additional studies were requested and further details of the sustainable drainage system will be developed alongside the masterplan

A preliminary transport assessment of the effects of development of the site were undertaken in March 2007, which demonstrated that

1 the B3078 Cranborne Road in the vicinity of the site could accommodate existing traffic levels with some reserve capacity available

2 the junction of the B3078 with Burts Hill is working within capacity

3 the accident data for the area around the site indicates that the majority of accidents have resulted from driver inattention, poor judgement and excessive speed, and that there are no inherent highway safety issues associated with the proposed development

4 Wimborne town centre, which is located approximately one kilometre from the site, is served by a range of bus services, offering clear opportunities for future residents to use public transport for daily work and leisure related trips

5 there are opportunities to enhance existing bus services by diverting them closer to the site

6 the roads around Wimborne are suitable for cycling and considerable scope exists for future residents of the site to walk or cycle to a range of existing amenities within the town, and

7 the traffic impacts associated with the development proposal can be accommodated within the capacity of the existing and future highway network

Preliminary ecological surveys of the two sites undertaken in June 2006 and February 2007 have revealed

> limited evidence of badger activity

> potential for the trees on site to support bat roosts and for the habitats to provide suitable foraging areas for a number of bat species, and

> that the hedgerows and terrestrial habitats on site could support dormice, great crested newts and common reptiles

Although these results are fairly typical of agricultural sites of this nature, additional survey work is currently underway to identify the extent to which the site supports protected species. The results of this survey work can be made available to the Council in due course.

Finally, as the site is unconstrained in terms of landscape designations, Terence O'Rourke undertook a preliminary landscape appraisal of the sites in February 2007 to identify areas that would be suitable for the various land uses and to assess the extent to which future development would be visible from surrounding land, including the Cranborne Chase and West Wiltshire AONB, the Wimborne conservation Area and the recently designated Burts Hill Conservation Area. The appraisal will be developed during the master planning of the site to ensure that future development respects and assimilates with its surroundings

Overall, the development of land to the north of Walford Bridge represents an excellent opportunity to provide a sustainable urban extension of Wimborne on unconstrained greenfield land that is located very close to the town centre, and should be fully supported.

Issue: UE6	Contact ID: XED2033	Surname: OSNER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25021
Option: F	Organisation: East Dorset District Council		
Response:	EDDC planners had 13 other sites available for 'streets meadow' 5 years ago - re visit them again.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE6	Contact ID: XED2554	Surname: PALMER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22630
Option: F	Organisation: Capital Developments (Southern) Ltd (represented by Goadsby)		
Response:			
General comments:	Outside the proposed area of search Land at Leigh Lane, Colehil as illustrated on plan 1 in the supplementary representations, is a sustainable option to accommodate growth. It is unclear why background work prepared at the sub regional level has not included this land within the area of search O. The site has good potential to bring forward a logical urban extension to the town, principally for residential development but also for public open space, SANGs, community uses and environmental enhancements. For full justification please see supplementary representations		

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2248 Surname: PATRICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17837
Option: F Organisation: Harry J Palmer & Perry Family Trust (represented by Pro Vision Planning and Design)

Response:

General comments: The Draft RSS refers to "North and West Wimborne Minster" and the indicative diagram included in the Draft RSS only shows that area. The phraseology and the identification in the diagram of the Area of Search both appear to omit the area between Wimborne and Colehill. Representations made to the RSS EIP asked the Panel to review that on the basis that the attached documentation demonstrates clearly that development between Wimborne and Colehill would be likely to be more "sustainable" than development west or north of Wimborne. However, the RSS Panel failed to address the issue.

The attention of GoSW will be drawn to this submission, and also the attention of the Secretary of State if the Proposed Changes do not address this issue.

Notwithstanding that, irrespective of whether or not the finalised RSS introduces any change in respect of the area of search for this strategic urban extension, the fact is that the attached documentation demonstrates clearly that the Core Strategy will not be sound unless it fully considers the potential for development between Wimborne and Colehill.

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2635 Surname: PRIEST Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25977
Option: F Organisation:

Response: Nowhere

General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2620 Surname: RICHARDSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25116
Option: F Organisation:

Response: Nowhere

General comments: The plans to create up to 1700 further homes in the proposed areas seem to advance blatant attempts to convert a beautiful rural areas into yet more urban slum. Already the local position creates ever increasing council tax charges against continuing cuts in services and the first question must be to ask the source of the finance to create the infrastructure necessary to support such an increase in population. Recent experience suggests that no such funds will be forthcoming from central government, who have already decided that the lowest rate of assistance should come to Dorset. Surely the prime duty of East Dorset and Christchurch Council's should be to protect the quality of life of its tax paying residents rather than have foisted upon them ill considered and grandiose plans to spoil further local countryside.

Issue: UE6 **Contact ID:** XED2689 **Surname:** RODWAY **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-31015
Option: F **Organisation:**

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE6 **Contact ID:** XED2656 **Surname:** ROSE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-27174
Option: F **Organisation:**

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE6 **Contact ID:** XED1251 **Surname:** SELWYN **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-27126
Option: F **Organisation:**

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE6 **Contact ID:** XED2684 **Surname:** SOMERS **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-29573
Option: F **Organisation:**

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED3028 Surname: SPENCER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37207
Option: F Organisation:

Response:

General comments: Relocate Stone Lane Industrial Estate as this is an old estate with low quality buildings and its juxtaposition with adjacent housing is not ideal also the junction with Stone Lane is substandard for use by HGV's.
New housing development on land on north side of Stone Lane between junction with the B3082 Blandford Road and the existing housing at Cowdrys Field/Walford Gardens including current Stone Lane Industrial estate, this would allow up to 450 - 500 houses. The site slopes down and any development would be hidden from view. QE school and Walford Mill medical centre are also close by.
Relocate Wimborne Football club from the Cuthbury site to By the Way on Leigh Road.
New housing development on Cuthbury allotments including existing football ground this would provide 200 - 250 houses.

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2602 Surname: WILLIAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24793
Option: F Organisation:

Response: On brown field sites only

General comments: I feel very concerned that the so called 'rolling stack' of the green belt is accepted I'm afraid I cannot agree to any building on green belt land at all. Once this is gone it is gone forever, you must locate brown field sites for these housing developments.

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2727 Surname: WILLIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34826
Option: F Organisation:

Response: Fields between the A31 bypass and Leigh Road/Wimborne Road West. This area is of no outstanding beauty and far enough out of town not to ruin Wimborne.

General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2667 Surname: AVERY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28870
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: I totally object to any housing development around Wimborne and Colehill

Issue: UE6 **Contact ID:** XED2611 **Surname:** BAKER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-29610
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Colehill Parish Council
Response: _____
General comments: within the triangle of Wimborne Canford Bottom & A31

Issue: UE6 **Contact ID:** XED1014 **Surname:** BOURTON **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-02585
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Keep Wimborne Green
Response: _____
General comments: _____

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED1432 Surname: CHITTENDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03430
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Environment TAG (East Dorset)

Response: ETAG has not formed a consensus view on the location of any new housing here. As with all the proposed urban extensions, wildlife considerations, sustainability issues and flood risk must be taken into consideration.

Development of the Cuthbury allotments was supported by EDDC prior to RSS EiP and is the least unsustainable location.

The slopes of Pamphill feature small fields, hedges and copses which are of landscape, wildlife and historic importance. It is doubtful if there could be adequate control of runoff from these slopes to avoid flooding lower down in Wimborne. As with Corfe Mullen, full environmental surveys are essential prior to any decision on development. Again an embargo on damaging work should be placed on landowners in the areas of search. North and West of Wimborne (including land bordering Colehill), the more intimate areas of smaller fields, narrow lanes, mature trees and rights of way (bridleways and footpaths) should be retained for their historic landscape character and biodiversity importance and to ensure the separation of the settlements of Wimborne and Colehill as defined in Green Belt policy.

There is a risk of major run-off and flooding problems if development were considered for the higher slopes. The risk to the biodiversity of the River Allen corridor must be assessed.

