

Alison Ramsey
Burton Resident

CEDC/Matter 1/1

Paragraph 47 of the NPPF refers 'objectively assessed needs for market and affordable housing in the housing market area, allowing for an additional 5%-20% buffer for the future. There has been no up to date assessment of local needs. No alternatives have been suggested or considered. There are numerous brown field sites (especially in Somerford) which continue to lie empty when all that would be required is a 'change of use' to allow sufficient and suitable housing for local people.

CEDC/Matter1/2

There is not sufficient schooling in and around the surrounding area, which should have been a major consideration.

Transport infrastructure – I would ask the Inspector to visit Stony Lane roundabout in rush hour, or indeed try to get of Burton at that time. It only takes a minor incident on one of the roads to cause gridlock out of the village, either into Christchurch or the Avon Causeway. I would also question the thought process or appropriateness of having to move the pedestrian walkway from staple cross, which has just been re-built at a phenomenal cost to the local council tax payers.

CEDC/Matter 1/3

Burton is not justified or appropriate, the data CC are relying on is out of date information produced by Burton Parish Council many years ago when land was offered to the parish solely for the purpose of affordable housing for local people. This was rejected by both the Council and local Housing Associations. This would clearly have addressed any local need without the necessity to close a farm in the process and build on precious green belt land.

CEDC/Matter 1/8

I refer you to my comment under 1/1.