As discussed above, pedestrian/cyclist return journeys from the centre of Wimborne would not be easy. The potential for adverse impact on Holt Heath for informal recreation worsens with increasing distance from Wimborne town centre. Any proposals must take into consideration the impact on the landscaped approach to Wimborne from the north.

General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2599 Surname: DANKS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34664
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE6 **Contact ID:** XED2679 **Surname:** DEVETTA **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-29074
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE6 **Contact ID:** XED2659 **Surname:** ELLIOTT **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-27414
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE6 **Contact ID:** XED2655 **Surname:** FROST **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-27135
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE6 **Contact ID:** XED2623 **Surname:** HAINES **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-25337
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response:
General comments:

Issue:	UE6	Contact ID:	XED2699	Surname:	HARVEY	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-31283
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:					
Response:	The fields east of Bridle Way (Halter Rise and Stirrup Close) above the A31 - they would not be seen from the road and no danger of flooding						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE6	Contact ID:	XED2442	Surname:	HELLIER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-18123
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	Highways Agency				
Response:							
General comments:	The proposed development in the areas of search to the north and west of Wimborne Minster should be located where the maximum opportunities exist for full integration with existing settlements. This will assist in increasing the self containment of Wimborne Minster.						

Issue:	UE6	Contact ID:	XED0282	Surname:	HEWITT	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-24995
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	Wimborne Minster Town Council				
Response:							
General comments:	The Town Council does not believe that the urban extensions in the original areas of search north and west of Wimborne Minster will provide the necessary infrastructure which the number of new dwellings will demand. As an alternative, the Town Council wishes to suggest a much larger area more suitable for development which will provide sufficient dwellings to attract infrastructure contributions and that is the area of undeveloped land north of Leigh Road/Wimborne Road West between Wimborne Minster and Colehill/Stapehill.						

Issue:	UE6	Contact ID:	XED0983	Surname:	JOHNSON	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-29641
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:	How about conserving the existing green belt and saying no to any encroachment.						

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED3029 Surname: LIDDIARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37209
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: 200 houses on Cuthbury allotments is ludicrous it will just be an extension of the existing housing in Victoria Place so what happened to the gaps. Developments should be located to existing services, doctors, schools and workplaces reducing the need to travel this reads to me that it will be just an extension of what ever residential area its adjacent to so again what happens to the gaps.
To develop around Wimborne, Pamphill and Colehill to the extent proposed will surely be a hugh mistake, with Waitrose and the flight refuelling site being developed traffic will come to a standstill and town centre trading will be none existant.
Finally I have two fundamental objections to the whole of your questionnaire in that it a) presupposes that the proposals will be accepted by the ministry and b) and that your questionnaire also presupposes my acceptance of any of the proposed alternatives. All of which I find far to presumptuous hence the letter and the form returned left blank.

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2555 Surname: MARCH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23497
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: The development of these areas, which have been identified in the Regional Spatial Strategy, has not taken into consideration the necessary infrastructure which will be required for these new dwellings in these urban extensions. Piecemeal small developments do not usually attract the necessary contributions to infrastructure such as schools, community centres, improved transport, sufficient affordable housing etc. If Cuthbury were built on, this is one of the few remaining "green lungs" in the Parish of Wimborne Minster, the others being mainly water meadow land and two recreation grounds. Cuthbury is presently used as allotments and another piece of land would have to be found as a replacement. Although Wimborne Minster appears to be surrounded by "green", these are in other Parishes and it is important that what little green open space is left within the Parish of Wimborne Minster should be protected for future generations. Once built on it is very rare for land to be returned to green open space.
Undeveloped land north of Leigh Road/Wimborne Road West between Wimborne Minster and Colehill/Stapehill would appear to be a far better option to consider for future housing development. Access to Poole and Bournemouth would also be easier, thereby alleviating the necessity of having to travel through Wimborne Minster.

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2721 Surname: MICHAEL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34558
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: invalid response - more than one option selected

General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2034 Surname: PACKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27278
Option: GENERAL Organisation: East Dorset District Council

Response: invalid response - more than one option selected

General comments:

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2088 Surname: PACKHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23098
Option: GENERAL Organisation: White Young Green

Response:

General comments:

An urban extension must be well related to the urban area of Wimborne Minster which is one of the key locations for additional new development in the sub region.

The Study of South East Dorset informed the RSS but was conducted on the basis of a limited analysis of the options for accommodating growth. Not all options have been fully explored particularly an urban extension well related to the Town Centre and to employment areas to the east of the town.

Given the difficulties of accommodating additional growth within the urban area and the need for urban extensions it is also important that the strategy being promoted for the sub region makes a specific reference to employment needs and it is a significant omission is that in the identified areas of search N,O and P, there is no reference to the need for an employment site.

It is not transparent how the South East Dorset Authorities came to conclusions that the urban extensions area of search should be where they have been shown in RSS and therefore on the Core Strategy options paper. In our view the options for growth should be fully tested through the Core Strategy in the absence of thorough testing of the alternatives. There is an alternative potential extension to the east of Wimborne.

Our proposal
The particular area that Gleeson Land will be promoting through the Local Development Framework Wimborne South is referred to in page 143 of the options document. It states that
"Wimborne South and the area between Coalhill and Wimborne has no absolute constraints"

There is a Local Nature Reserve but this does not lie within the land which is controlled by Gleesons. Similarly we are aware of the buffer zone around the Sewage Treatment Works. The site is capable of delivering a mix of uses and is well related to existing employment areas. Land under Gleeson's control can also deliver a large area of open space which can relieve pressure on the heathlands by providing a well located informal recreation facility. We are undertaking a number of studies of the site which we can share with the Council. We estimate that about 1,000 houses can be developed in this location and we stress that the site is available and deliverable in relation to the criteria of PPS3. In sustainability terms the site is well related to the public transport corridor between Wimborne and Coalhill where bus services are a minimum every 15 minutes.

If it is determined that there is a need to find land for development of the additional 1,000 houses which meets the criteria of PPS3 then land to the east of Wimborne could deliver a substantial proportion of that requirement.

Issue: UE6 Contact ID: XED2579 Surname: SHOWELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24206
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Between Wimborne and Colehill to the east of The Vineries

General comments:

Issue: UE6	Contact ID: XED2658	Surname: SMITH	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27368
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	I fear that the site north of Walford Bridge would exacerbate the already very restricted parking in the streets either side of the bridge.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE6	Contact ID: XED2670	Surname: STEWART	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29040
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:			
General comments:	Wimborne has flood plains and conservation areas which have caused problems for building in the past. A huge amount of houses would destroy Wimborne as it is a small market town and unable to sustain an influx of so many people without changing its character completely. It is a place of history and culture, once lost that can never be regained. There are other more appropriate areas in the country.		

Issue: UE6	Contact ID: XED2662	Surname: STONE	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27541
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:			
General comments:	The prime objective must be to save the maximum amount of greenfield as possible. Wimborne Market site is vastly under used so would I'm sure be a possible site as is Allenview car park Deans Court grounds west of Poole Road and the top of Colehill on Wimborne Road above the burial grounds along to Cobbs Road would be another suggestion. North of Walford Bridge would inconvenience the fewest people.		

Issue: UE6	Contact ID: XED2552	Surname: WOODCOCK	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22566
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: Environment Agency		
Response:			
General comments:	General Comments – When considering location of new development it should be informed by your SFRA and the requirements of PPS25. Furthermore, when considering developing policy for the East Dorset urban extensions we recommend the criteria set out in UE2 (plus our additional comments, see above) are considered.		

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2611 Surname: BAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29611
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Colehill Parish Council
Response:
General comments: any additional housing in this area will create traffic problems going through Wimborne or Colehill. Therefore as little as possible

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2651 Surname: BARNES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26952
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: 200 max the bulk should be affordable or social housing.
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2706 Surname: BELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32160
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: No more than 500 preferably less
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2634 Surname: BENNETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25956
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: 700 tops
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2587 Surname: BENNETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24318
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: 500
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED0946 Surname: COSSINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01860
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: 1000
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2645 Surname: COTTINGHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26273
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: 50%
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2674 Surname: COWARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29057
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: The need is not proved
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2641 Surname: CRAIGMYLE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26118
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: Keep it in Ferndown which is ugly anyway and has already been ruined by development.
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED0995 Surname: CRAIGMYLE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26033
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: 500 dwellings
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2599 Surname: DANKS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24703
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Enough for our own local people who need it not more houses for second homes or buy to let.

General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2331 Surname: DAVENPORT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07442
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Dorset County Council

Response: East and South of Ferndown offers the best opportunity to maximise public transport usage

General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2679 Surname: DEVETTA Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29075
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: None

General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2327 Surname: DODSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06610
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Dorset County Council

Response: 1700 at Parley Cross

General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED1010 Surname: EARP Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25570
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: 200 Affordable houses

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2687 Surname: FORWARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29780
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Very little - expand Ferndown to Parley cross and north Bournemouth
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2669 Surname: FOX Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29002
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Why so many
500 - Wimborne
1200 - Ferndown
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2696 Surname: FRANCIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31179
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Maximum 700
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2692 Surname: FRANCOMBE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31048
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: 1000 phasing dependent on local infrastructure pre requisites as well as A31
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2652 Surname: FRANKLIN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27000
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: 1,000 +
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2655 Surname: FROST Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27137
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2582 Surname: FROUD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24278
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2619 Surname: GERRARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25092
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2624 Surname: GIDMAN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25472
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2716 Surname: GLOVER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32657
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2731 Surname: HAN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35096
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: 1/4
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2571 Surname: HANSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24087
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: No more than 200
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2713 Surname: HARRIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32575
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: None - only affordable housing for those people who live and work in Wimborne
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2589 Surname: HARRIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24345
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: As little as possible, I object to the infringement of the green belt.
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2640 Surname: HARTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26064
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: Very little, it is a small market town which many people come to visit
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2672 Surname: HUNT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29050
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Split between the 2 areas ie 850 north and west of Wimborne
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2708 Surname: HUNT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32199
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Not more than 500 and they must be for local people not holiday homes.
General comments: Any homes built must have some sort of covenant so that they are for local people and not more holiday homes which would defeat the object of the exercise.

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2584 Surname: HUNT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24285
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: None
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2639 Surname: HUTTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25991
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: 566 - about third of the total
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2337 Surname: JACKSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08618
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: 500 dwellings
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED1214 Surname: JACKSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25001
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Wimborne Civic Society
Response: 500 houses
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED1919 Surname: JAMES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34970
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: No more than 800
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2328 Surname: JENKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06892
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Dorset County Council
Response: 300 - 400 maximum
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2127 Surname: JONES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34298
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Alderholt Parish Plan Steering Group
Response:
General comments: 40% of build

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2621 Surname: JONES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25200
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: About 100 dwellings
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2628 Surname: KAY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25739
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: 700
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2629 Surname: KEMPSHALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25784
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: 1700 over 20 years is 85 per annum - sounds feasible but delay at present in credit crunch seems appropriate.
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2598 Surname: KENCHINGTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24687
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: 700
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED1031 Surname: KENYON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24763
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: 400
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2335 Surname: KENYON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07801
Option: GENERAL Organisation: William Lester Architects Response: 400
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2717 Surname: KEWEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32670
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: 700
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2609 Surname: LAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35474
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response:
General comments: 500

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2657 Surname: LISTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27186
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: We do not agree that development is necessary and green belt land should not be used.
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2678 Surname: LOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29071
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: 250 at most
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2595 Surname: LOWING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24643
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: Maximum 700 in Wimborne and 1000 in Ferndown
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue:	UE7	Contact ID:	XED2721	Surname:	MICHAEL	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-34559
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:	2500						

Issue:	UE7	Contact ID:	XED2632	Surname:	MILES	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-25840
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:					
Response:	Certianly not more than half of total agreed upon.						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE7	Contact ID:	XED2309	Surname:	MILLER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-03544
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	Stone Park Estate (represented by Terence O'Rourke)				
Response:	Although the Examination Panel has recommended to Government that the Regional Spatial Strategy identify 1700 dwellings in the areas of search to the west and north of Wimborne and to the east and south of Ferndown, the Panel has also indicated that East Dorset District Council should identify sufficient land on which to accommodate an additional 1,000 dwellings. In view of the amount of unconstrained land available to the north of Walford Bridge, we consider that the site is very well placed to assist the Council accommodate a proportion of this additional requirement as well as that indicated in the emerging RSS. In view of our previous submission to the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment, we consider that the land to the north of Walford Bridge should be identified for around 1350 dwellings and associated facilities, subject to confirmation of the density of development that the Council is prepared to accept in this location.						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE7	Contact ID:	XED2633	Surname:	MOULTON	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-25891
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:					
Response:	800						
General comments:							

Issue: UE7 **Contact ID:** XED2575 **Surname:** MUIR **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-24098
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response: None - Where are an extra 2,000 - 3,000 people going to work and how are they going to get there, roads are bad enough as they are.
General comments:

Issue: UE7 **Contact ID:** XED2261 **Surname:** MULLINER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-19021
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Terence O'Rourke (representing Bloor Homes)
Response:
General comments: Although the examination panel has recommended to government that the Regional Spatial Strategy identify 1700 dwellings in the areas of search to the west and north of Wimborne and to the east and south of Ferndown, the panel has also indicated that East Dorset District Council should identify sufficient land on which to accommodate an additional 1000 dwellings. In view of the amount of unconstrained land available to the north of Walford Bridge, we consider that the site is very well placed to assist the Council accommodate a proportion of this additional requirement as well as that indicated in the emerging RSS. In light of the background studies that have been commissioned at the site and in view of our previous submission to the Strategic Housing Land availability Assessment, we consider that the land to the north of Walford Bridge should be identified for around 1350 dwellings and associated facilities, subject to confirmation of the density of development that the Council is prepared to accept in this location.

Issue: UE7 **Contact ID:** XED2578 **Surname:** MULLINS **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-24202
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response: No more than 500
General comments:

Issue: UE7 **Contact ID:** XED2642 **Surname:** NEWMAN **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-26165
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response: It is difficult to see how more than a quarter could be found North and West of Wimborne.
General comments:

Issue: UE7 **Contact ID:** XED2033 **Surname:** OSNER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-25022
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** East Dorset District Council
Response: 50% shared in both areas eg the future expected application from 'Cobhams' housing scheme plus St Leonards Hospital site up for sale for the care village again.
General comments:

Issue: UE7	Contact ID: XED2034	Surname: PACKER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27279
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: East Dorset District Council		
Response:			
General comments:	600		

Issue: UE7	Contact ID: XED2088	Surname: PACKHAM	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23100
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: White Young Green		
Response:			
General comments:	NIL		

Issue: UE7	Contact ID: XED2586	Surname: PAGE	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24311
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	None		
General comments:			

Issue: UE7	Contact ID: XED2554	Surname: PALMER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22631
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: Capital Developments (Southern) Ltd (represented by Goadsby)		
Response:			
General comments:	<p>Land at Leigh Lane Colehill should be identified in the Core Strategy and Site Allocation DPD as an urban extension. It is estimated that the site could bring forward approximately 18 hectares of land. At 40-50 dph some 500-600 dwellings (net) could be constructed. This range is based on the assumption that some 12-13 hectares, some 70% of the overall site is developed at 40-50 dph. At a higher density or land take this figure will increase, this would be resolved through the more detailed LDF site allocation process and masterplanning. At this stage the general pinciple of developing in this locality is being established.</p> <p>It is unclear why land at Leigh Lane has not been included in the area of search O. However should the area of search now be broadened the land at Leigh Lane, Colehill is ideally suited to provide a susrtainable urban extension within the context of the area of search. However should it not fall into the area of search the site will be equally suited to accommodate a proportion of the additional 1000 dwellings as recommended in the draft RSS Panel Report.</p> <p>For full justification please see supplementary representations</p>		

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2248 Surname: PATRICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17838
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Harry J Palmer & Perry Family Trust (represented by Pro Vision Planning and Design)

Response:

General comments: There should be no housing north of Wimborne or Colehill. The attached document demonstrates clearly that this is not as sustainable a location as alternative locations adjoining those settlements. The Core Strategy would therefore be unsound in allocating any housing to the north.

500 dwellings should be allocated on land off North Leigh Lane and Wesley Road in accord with Drawing No 20183 Concept C Revised. It may also be sound to allocate further land east of North Leigh Lane in accord with Drawing No 20183 Concept D Revised.

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2577 Surname: PAYNE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24149
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: 500 max, Ferndown is a large town already devoid of any character whereas Wimborne is attractive and largely unspoilt. Lets not ruin it as Ferndown has been over developed and an excess of flats.

General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2664 Surname: PHILLIPS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28691
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Max 40% - Ferndown has more major roads than Wimborne to take extra traffic.

General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2697 Surname: POLDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31239
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Please limit the numbers split between by about quarter.

General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED1155 Surname: POLKINGHORN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31077
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Far to many 400 at most

General comments: The housing proposed is far to much bearing in mind the existing infrastructre which is already under strain.

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2635 Surname: PRIEST Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25978
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: None

General comments: The so called need for urban extensions has been caused by uncontrolled immigration. I suggest it should be done on Gordon Browns doorstep.

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2643 Surname: PRINCE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26225
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: 1000

General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2663 Surname: PROWSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28313
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: at least half

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2620 Surname: RICHARDSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25119
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: The birth rate of the indigenous population is falling so why is a small market town considering an unsustainable development which will desecrate our community.

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2723 Surname: SEXTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34753
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: No to the west of Wimborne because of the flood plain, Cuthbury allotments near to the town centre. In my view all development should be north of the town.
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2579 Surname: SHOWELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24207
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Infill should be deducted first, then remainder in Ferndown
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2607 Surname: SHRUBB Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28078
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: 50%

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2728 Surname: SILBOROUGH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34992
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Shared equally in small patches
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2644 Surname: SIMPSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26252
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: 600
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2686 Surname: SINGLETON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29602
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Half that amount to start with, try 425 in each area.
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2695 Surname: SMITH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31175
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: about 600 - 1000
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2691 Surname: SMITH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31182
Option: GENERAL Organisation: St Leonards & St Ives Parish Council
Response:
General comments: The figure suggested for additional housing vary from one report to another stretching from 1000 to over 6400 for this aerea - where is the consistency in the projected figures especially when the survey of housing nedds has not been completed!

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2260 Surname: SOLOMON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18788
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: More should be built on the Wimborne site as the Ferndown site is already a trtransport bottleneck and further development would make matters worse

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2684 Surname: SOMERS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29575
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Limited to available brown field sites
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2625 Surname: STEVENSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25644
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: 600 - 800 DWELLINGS
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2670 Surname: STEWART Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29037
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: 500 dwellings in Wimborne
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2662 Surname: STONE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27542
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: Split 50/50
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2580 Surname: THOMAS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24211
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: 50%
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED1892 Surname: THORNE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23367
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Thornes Chartered Surveyors Response:
General comments: approx 1000 dwellings

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2591 Surname: WALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24419
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: 20% of 1700 (340)
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2042 Surname: WALLACE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27547
Option: GENERAL Organisation: East Dorset District Council Response: North - nil
West - 200
+ 1500 in east and south Ferndown.
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2653 Surname: WEATHERLEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27091
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: 600 houses max
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2230 Surname: WEBSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08743
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Barratt Homes Response: Suggest St Leonards triangle
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2681 Surname: WHEELER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29125
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: 25% - 30% no more or it will be overdone.
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2649 Surname: WHITHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26812
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Maximum of 300 dwellings in the Walford area, the remainder to the south and east of Ferndown
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2682 Surname: WILCOX Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29160
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: 500 - 700
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2676 Surname: WILDING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29064
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: As infrastructure is more developed a greater percentage should go East and South of Ferndown and say 25% north and west of Wimborne ie 420 dwellings
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2570 Surname: WILKINSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24084
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: 700 dwellings
General comments:

Issue: UE7 Contact ID: XED2602 Surname: WILLIAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24797
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: This would depend on the potential impact on roads and green belt land - they would have to be shared according to this.
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE8	Contact ID: XED2673	Surname: ADAMSON	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29054
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Better road maintenance but with calming devices		
General comments:			

Issue: UE8	Contact ID: XED0899	Surname: ADSHEAD	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25512
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Improvements to roads eg resurfacing not any stupid traffic calming. Also some strategically located waste bins regularly emptied. Also account should be taken of maintenance co ordination between Highways,police, fire services, water/sewerage depts, gas, electricity, local authority signs and weather personnel meetings/programmes organised periodically to plan alterations, building and maintenance.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE8	Contact ID: XED2667	Surname: AVERY	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28877
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:			
General comments:	Existing infrastrucutre cannot cope with existing demand eg schools and surgeries at maximum capacity. Road networks grinding to a halt especially during the summer months. Unless above services are to be dramatically re-designed to accommodate the proposed dwellings, the core strategy is flawed and needs to be scrapped for the area of Wimborne and Colehill.		

Issue: UE8	Contact ID: XED2611	Surname: BAKER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29613
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: Colehill Parish Council		
Response:			
General comments:	Schools Access across the A31 to the main centres of employment such as a bridge over the A31		

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2690 Surname: CAFFREY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31020
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Open areas I would like to see arge areas of parks and pathways to break up any new housing . More free parking near the Square in Wimborne.

General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2410 Surname: CHITTENDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21117
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: Schools capacity, transport (public & safe and easy cycleways, walkways), high quality informal leisure space

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED1432 Surname: CHITTENDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03432
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Environment TAG (East Dorset)

Response: Capacity and accessibility of schools, medical facilities, public transport, safe pedestrian routes, public open space and basic shopping facilities (local food shop/newsagent).

General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2688 Surname: CLARK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29811
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: The road from West Parley past the airport to the spur roadd should be upgraded to dual carriageway.

General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2683 Surname: CLARKE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29221
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Good non car links to the town centre.
Other community facilities will follow more or less as needed but they need to be available early on.

General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2660 Surname: CLEMENTS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27474
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Better and more frequent bus routes

General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2585 Surname: COLLYER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24288
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Obviously bus routes right into these areas to the town, schools etc. Need to ensure there are actually vacancies at the local schools, doctors and dentists. Where would 100's of children go to school if they are all currently full?

General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2576 Surname: CONSTABLE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24102
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: The current road system around Wimborne especially the A31 would not support additional housing on this scale. The A31 needs a radical overhaul to make it fit for purpose.

General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2698 Surname: COOKE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31268
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: More regular buses

General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2572 Surname: CORNISH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24091
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Facilities for youth leisure

General comments: I believe that developing the area North and North East of Colehill should not be an option because the infrastructure is inadequate, the local facilities are few so more transport would be used and the roads are not suitable for large volumes of traffic.

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2331 Surname: DAVENPORT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07443
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Dorset County Council
Response: Increases in frequency. Service to airport and industrial areas. Restoration of service to Southampton
General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2679 Surname: DEVETTA Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29076
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: How about nice town square as promised and a Waitrose.
General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2327 Surname: DODSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06611
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Dorset County Council
Response: Public transport, Cycling, walking, A31 dualling, community facilities, schools
General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED1010 Surname: EARP Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25572
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: All facilities - it would affect everything
General comments: Does the secretary of state want to spoil the villages and small towns of Dorset? We are a country noted for our beautiful countryside so we do not want to roll back the green belt. It is not just the dwellings which need to be considered its all the facilities that go with additional people that would be living in these areas. Health - doctors, nurses, hospitals etc. Education - schools, colleges etc and the biggest problem transport traffic and all that goes with it pollution, noise, wear and tear etc. All leisure facilities and shopping. The number of dwellings should be reduced by half at least and the decision making be that of the County Council not the people who site in the Houses of Parliament, Westminster.

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED0911 Surname: ELCOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26587
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: good shuttle bus service to town
general store including Post Office
chemist shop

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2659 Surname: ELLIOTT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27417
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Even without any extra housing, transport facilities are woefully inadequate as it is.
General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2675 Surname: FAWCETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29061
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Improved bus services and Waitrose on Hanham fields.
General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2128 Surname: FINLAY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10183
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Holt Parish Plan Action Committee
Response: Improve roads
Schools
Recreation
Local facilities in general
General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2402 Surname: FINNEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16630
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Holt Parish Council
Response:
General comments: regular bus service
GPs health centre
small local shops
recreational facilities

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2687 **Surname:** FORWARD **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-29781
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: Doctors - take the pressure off the quarterjack surgery

General comments: Complete facilities and amenities need to be established north of Colehill before any houses are built and buses to towns and coast must exist. Chemists, schools and shops needed in all suburban areas especially in Colehill. Expand Ferndown.

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2669 **Surname:** FOX **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-29006
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: More school places - current schools are full

General comments: _____

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2696 **Surname:** FRANCIS **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-31180
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: Good local supermarket and shops.
Road improvements (increased traffic on Burts Hill would add to dangers already present)

General comments: Increased volume of cars without provision for easy walking (good pavements) and cycle lanes will increase risks of accidents in area north of Wimborne.

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2692 **Surname:** FRANCOMBE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-31049
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: A31 made dual carriageway and 50% of development must be affordable.

General comments: _____

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2652 **Surname:** FRANKLIN **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-27007
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: Public transport

General comments: Wimborne has become 'gods waiting room' needs more balance in population age strata.

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2590 **Surname:** GOOCH **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-24396
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Also member of Colehill Parish Concil
Response: Government to relinguish MOD land.
IMPROVE Ringwood Road through Ferndown and interface with West Parley. A31 at Canford Bottom to be in tunnel to improve traffic flow and reduce noise pollution.
General comments:

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2709 **Surname:** GOWEN **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-32240
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response: To many at to high a cost to the environment and locality. It would be to expensive to support such a development properly.
General comments: Colehill area does not have the infrastructure - roads, schools, health and social services. It would cost millions to provide all this. The utility cost to provide water and sewerage would be costly and put undue strain on the providers.

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2569 **Surname:** GREENSMITH **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-24082
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response: Better link roads and parking for residents, maybe one or two small shops
General comments: I feel it is important to keep villages eg Pamphill, Colehill and Canford Bottom as individual as possible and not have continuous development which links them together with Wimborne. They must keep their individuality and character.

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2661 **Surname:** GUY **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-27540
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response: Shops, playgrounds and open spaces
General comments:

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2623 **Surname:** HAINES **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-25345
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response: Proper infrastructure of all kinds
General comments:

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED0033 **Surname:** HALL **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-10710

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Christchurch Borough Council

Response: A Christchurch bypass is needed.
A new outer relief road

General comments:

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2319 **Surname:** HALL **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-05431

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: A new outer relief road

General comments:

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2731 **Surname:** HAN **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-35099

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: Do not allow traffic to enter Wimborne Square
Traffic lights at major junctions
More money spent on local library
Improved bus service

General comments:

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2571 **Surname:** HANSON **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-24088

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: Preservation of open spaces and reduction of traffic

General comments: Middlehill Road traffic is still a problem, each new house has an average of 2 cars adding to rush hour congestion.
Where will new residents shop?

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2589 **Surname:** HARRIS **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-24347
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** [REDACTED]

Response: Road improvements - dual carriageway Wimborne and Ferndown bypass

General comments: I am very unhappy at the imposition of housing targets by the unelected South West regional body. Our roads are becoming gridlocked and any additional housing should be concentrated on brown field sites in Poole or Bournemouth and not on green belt.

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2713 **Surname:** HARRIS **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-32581
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** [REDACTED]

Response: If houses not built then not required.

General comments: [REDACTED]

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2640 **Surname:** HARTON **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-26065
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** [REDACTED]

Response: Transport improvements

General comments: Please do not make Wimborne and its beautiful surrounding countryside into yet another urban sprawl. If you must make more housing estates think of having shops, doctors and schools as an integral part of them. Think of the traffic as it all piles into the town centre. Lastly please do not roll back any green belt areas let us keep all of our green belt.

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2636 **Surname:** HARVEY **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-25982
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** [REDACTED]

Response: Access to A31 if substantial development occurs.
By concentrating building at Pamphill a new road could be justified linking the development to the A31. Its plain from current traffic problems that Wimborne if Wimborne housing is to be expanded then improved access is needed.

General comments: [REDACTED]

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2699 **Surname:** HARVEY **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-32126
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** [REDACTED]

Response: Sewerage, schools etc.

General comments: [REDACTED]

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED0902 Surname: HASKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15258
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: Provision of at least a local food shop/newsagent and good public transport will be essential for Walford. Colehill and Pamphill are not acceptable sites

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2549 Surname: HEALY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25922
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Our roads are already congested, therefore public transport, safe walking and cycling routes are needed. Buses need to be more frequent than once or twice hourly.

General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED0987 Surname: HEDGER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17225
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Mr M Hedger (represented by Goadsby Ltd)

Response:

General comments: Transport infrastructure

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2442 Surname: HELLIER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18125
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Highways Agency

Response:

General comments: Any infrastructure improvements to the A31 required as a result of a Wimborne Minster urban extension would need to be fully funded by the development, as the Agency does not have the funds in place for such infrastructure requirements.

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2626 Surname: HINTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25670
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Better bu routes, doctors surgery and local shops.

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2672 Surname: HUNT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29051
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Improved shopping and aprking facilities in centre of Wimborne
General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2639 Surname: HUTTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25992
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Buses, school places and decent supermarket in town.
We must have decent public transport from Wimborne and areas around Wimborne to industrial estates across Poole, Bournemouth and Christchurch so workers can abandon expensive polluting cars and free up road space for cyclists and buses to travel more freely.
General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED1214 Surname: JACKSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25002
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Wimborne Civic Society
Response: Lots of entrance and exit points so that there is no one road which takes all the traffic.
General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED1919 Surname: JAMES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34971
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Drainage is a must to avoid risk of flooding. Also roads,public transport, schooling, additional policing and car parking.
General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2328 Surname: JENKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06893
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Dorset County Council
Response: Good walking and cycling to Wimborne ton centre
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2598 Surname: KENCHINGTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24692
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2717 Surname: KEWEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32672
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2637 Surname: KING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25984
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2609 Surname: LAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35475
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2712 Surname: LESLEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32524
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue:	UE8	Contact ID:	XED2668	Surname:	MAUDE	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-28939
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:					
Response:	Any possilites on QE school grounds?, school could be involved in development projects??						
General comments:							

Issue:	UE8	Contact ID:	XED2631	Surname:	MAUNDER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-25810
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:					
Response:	Increased free parking and new schools						
General comments:	Wimborne cannot sustain such proposed developments. Schools are over-crowded, the roads cannot cope with the present traffic. The proposed developments are in areas of recreation and beauty we do not want further development.						

Issue:	UE8	Contact ID:	XED2665	Surname:	MCCARTNEY	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-28759
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:					
Response:	Vast widening of the present 'rat run' rural lanes and provision of sidewalks for residents and school children.						
General comments:	Current Colehill inadequacies for residents - narrow rural lane (increased through traffic), no pavements or sidewalks, parking and bus services. Drainage, electricity, water and gas suppliers. Insufficient or localisation and availability of doctors and dentists, shops, post office and banks. Very little parking available for rural needs. Pressure points will be schools, transport, policing, narrow roads/lanes and vital bridges in most currently used directions.						

Issue:	UE8	Contact ID:	XED2632	Surname:	MILES	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-25846
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:					
Response:	Complete infrastructure must be planned from onset. Access to Wimborne centre essential eg bus services and road improvements. Local access to services important eg medical, first school and corner shops.						
General comments:							

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE8	Contact ID: XED2034	Surname: PACKER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27280
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: East Dorset District Council		
Response:			
General comments:	improvements to the road/transport structure schools local retail pub/café community centre		

Issue: UE8	Contact ID: XED2088	Surname: PACKHAM	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23099
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: White Young Green		
Response:			
General comments:	It has not been tested		

Issue: UE8	Contact ID: XED2554	Surname: PALMER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22632
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: Capital Developments (Southern) Ltd (represented by Goadsby)		
Response:			
General comments:	the development of land at Leigh Lane could bring forward in addition to housing a range of local commercial uses in the form of retail provision and possibly small office developments, a range of open space provision and environmental enhancements including SANGs, with improved pedestrian and cycle access from Colehill to the wider proposed open space to the south		

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2248 Surname: PATRICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17839
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Harry J Palmer & Perry Family Trust (represented by Pro Vision Planning and Design)

Response:

General comments: If land at the north of Wimborne were to be developed the following would be the priorities:

- Landscape amelioration - because of the proximity to the AONB
- Transport - Walford Bridge is inadequate for additional vehicular traffic - the pedestrian route into the town is unsafe as the pavements are too narrow - and there are no buses
- Foul drainage - the existing main sewer through the town is in need of replacement
- Land drainage - land-drains from the potential development area to the River Allen run continuously (even during the driest summers) and apparent alterations to the land drainage regime have resulted in water logging of land in the Shakespeare Road/River Close area over the last 2 or 3 years: it would be essential that any new development north of the town did not exacerbate that.
- SANGS
- Any additional educational accommodation required.

Conversely, if land between Wimborne and Colehill is developed, the following would be appropriate requirements:

- Provision of the long-planned recreational provision at "By The Way" (Leigh Road)
- Financial support for existing bus services (Route 13 and Wimborne Circular)
- Any additional educational accommodation required
- SANGS

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2577 Surname: PAYNE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24151
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Medical facilities.
First and middle schools

General comments:

Issue: UE8	Contact ID: XED2664	Surname: PHILLIPS	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28695
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Road improvements and more parking for residents of Wimborne.		
General comments:	The added traffic and roads around Cuthbury ie Victoria Road, Julians Road, Old Road and Pamphill cannot cope with much more. If 200 houses were built at Cuthbury that means at least 300 extra cars. All the roads I mentioned before become gridlocked at rush hour and school runs. These roads are not wide enough to take any extra traffic. We have noticed the change in the last 28 years we have lived here.		

Issue: UE8	Contact ID: XED2697	Surname: POLDEN	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31243
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Good bus service Homes with reasonable sized gardens		
General comments:			

Issue: UE8	Contact ID: XED1155	Surname: POLKINGHORN	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31080
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Dual carriageway on the bypass. Bridge over or underpass to take pressure off Canford Bottom roundabout. More schools and surgeries.		
General comments:	Transport is probably the main consideration if all the houses suggested are built where will the newcomers work, presumably in Bournemouth and Poole imagine the pressure on the roads in Wimborne, Canford Bottom roundabout and the bypass will be like during rush hour.		

Issue: UE8	Contact ID: XED2643	Surname: PRINCE	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26228
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Local bus routes		
General comments:			

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2663 **Surname:** PROWSE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-28314
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2620 **Surname:** RICHARDSON **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-25128
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED0866 **Surname:** RILEY **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-24621
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2689 **Surname:** RODWAY **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-31016
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2579 Surname: SHOWELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24208
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Improve public transport both routes and frequencies. Routes north, south and east west as far as Ringwood.

General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2607 Surname: SHRUBB Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28079
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: shops & public transport

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2728 Surname: SILBOROUGH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34996
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Better public transport between housing/residential areas and industrial/shopping centres.

General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2644 Surname: SIMPSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26253
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Public transport - buses
Schools and doctors surgery

General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2686 Surname: SINGLETON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29607
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Better public transport, more cycle lanes, schools,NHS, police and ambulance.

General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2695 Surname: SMITH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31176
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: New infant/junior school with hall available for evening and non school events.
General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2658 Surname: SMITH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27393
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: Parking
General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2691 Surname: SMITH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31183
Option: GENERAL Organisation: St Leonards & St Ives Parish Council Response:
General comments: Reinstatement of public transport routes & additional bus public transport throughout day & evening to cover whole area

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2260 Surname: SOLOMON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18789
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response:
General comments: There needs to be dualling of the A31 to concentrate through traffic across the area thus relieving local roads.

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2684 Surname: SOMERS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29576
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: Limiting development to brown field sites will negate the need for significant expansion of facilities.
General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED3028 Surname: SPENCER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37208
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: A new road would need to be built to serve the new development from a signalled controlled junction with Julians Road immediately to the east of Julians Bridge, this could be carried out in conjunction with the local authorities proposal to narrow the carriageway over the bridge thereby providing pavement for pedestrian use and the introduction of single alternate lane traffic working. Additional pedestrian and cycle links could be provided via Old Road, Victoria Place, Cuthbury Gardens and Cowgrove Road. If these areas were developed for residential use I would suggest that the frequency of the local Wimborne Curcular bus route should be increased. Consideration should be given to constructing a multi storey car park on the Allenview West car park. Such a car park could allow some existing more periperal car parks to be redeveloped for high density housing units with limited or no allocated aparking.

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2625 Surname: STEVENSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25649
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Upgrading of A31 and a link road to it from the newly developed areas.
Better supermarket facilities

General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2670 Surname: STEWART Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29038
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Improved roads and transport, community centre, playing areas (playgrounds etc), library, post office, good bus routes and schools.

General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2662 Surname: STONE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27543
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Better accident and emergency at local level

General comments:

Issue: UE8	Contact ID: XED2580	Surname: THOMAS	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24212
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: []		
Response:	Extension of and continuation of local bus services. Careful thought about any extension to small local shops - far better to complete to existing shopping further at Colehill and Wimborne		
General comments:	Can see no problem to use of fields on Cranborne Road between Wimborne and Furzehill. Expansion of housing around centre of Furzehill ideal solution already served by existing road services. No intrusions onto green belt area adjacent to By the Way and Rie field. Important to ensure high quality and variety to housing style - Poundbury an excellent pattern to follow.		

Issue: UE8	Contact ID: XED1892	Surname: THORNE	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23368
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: Thornes Chartered Surveyors		
Response:	[]		
General comments:	roundabout improvements to the Cranborne Road and the junction of Burts Hill with Smugglers Lane		

Issue: UE8	Contact ID: XED0113	Surname: TONG	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01652
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: Working in Partnership		
Response:	Medical centres		
General comments:	[]		

Issue: UE8	Contact ID: XED2574	Surname: TUFFREY	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24096
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: []		
Response:	Bus service loop eg 3 or 13 or a community bus for access to Wimborne Town centre.		
General comments:	[]		

Issue: UE8	Contact ID: XED2591	Surname: WALL	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24430
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: []		
Response:	Infrastructure needs to be improved, roads and especially car parking at least 2 spaces for each dwelling		
General comments:	[]		

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2042 Surname: WALLACE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27545
Option: GENERAL Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: Allendale Community Centre is badly in need of a comprehensive refurbishment and an increase in fulltime paid staff (in line with other EDDC community centres)
General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2042 Surname: WALLACE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27548
Option: GENERAL Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: Enlarged/improved community facilities in Wimborne town to cater for increased population.
General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2653 Surname: WEATHERLEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27094
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: School places, buses and supermarket (not Waitrose)
General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2230 Surname: WEBSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08744
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Barratt Homes
Response: Subject to full tech review
General comments:

Issue: UE8 Contact ID: XED2681 Surname: WHEELER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29132
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Local shopping and a bus service for all locals.
General comments:

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2680 **Surname:** WHEELER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-29097

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: Much improved bus service

General comments: []

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2649 **Surname:** WHITHAM **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-26813

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: Schools and other local services
It is not possible for the local infrastructure to be made capable to support further development

General comments: North of Colehill there is no infrastructure to carry additional traffic and there is no facility to widen roads. Lonnen Road, Sandy Lane and particularly Middlehill Road are already overcrowded as the are being used as rat run to get across Colehill.
Given that schools, local shops and services would be in Wimborne (limited in Colehill) the already bad situation could only get worse. St Michaels and Colehill first school are already full.

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2682 **Surname:** WILCOX **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-29192

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: New road linking Cranborne Road, QE School and Julians Bridge.

General comments: []

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2676 **Surname:** WILDING **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-29065

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: Traffic flow, footpaths and pavements.

General comments: []

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2570 **Surname:** WILKINSON **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-24085

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** []

Response: More medical and educational facilities.
More buses

General comments: We would prefer no further development in Wimborne but if there is no choice we have stated our preference.

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2602 **Surname:** WILLIAMS **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-24804
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: Hopefully none - the roads around Wimborne are busy enough as it is.

General comments: _____

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2727 **Surname:** WILLIS **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-34846
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: The area between A31 and Leigh/Wimborne Road West is close to all the schools and local facilities, also the sewerage works, near the river for land water drainage and with an additional roundabout on the A31 could prevent much additional traffic in Wimborne town. A cross over route at the bottom of North Leigh Lane it could also remove some internal traffic directly onto the A31.

General comments: _____

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2671 **Surname:** YATES **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-29047
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: Doctors, dentists and improved social services for elderly.
Improvements to Julians bridge and local corner shop

General comments: _____

Issue: UE8 **Contact ID:** XED2638 **Surname:** YOUNG **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-25989
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: Schools, buses, doctors and dentists.
Cycle facilities, parks - rollerblade and skateboard

General comments: There is no way our current school system can sustain this number of possible intake and will this mean existing residents will find themselves unable to get school placements. We need more infrastructure.

Issue: UE9 **Contact ID:** XED2730 **Surname:** _____ **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-35090
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** _____

Response: Should not be used for housing.

General comments: _____

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation:
Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED1228 Surname: BARNES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19117
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response:
General comments: Land north of Leigh road/Wimborne Road West, fields to east of Bye The Way

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2706 Surname: BELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32168
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: On green belt land that cannot be developed.
General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2634 Surname: BENNETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25964
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: To be fair they would need to be within walking distance of the town with water facilities and flat land - cricket pitch?
General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2587 Surname: BENNETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24321
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: Move the allotments to the current cricket club site
General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2711 Surname: BOGER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32494
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: Part of Redcotts park
General comments:

Issue: UE9 **Contact ID:** XED1014 **Surname:** BOURTON **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-29243

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Keep Wimborne Green

Response: Field to the North of Leigh Road and East of Bytheway.

General comments:

Issue: UE9 **Contact ID:** XED1014 **Surname:** BOURTON **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-02588

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Keep Wimborne Green

Response: Field to the north of Leigh Road and to the east of "By the Way". This is a key gap and should not be used for development but has road access and water. See map attached.

General comments:

Issue: UE9 **Contact ID:** XED2622 **Surname:** BOWEN **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-25314

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: Why use that land? It is also very close to the river.

General comments:

Issue: UE9 **Contact ID:** XED2627 **Surname:** BROWN **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-25696

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: As far as I am concerned they should be left where they are, another site that large would be difficult to find.

General comments: Has anyone taken into account the access to a main road eg Victoria Road or Julians Road, both roads difficult to get to. The junction off Old Road and Victoria Road is difficult as traffic from Julians Road turns the corner at some speed it is quite dangerous, as would be the turning from Cowgrove Road into Victoria Road at the bottom of St Margarets Hil. Just a thought!

Issue: UE9 **Contact ID:** XED2690 **Surname:** CAFFREY **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-31021

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: I like to see such things in my community and I hope they get replaced and not forgotten.

General comments:

Issue: UE9 **Contact ID:** XED1432 **Surname:** CHITTENDEN **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-03433
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Environment TAG (East Dorset)

Response: If possible allotments should be better integrated into developments so reducing the need to travel by car and making them more accessible, particularly to those households with little or no garden.

General comments:

Issue: UE9 **Contact ID:** XED2410 **Surname:** CHITTENDEN **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-21118
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response:

General comments: integrated with new development. Good quality land with easy access. Allow time for new allotments to mature before destroying old. Development costs should offset cost of getting land into good heart fo allotment holders.

Issue: UE9 **Contact ID:** XED2688 **Surname:** CLARK **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-29812
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: Possibly on land behind the houses to the south of Julians Road.

General comments:

Issue: UE9 **Contact ID:** XED2683 **Surname:** CLARKE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-29222
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response:

General comments: The allotments should not be developed.

Issue: UE9 **Contact ID:** XED2585 **Surname:** COLLYER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-24290
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: Should'nt be moved, more allotments should be encouraged. I tried to get an allotment and no success - long waiting list.

General comments:

Issue: UE9 **Contact ID:** XED2576 **Surname:** CONSTABLE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-24103
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response: Near QE School - above Cuthbury or off Leigh Road to the South of Colehill
General comments:

Issue: UE9 **Contact ID:** XED2698 **Surname:** COOKE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-31269
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response: Around common area in Leigh Road
General comments: Colehill area has 1 store, a chemist and hairdresser, the schools are full and a traffic calming scheme is planned for the already large traffic volumes. The sewerage system is antiquated and can hardly cope now, there is no way the village would cope with any new development.

Issue: UE9 **Contact ID:** XED2645 **Surname:** COTTINGHAM **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-26786
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response: Areas for allotments should be increased not decreased and lessons should be available in schools.
General comments:

Issue: UE9 **Contact ID:** XED2650 **Surname:** COURTNEY **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-26897
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response: The Cuthbury allotments should not be built on they have been there for a very long time and the soil is fertile. It is on a flood plain and they are an important facility. If they were built on a new site would have to be found - even more reason to leave them as they are as any new site would be further away from local residents. There is no other land for allotments locally that I can think of.
General comments:

Issue: UE9 **Contact ID:** XED2674 **Surname:** COWARD **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-29059
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**
Response: Leave them where they are.
General comments: Are allotments brown field site because soil is brown? They are a sponge to soak up excess water, where will the rainfall go if the site is built on. Eco housing would be good and a stipulation that homes must have green roofs and gardens.
Properties are not selling so where are all these people who are supposed to want to live here?

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED0911 Surname: ELCOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26588
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response:
General comments: on slopes of Pamphill

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2659 Surname: ELLIOTT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27426
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: Don't replace them at all
General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2675 Surname: FAWCETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29062
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: Not in a flood area.
General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2128 Surname: FINLAY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10184
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Holt Parish Plan Action Committee Response: On flood plains and other land not suitable for development or in restricted areas like greenbelt
General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2402 Surname: FINNEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16631
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Holt Parish Council Response:
General comments: No idea

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2687 Surname: FORWARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29782
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Never use allotments
General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2669 Surname: FOX Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29009
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Near new cricket ground behind Wimborne model town - not sure if enough room.
General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2696 Surname: FRANCIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31181
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Area north of John Bennis Garage if it is not suitable for building.
General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2652 Surname: FRANKLIN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27009
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Leigh Park Recreation ground
General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2655 Surname: FROST Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27139
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: I would strongly prefer them to stay where they are. If they have to be moved locate them within walking/cycling distance of Wimborne
General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2582 Surname: FROUD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24280
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Is there room between the new cricket ground and the river on the lease.

General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2716 Surname: GLOVER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32659
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Somewhere in Colehill

General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2590 Surname: GOOCH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24403
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Also member of Colehill Parish Concl

Response: Holt and natural burial ground at Colehill

General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2709 Surname: GOWEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32241
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: They should not be replaced.

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2623 Surname: HAINES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25348
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: I have no knowledge of soil mechanics or market gardening so any opinion I might have would be totally valueless.

General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2640 Surname: HARTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26067
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Please do not take the allotments, apart from providing home grown produce the people of Wimborne enjoy them very much.

General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2699 Surname: HARVEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32127
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Above Walford Close or Longclose farm area

General comments: Cuthbury allotments are effectively a flood plain whereas housing to the east of Wimborne would be limited by the Canon Hill Plantation and the A31.

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2596 Surname: HATT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24663
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: Please do not build on the Cuthbury allotments. The wildlife have not been considered there are many species o birds and other wildlife there, it is important that we conserve such places. It will put even more traffic onto Victoria Road which is very busy now and the speed restriction signs are completely ignored. Instead of these signs there should have been a crossing especially as the hospital is on this road. As well as considering the importance of our wildlife it will cause even more noise for our hospital.

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2557 Surname: HAVELOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23528
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: Object to relocation of the allotments, retain on the present site as in great demand

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2549 Surname: HEALY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25936
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: No suggestions except that a site should be found.

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2712 Surname: LESLEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32549
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: Leave the allotments alone, it's the only way I can safely walk into Wimborne.

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2657 Surname: LISTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27192
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: The allotments should not be built on they are a special and valuable facility for Wimborne.

General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2678 Surname: LOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29073
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: North pf Walford Bridge thus keeping its rural aspect.

General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2595 Surname: LOWING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24647
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Searching out new locations for the allotments seems fruitless occupation considering the level of work that has been invested in the current location by the growers. The plants are well established and it seems wasteful to consider uprooting them. The allotments provide a fragile eco system for rare species such as otters, kingfishers and egrets. There are sams that lay annually on the river bank. Thers is far to much urbanisation at the moment and this will cause more pollution, waste,noise and spoil the tranquil feel of the area. Increased traffic will cause noise levels to increase. Hard working allotment owners provide good organic vegetables that give them pleasure and provide nutritious food. I understand some of the owners have passed through several generations. The allotment gardners have toiled over the years to maintain their plots to remove their rights to this area could only be descirbed as a detrimental action for the whole community. This area is an outstanding place in tems of natural beauty and habitats for wildlife, to build here would completely change the character of the area and would have devastating effects and be catastrophic in terms of future generations of both people and wildlife. Surley there are alternative areas where development would have less environmental impact.

General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2694 Surname: MARKS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31062
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: North of Walford Bridge
General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2631 Surname: MAUNDER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25812
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: They should not be built on.
General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2665 Surname: MCCARTNEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28781
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: Subject mainly to the competence of the service industries and willingless to incur massive preliminary expenditure wherever.
General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2632 Surname: MILES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25858
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: Beyond the football club before Eye bridge area and South of Leigh
General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2633 Surname: MOULTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25899
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: Slopes of Pamphill
General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2575 Surname: MUIR Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24099
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: The allotments should stay where they are within easy access to the town

General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2578 Surname: MULLINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24205
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: No idea

General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2642 Surname: NEWMAN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26181
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: If you walk on the Cuthbury allotments one would seriously question the advisability of building on them, they are to close to the Stour.

General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2677 Surname: NEWMAN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29069
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: Why not build on the area to be allocated for new allotments - keep Cuthbury allotments

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2033 Surname: OSNER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25042
Option: GENERAL Organisation: East Dorset District Council

Response: Somewhere nearby eg Walford bridge north. Most male allotment carers need a creative place to have space away from famil members.

General comments:

Issue: UE9	Contact ID: XED2034	Surname: PACKER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27281
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: East Dorset District Council		
Response:			
General comments:	Holt, shared with Colehill		

Issue: UE9	Contact ID: XED2554	Surname: PALMER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22633
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: Capital Developments (Southern) Ltd (represented by Goadsby)		
Response:			
General comments:	The allotments should remain in situ. They should remain intact taking advantage of the present soil conditions that have resulted in many years of working. The allotments are ideally situated adjacent to the urban area, easily accessed, such soil and access conditions will not be replicated elsewhere. Provision of allotments in this location are sustainable now and will be increasingly so as trends towards organic home grown produce become more popular. It is understood the allotments are owned by the Hanham Deans Court Estate and that no plots are available with a long waiting list proving popular demand. It is understood only one other allotment is available in Wimborne/Colehill this being run by the Cemetery Committee, this is also fully subscribed. The District and Town Council appear to not have any allotment spaces themselves.		

Issue: UE9	Contact ID: XED2577	Surname: PAYNE	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24153
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	The old cricket ground perhaps. I cant think of a site off the top of my head but a green field site would be better lost to allotments than to housing.		
General comments:	Any of the proposed sites with the exception of allotments would change the Wimborne/Colehill area to the detriment of all residents. The least harmful would be Cuthbury followed by Walford as there are medical facilities and town close by. Colehill has several conservation areas - Burts hill would be spoiled by development. At present you can leave Colehill behind as soon as you enter Smugglers Lane; similarly Wimborne finishes and rural Dorset begins. Expanding will affect the attraction of the area - great care needs to be taken with these decisions, the northern area of Colehill has no accessible first schools and will encourage car journeys to middle school. There are no medical facilities and few other facilities are close by.		

Issue: UE9	Contact ID: XED2664	Surname: PHILLIPS	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28701
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Spare land off Cuthbury Close before Julians Bridge.		
General comments:			

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2697 Surname: POLDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31253
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Should they be used for building so close to the river. The area is vastly built up, how would it cope with the extra utilities and traffic that the development will produce.

General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED0866 Surname: RILEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24628
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: On part of recreation ground near Redcotts Lane

General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2729 Surname: ROBINSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35033
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: I feel development at Cuthbury allotments would be entirely appropriate as it would ruin an important and over subscribed amenity and cause unnecessary work and expense to relocate the allotments. It will spoil the views from local river walks and A31. It will remove 'soak-up' area for existing runoff from buildings and roads increasing strain on flood plain which has suffered from much excess water in recent years. It will alter the character of Cuthbury Gardens and Victoria Place - this small quiet cul de sac development would be detrimentally affected by 200+ households worth of extra traffic.
I therefore strongly object to further development on this site - especially as other sites would also have to be used due to its small area. I feel unable to comment on other proposals as I do not have detailed and personal knowledge of the locations.

General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2689 Surname: RODWAY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31017
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Can they be moved to current green belt land eg Lonnen Road area or behind Smugglers Lane. More people live in Colehill than Wimborne.

General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2656 Surname: ROSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27179
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Next to new cricket pitch - central for locals.

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2728 Surname: SILBOROUGH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34999
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: These should remain as they are close to the flood plain. Allotments are best in small areas close to where the holders live.

General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2644 Surname: SIMPSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26255
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: If Waitrose ends up being built on the cricket pitch knock down Somerfield supermarket and put them there.

General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2686 Surname: SINGLETON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29612
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: They should not be moved.

General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2695 Surname: SMITH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31177
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Land to south of Julians Bridge

General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2658 Surname: SMITH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27378
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: It would be a tragedy to relocate the allotments as they are an integral part of the local community and I am sure that they are a lifetime for some of the residents who tend them.

General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2625 Surname: STEVENSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25655
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Further north of Wimborne
General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2670 Surname: STEWART Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29039
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Should not be used.
General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2662 Surname: STONE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27544
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Cowgrove
General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2581 Surname: TAYLOR Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24276
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: If there is a place for allotments to be found, why not build there?
General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED1892 Surname: THORNE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23369
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Thornes Chartered Surveyors
Response:
General comments: on land north of Walford Bridge running towards the town centre

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2591 Surname: WALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24431
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: No large housing project should take place at Cuthbury as Victoria Road traffic is already very heavy.

General comments: No building should take place in green belt to preserve our countryside.

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2653 Surname: WEATHERLEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27102
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Pamphill and Stone Lane

General comments: I believe that if houses are built on the Cuthbury allotments they would utterly destroy the views of Wimborne from Pamphill, Corfe Mullen and A31. Wimborne will change from being an historic town almost hidden by trees into an urban sprawl when approaching from the West. Houses in Cuthbury will also lose their beautiful views of the Stour. These residents should be compensated for this loss.

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2230 Surname: WEBSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08745
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Barratt Homes

Response: The Hanham estate could provide as the Cuthbury site belongs to them

General comments:

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2680 Surname: WHEELER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29101
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: Do not move the allotments, they are in full use

Issue: UE9 Contact ID: XED2681 Surname: WHEELER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29133
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: The allotments should be left in their current position.

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2671 Surname: Unique
Option: Organisation: Response Ref:
Response:
General
comments:
